# Kw 390 Ef RELIGION

OF

## ATURE

## DELINEATED.

Ένιοι φεύγοντες την Δασιδαιμονίαν έμπίπτασιν εις 'Αθεύτηλα τραχεΐαν κ) αντίλυπον, υπερπεδήσανλες 'EN ME'ΣΩ κειμένην την Ευσέβειαν.

Some, in order to avoid superstition, have fallen into the wildest and most obstinate atheism, and trampled upon that piety which lies between either extreme. Plut.

Χαίρειν εν εάσας τας Τιμάς τας των σολλων άνθρώπως, την 'ΑΛΗ' ΘΕΙΑΝ σκοπών, πωράσομαι τῷ ὀν]ι ώς ἀν θύνωμαι βέλ]ις ος ὧν κ) ζῆς, κ) έπειδαν αποθνήσκω, αποθνήσκειν.

Wherefore bidding farewel to the honours of the multitude, and having my eye upon TRUTH, I will really endeavour, as far as I am able, to live in the best manner I can, and when I die, to die so. PLATO.

## By Mr W O L L A S T O N.

EIGHTH EDITION. THE

To which is added,

A PREFACE containing a general Account of the Life. Character, and Writings of the AUTHOR:

Also a Translation of the NOTES into English.

#### LONDON:

Printed for J. BEECROFT, J. RIVINGTON, J. WARD, R. BALDWIN, W. JOHNSTON, S. CROWDER, P. DAVEY and B. Law, and G. Keith.



#### A

# PREFACE

CONTAINING

A General Account of the Life, Character, and Writings of the Author.

ERHAPS the Perusal of the following Sheets may excite the Curiofity of the Reader to wish for some General Account concerning the Author of them: And it is not improbable that He may defire to know, in particular, Whether the Person who composed them was a mere Speculative Admirer of VIRTUE; or whether He was HIMSELF an Example of that MORALITY which He has so strongly recommended to the Practice of OTHERS. If fuch a Curiofity shall happen to be raised in any One who was quite a Stranger to THIS GENTLEMAN'S Life and Character, This

#### A PREFACE.

iv

slight Sketch of Both may chance in fome Measure to gratify it.

Mr William Wollaston, the Author of the Religion of Nature Deli-NEATED, was descended from a Family which appears to have been ancient and confiderable in the County of Stafford. It was, long fince, divided into Two Branches: The former of which continued feated in Staffordshire; But the latter was in process of Time transplanted into other Counties. The Head of the Second Branch flourished formerly at Oncot in the County of Stafford; but, of late Years, at Shenton in the County of Leicester: and was possessed of a very considerable Estate in those and other Counties. From this Second Branch was our Author descended: And from a younger Brother of the same Branch sprung Sir John Wollaston, Lord Mayor of London, well known in that City at the Time of the Civil War.

Mr Wollaston was born upon the 26th of March 1659. at Coton-Clanford in Staffordshire. When He was in the 10th Year of his Age, a Latin School was opened at Shenston

Shenston in Staffordshire, where his Father, a private Gentleman, of a small Fortune, then refided: And Mr WOLLASTON was immediately fent to the Master of it for such Instruction as He was capable to give Him; and continued near two Years under his Care. Afterwards He was fent to Litchfield School: in which a great Confusion soon after happened, and the Magistrates of the City turned the Master out of the School-House. Many Scholars followed the Ejected Master: And Mr Wol-LASTON, amongst the rest. He remained. with Him till He quitted his School, which. was about three Years: And then, the Schism being ended, He returned into the Free-School, and continued there about a Year. This was All the Schooling Mr Wollaston. ever had: And this Time was passed, not without Uneafiness. For, though He was always very attentive to Books, and very defirous of Improvement, Yet the Rudeness of a Great School was particularly disagreeable to his Natural Disposition: and, what was still worse, He began to be much infested with the Head-Ach, which seems to have been constitutional in Him.

2 3

Upon

Upon the 18th of June 1674. He was admitted a Pensioner in Sidney College in Cambridge; being then so much upwards of 15 Years of Age as from the 26th of the preceding March. But here He laboured under various Disadvantages: to which a Person so circumstanced as He then was, could not but be subject. He had no Acquaintance in the College, nor even in the University (to which He was come a Country Lad from a Country School;) few Books or other Advantages; no Assistance or Direction from any Body; nor sufficient Considence to supply that Defect by Inquiry or Conversation. Add to this, That his State of Health was not quite firm: And that his Allowance was by no Means more than fufficient for bare Necessaries; his then Situation being that of younger Brother, descended from younger Brothers for feveral Successions. (Tho' indeed, his Grandfather had bad a confiderable Estate both Real and Personal, together with an Office of 700 l. per Annum.) However, under All these Disadvantages, Mr Wollaston acquired a great Degree of REPUTATION in the Univerfity:

versity: perhaps too much; For had it been less, it might have escaped the Tax of Envy, which probably was the Cause of His missing a Preferent in the College, which a Young Man of his Character had Reason to expect.

Upon the 29th of September 1681 He left the University: being then Twenty two Years and an Half Old. He had commenced Master of Arts the Summer before: And it seems to have been about this Time, that he took Deacon's Orders.

From Cambridge He went to pay his Duty to his Father and Mother, who now lived at Great Bloxwyche: having first made a Three Weeks Visit to the then Head of this Branch of the Family, his Cousin Wollas-TON of Shenton. And He remained at Bloxwyche, with his Father and Mother (whom He had not feen for many Years before) till May or June 1682. About which Time. feeing no Prospect of Preferment, He so far conformed Himself to the Circumstances of his Fortune as to become Affistant to the Head-Master of Birmingham School: Who readily embraced the Opportunity of fuch a Coa 4

## viii A PREFACE.

Co-Adjutor, and confidered Mr Wollaston as one that prudentially stooped to an Employment below what He might have reasonably pretended to. And his Cousin of Shenton was far from being displeased at this Instance of his Relation's humble Industry.

In a fhort Time He got a small Lectorship at a Chapel about two Miles distant. But He did the Duty of the Whole Sunday: Which, together with the Business of a Great Free-School, for about four Years, began to break his Constitution; and, if continued, had probably overcome it quite, though the Stamina of it were naturally very strong.

During this Space He likewise suffered many Anxieties and underwent a Deal of Trouble and Uneasiness, in order to extricate Two of his Brothers from some Inconveniencies to which their own Imprudencies had subjected them. And in the good Offices which He did them at this Time, He seems to have rather over-asted his Part: For He indulged his Affection for them, more than was consistent with a due Regard

to his own Welfare, as He was then circumflanced.

When He had been about four Years at Birmingham, He was chosen Second Master of the School: In which there were three Mafters, two Affiftants, and a Writing-Mafter: It was pretended that He was too Young to be Head-Master of so great a School: But in Reality, the Old Master was turned out in order to make way for a particular Person to fucceed Him. And fome of the Governors even owned that Mr Wollaston had Wrong done Him, in not being preferred still higher. He kept this new Station about two Years. It was worth to Him about 70 l. per Annum. Upon this Occafion He took Priest's Orders: For the Words of the Charter were interpreted to require that the Masters should be in Those Orders, and yet must take no Ecclesiastical Preferment.

The late Chief Master, a valuable and good Old Man, and for whom Mr Wollaston of Shenton had an Esteem, retired after his Expulsion to his Brother's House in the

## A PREFACE.

the Neighbourhood of Shenton. He once of twice waited upon Mr Wollaston of Shenton: And undoubtedly informed Him of the Character, Learning, Conversation and Conduct of our Author; which He was very capable of doing, because they had lived together till the Time of this Old Gentleman's leaving Birmingbam.

Mr Wollaston of Shenton having now lately lost his only Son, and never intending (as appears from his whole Conduct) to give his Estate to his Daughters, pursued his Father's Design of continuing it in the Male Line of his Family: and resolved to settle it upon our Author's Uncle and Father (his own first Cousins and his nearest Male Relations) in the same Proportions and Manner, exactly, in which it had been intailed formerly upon them by his Father. And accordingly He made such a Settlement: subject however to a Revocation.

Mr Wollaston all this While applied Himself to his Business: and never so much as waited upon his Cousin, or employed any one to speak or act any thing in his Behalf; half; (tho' many then blamed Him for neglecting to do it.) Only One Visit He made Him, in the November before his Death: which was upon a Saturday in the Afternoon. He gave Him a Sermon the next Day; received his Hearty Thanks; and the next Morning desired Leave to return to the Duties of his Station: Without speaking or even insinuating any thing in relation to his ESTATE. His Cousin dismissed Him with great Kindnes: And, by his Looks and Manner, seemed to have a PARTICULAR REGARD for him; but discovered nothing of his Intention by Words.

However, his Cousin of Shenton was used to employ Persons privately, to observe our Author's Behaviour: (who little suspected any such Matter.) And his Behaviour was found to be such, that the stricter the Observations were upon it, the more they turned to his Advantage. In Fine, Mr Wollaston became so thoroughly satisfied of our Author's Merit, that He revoked the beforementioned Settlement, and made a Will in his Favor.

In

## xii A PREFACE.

In August following, Mr Wollaston of Shenton fell sick: and sent secretly to our Author "to come over to Him as of his own "Accord without any Notice of his Illness." He complied with the Message: and staid some Days at Shenton. But whilst He was gone Home again, under a Promise of returning, his Cousin died.

It was the 19th of August 1688, when this Gentleman died. His WILL gave a new and a great Turn to our Mr Wollaston's Affairs: who found Himself intitled by it to a very ample Estate.

The Circumstances relating to the Means whereby the last mentioned Mr Wollaston came to the Possession of his Estate, and the Steps which led to it, have been the more minutely particularized here; Because Common Fame has somehow caught up and forwarded a groundless Imagination, "That the Author was an absolute "Stranger to the former Possessor and to his Family, and happened to fall into "his Company, by Mere Accident, at "an

" an Inn." Which is so far from being true or even bearing any Resemblance to Truth, That they were in Fact very near Relations; and this very Estate had been twice entailed upon Mr Wollaston's Uncle and Father.

Such a Sudden and Advantageous Alteration of Affairs would have intoxicated Many. But the fame FIRMNESS OF MIND, which supported this Gentleman under the Pressures of his more Adverse Fortune, enabled Him to bear his Prosperity with Moderation: And his Religion and Philosophy taught Him to maintain a due Equanimity under either Extreme.

In November 1688 He came to London: And about a Twelve-month after, upon the 26th of November 1689, He married Mrs CATHARINE CHARLTON, one of the Daughters of Mr Nicholas Charlton, an eminent Citizen of London; a fine Woman, with a good Fortune and a most excellent Character. They lived extremely happy in each other, till her Death left Him a mournful Widower, upon the 21st of July 1720. By Her He had eleven Children; Of whom four

## xiv A PREFACE.

four died in his Life-time; the rest survived Him.

He may most truly be said to have settled in London: For He very seldom went out of it. He took no Delight in unnecessary Journies: And, for above Thirty Years before his Death, had not been absent from his Habitation in Charter-House Square, so much as One whole Night.

In this his Settlement in London, He chose a Private and Retired Life. His Carriage was nevertheless Free and Open. He aimed at solid and real Content, rather than Shew and Grandeur: and manifested his Dislike of Power and Dignity, by refusing one of the bighest Preferents in the Church, when it was offered to Him. He endeavoured to excel in Sincerity and Useful Sense, more than in Formalities and Trifles.

He was perfectly acquainted with the Elementary Parts of Learning: And with the learned Languages; Latin, Greek, Hebrew, Arabic, &c. He thought it necessary to add

to

to These such a Degree of Philology and Criticism as seemed likely to be Useful to Him; and also Mathematical Sciences, or at least the Fundamentals of them; The General Philosopby of Nature; The History and Antiquities of the more known and noted States and Kingdoms; and fuch like ERUDITION. And in order to attain the Knowledge of TRUE RELIGION and the DISCOVERY OF TRUTH, (the Points which He always had particularly in View, and to which He chiefly directed all his Studies,) He diligently inquired into the Idolatries of the HEATHENS: And made Himself MASTER of the Sentiments, Rites, and Learning of the JEWS; the HISTORY of the first Settlement of CHRISTIANITY, and the Opinions and Practices introduced into it fince. In the mean time He exercised and improved his MIND by throwing off PREJUDICES; using Himself to clear IMAGES; observing the Influence and Extent of Axioms, the Nature and Force of Con-SEQUENCES, and the Method of investigating In General, He accustomed Himfelf to MUCH Thinking; as well as to much Reading.

Ву

## xvi A PREFACE.

By this Method indeed He was rather qualified for private Instruction, than accomplished for public Conversation and Shew. But the latter was not his Point. He looked upon that Specious Sort of Know-Ledge which often gains a Man the Reputation of a Scholar at a very cheap Rate, to be a False Learning and of no kind of Service to Him who was in Quest of Real Knowledge.

He was of Opinion too That a Man might easily read too much: And he considered the Helluo Librorum and the True Scholar, as two very different Characters.

The Love of TRUTH AND REASON made Him love FREE THINKING: and, as far as the World would bear it, FREE SPEAKING too. This tended, He thought, to the Discovery of ERROR. Tho' He was not insensible that it might render Him less acceptable to many Persons: particularly, to those who perhaps have only just Sense enough to perceive their own Weakness;

ness; or judge of Things by the Vogue they bear, or the Respect they have to their own Interest or Party; or can neither bear the Trouble of an honest Inquiry themselves, nor yet that another should know what they do not know; in short, to every Prejudiced Perfon whatfoever. But He took all Opportunities to affert feriously and inculcate strenuously the Being and Perfections of God; his PROVIDENCE, both General and Particular; the Obligations we are under to adore HIM; the REASONABLENESS of VIR-TUE; the IMMATERIALITY and IMMOR-TALITY of the Soul: FUTURE REWARDS and Punishments; and other High and essential Points of NATURAL RELIGION and the CHRISTIAN REVELATION. In fine, To reason impartially, and to know where to stop, was the Mark He always aimed at,

And He loved TRUTH, not in Speculation only, but also in Practice: For he loved PUNC-TUAL HONESTY.

He likewise delighted in Method and Regularity: And chose to have his Labours

## xviii A PREFACE.

bours and Refreshments Periodical; and that his Family and Friends should observe the proper Seasons of their Revolutions. The Reverse of this being the prevailing Temper, or at least Practice of Mankind, oftentimes either deprived Him of Conversation or rendered it disagreeable to Him.

The General Character of his Na-TURE was, That it was TENDER AND SEN-This TENDERNESS disposed Him SIBLE. to feel and compassionate the Miseries of others: infomuch that He many times fuffered more perhaps in another man's Case than the man did in his own. This TENDER-NESS induced Him always to endeavour to. fatisfy and convince, in Cases where He might have commanded despotically and absolutely. Tho' it is not improbable that in this He was frequently misunderstood as if He meant to chide, when He only intended to explain and convince. To this TENDER-NESS may also be ascribed that excessive Modesty and Diffidence of Himself, which made Him delight in Privacy and Retirement; and incapacitated Him in a great Measure from appearing, in Public, at all like what He

He really was; and even occasioned Him sometimes to seem inserior to those, who exceeded Him in nothing but Forwardness and Conceit. Something of this might indeed be owing to the Depression of his Spirits in his younger Days. From the same Causes might arise his strong Apprehension of the Unreasonableness and Injustice of those, who were designedly the Beginners of Quarrels or Abuses, or invaded without Provocation Another's Good Name. The same Tenderness rendered Him in a high Manner sensible of the Desertion, Unkindness or Indissertence of Friends.

He never indulged his Passions to the Hurt of any One. If in any respect He shewed that He was not so compleat a Stoic as to have eradicated his Passions, or so perfect a Philosopher as never to be surprized by them; it was in the Escape of an basing Word or Expression now and then, when He was put off of his Guard by Hurries, Indispositions, or such like Occasions. Yet He was not always angry, when the Urgency of Business, the Straitness of Time, the Importunity of impertinent People, or the like,

## $\dot{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{x}$ A PREFACE.

caused Him to talk louder or quicker than ordinary; nor often, (if at all) without sufficient Reason; nor ever so angry with any One else, as He would be with HIMSELF for having been so. In short, If every One would restrain their Anger within the same Bounds as He did, there might be a basty Word or Expression dropped sometimes upon Provocation or Indisposition: But there would never be RE-SENTMENT, WRATH or QUARREL more in the World.

He was most remarkably Chearful and Lively in PRIVATE CONVERSATION; and by his Inclination ready, as well as by his Treasures of Learning abundantly qualified, to be ferviceable to all forts of Persons. This rendered his Company agreeable: and Himfelf worthy to be courted by the Learned and Virtuous. But a GENERAL ACQUAIN-TANCE was what He never cultivated: and it grew more and more his Aversion. So that He passed his Days mostly at Home, with a few Friends: with whom He could enjoy an agreeable RELAXATION OF MIND, and receive All the Advantages of a fincere and open FRIENDSHIP. This Excessive Retirement

tirement was however attended with some Inconveniencies. His Intimates were dropping. off, and their Places remained unsupplied; His own Infirmities were increasing; The Frequent Remission of Study growing more and more necessary; and his Solitudes at the same Time becoming less and less pleasant and agreeable.

. Or

What Decays foever there might be in his Bodily Strength, He nevertheless retained to the last the Clearness and Perspicuity of his THOUGHTS. But, perceiving his Defigns frustrated by the daily Attacks of NATURE, and that it would be imposfible to finish and compleat them in the Manner He wished, it seems as if He had intended to destroy with his own Hand the greatest Part of his WORKS: And that those few Manuscripts, which were found after his Death, were indebted to the Treachery of his Memory for their Preservation. For He had within the last two or three Years of his Life actually burnt several Treatifes, in the Composition whereof He had bestowed no small Quantity of Time and Pains. The following indeed bappened b 3

## xxii A PREFACE.

to be spared: But from the Place in which they were deposited, and from some other Circumstances, 'tis probable that they owed their Escape to mere Forgetfulness. were in Number thirteen, (besides about Fourscore Sermons) viz, 1. An Hebrew 2. Tyrocinia Arabica & Sy-Grammar. riaca. 3. Specimen Vocabularii Biblico-Hebraici, literis nostratibus quantum fert Linguarum Dissonantia descripti. 4. Formulæ quædam Gemarinæ. 5. De variis generibus pedum, metrorum, carminum, &c. apud Judæos, Græcos & Latinos. 6. De Vocum Tonis Monitio ad Tyrones. 7. Rudimenta ad Mathesin & Philosophiam spectantia. Miscellanea Philologica. 9. Opinions of the Ancient Philosophers. 10. 'Ious'aixa; five Religionis & Literaturæ Judaicæ Synopsis. 11. A Collection of some Antiquities and Particulars in the History of Mankind: tending to shew that Men have not been here upon this Earth from ETERNITY, &c. 12. Some Passages relating to the History of Christ; collected out of the Primitive Fathers. Treatise relating to the Jews; of their Antiquities, Language, &c. And what renders it the more

## A PREFACE. xxiii

more probable, or indeed almost beyond Doubt, That He would have destroyed these likewise if He had remembered them, is That several of these which remain undestroyed are only Rudiments or rougher Sketches of what He afterwards reconsidered and carried on much farther: and which, even after such Revisal, He nevertheless committed to the Flames, as being still (in his Opinion) short of that Perfection to which He desired and had intended to bring them.

VT

ed

ey

XI

eц

10-

læ

US

Ш

12

8.

It must be owned indeed that He had formerly published a PARAPHRASE on part of the Book of Ecclesiastes, which He bad not corrected. But for that very Reason He was afterwards earnestly desirous to suppress it. And He likewise composed and printed a little LATIN GRAMMAR. But this was only for the Use of his Family. The former was printed in the Year 1690: The latter in 1703.

Not long before his Death, He published the ENSUING TREATISE, intitled "THE "RELIGION OF NATURE DELINEATED:" in which the Picture of his LIFE is most by 4 fully

## $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{i}\mathbf{v}$ A PREFACE.

fully drawn. There you may behold Him in his REAL CHARACTER: in the humble SUB-MISSION AND RESIGNATION OF Himself to the unerring Will of the DIVINE BEING; in his TRUE CONJUGAL AND PATERNAL AFFECTION to his Family; in his KIND REGARD AND BENEVOLENCE towards his Fellow-Creatures, according to their respective Stations in Life. For He HIMSELF steadily practifed those Duties and Obligations, which He so carnestly recommended to Others.

The Great Demand for THIS BOOK (of which more than Ten Thousand were sold in a very sew Years) and the public Honours paid to the Memory of the Author, are sufficient Testimonies of its Value. He had, in the Year 1722, printed off a sew Copies of it for private Use. And as soon as he had done so, He began to turn his Thoughts to the THIRD QUISTION; as appears by a Manuscript intitled Heads and Materials for an Answer to Quistion 3. set down rudely and any how, in order to be considered, &c. after they are got into some Order. July 4, 1723. Underneath which

#### A PREFACE. xxv

He has added. They are written at Length (not in my Short-hand) that so if this Anfwer should never be finished, they may bowever not be totally lost. However, in this
Design, He had Opportunity to make but
a very small Progress. For it was just about
this Time that, at the Instances and Persuasion of his Friends, He set about revising and publishing the following Work;
wherein he had answered the two sirst of
the proposed Questions: Resolving, as soon
as that should be done, to return to and
finish his Answer to the Third Ques-

But in that He was disappointed. For immediately after he had compleated the Revisal and Publication of the following Treatise, an accident (of breaking his Arm) increased his Distempers, and accelerated his Death: which happened upon the 29th of October 1724, and has absolutely put an End to the Expectation of seeing any more of his Works in Print. For it would be equally injurious to the Author, and disrespectful to the Public, if his Family should expose his more imperfect Sket-

Digitized by Google

#### XXVI A PREFACE.

CHES in Print, after bis Death: when He HIMSELF had in his Life-time destroyed several more finished PIECES, because He judged them not sufficiently accurate.

His Body was carried down to Great Fin-borough in Suffolk, (one of his Estates, and the principal Residence of his now eldest Son) and laid close by the Side of his deceased Wise; agreeably to the two following Epitaphs, composed by Him for her and for himself, and inscribed upon their common Monument:

Hîc,

## A PREFACE xxvii

Hîc, ad imum parietem, sita est CATHARINA,

GULIELMI WOLLASTON

Hujus Manerii Finburiensis Domini, &c.

Uxor zupedia ac dilectissima:

E quâ prolem ille numerosam et pulchram suscepit,

Ipsa olim pulcherrima.

Ob. Julii 21, A. C. 1720, Æt. 50.

Sepulcrumque occupavit

Conjugi secum commune futurum:

Ut qui conjunctissimi vixerunt,

Etiam Mortui, mistis cineribus uniantur.

Nov.

## xxviii A PREFACE.

Nov. 6, 1724. Juxta reliquias CATHARINÆ suæ Ipfius GULIELMI WOLLASTON Conditi funt cineres promissi. Fuit is (si quis aveat scire) Genere ortus perantiquo, nec ignobili; Academicis disciplinis imbutus Cantabrigia, Quibus ibi studuit per annos plùs septem; Hæreditate amplâ, Numine favente, auctus: Valetudine tamen suâ, parùm sirmâ, Hominumque corruptis moribus & judiciis iniquis Diligenter expensis ac æstimatis, Vitæ privatæ iter fumpfit: Suorum faluti & commodis prospiciens; Bonis literis animum excolens, vel oblectans; Spretis famâ atque honoribus,

Etiam oblatis,
Veri conscientia tacita contentus.
Cum vixisset ann. 65, di. 217.
Cursu quem Deus dederat peracto,
Fato cessit. אע"ח ואו"א

From

## A PREFACE. xxix

From all that has been faid concerning Mr Wollaston, it appears that notwith-standing his Declining to accept of any Public Employment, yet his Studies were designed to be of Public Use: And his Solitude was far from being employed in vain and tristing Amusements, terminating in Himself alone.

His latest Moments were calm and easy; Such as might be expected to close a Life spent like his: And He left the World, as He so-journed in it, quietly and resignedly. Both the Manner of his LIFE and that of his DEATH were well worthy of Imitation.

It is scarce worth while to take any Notice of an idle or malicious Resection which has been cast, by some over-zealous Persons, upon this Gentleman's Memory, as if He had put a Slight upon Christianity by laying so much Stress upon the Obligations of Truth, Reason, and Virtue: Or as if He could not have believed aright, because He did not think it necessary to digress from bis Subject in Order to Insert his Creed.

## XXX A PREFACE.

CREED. Surely, a Suspicion thus founded can deserve no Regard. However, it may not be amiss to observe, that it has probably been increased by a vulgar mistake that Mr Wol-LASTON, the Author of the Religion of NATURE DELINEATED, was the fame Perfon with Mr Woolston who wrote several Pieces, which grossly attacked the Literal Truth of the Miracles of Jesus Christ. And this Mistake, which arose originally from the Similitude of Names, might happen to be further confirmed by Mr Woolston's " intitling Himfelf " Late Fellow of SIDNEY " College in Cambridge:" At which College our Author Himself and Four of his Sons were educated.

THE

HE RELIGION OF NATURE DELINEATED being a Book in great Esteem with her late Majesty Queen CAROLINE, she was pleased to command me to translate the Notes into English for her own Use: And there being a Demand for a new Edition, it was thought proper to publish this Translation, as these Notes are Illustrations and Confirmations of the Sentiments of the learned Author; and therefore I have confented to the Publishing of them.

JOHN CLARKE.

Salifbury, 17 April, 1750.

Digitized by Google



Digitized by Google

#### THE

# RELIGION

ΟĖ

## NATURE

DELINEATED.

#### To A. F. Esq;

WAS much furprised, SIR, when (some time ago) you so importunately desired my thoughts upon these questions,

I. Is there really any fuch thing as natural religion, properly and truly so called?

II. If there is, what is it?

III. How may a man qualify bimself, so as to be able to judge, for bimself, of the other religious profest in the world; to settle his own opinious in disputable matters; and then to enjoy tranquillity of mind, neither disturbing others, nor being disturbed at what passes among them?

With what view you did this; whether in expectation of some little degree of satisfaction; or merely to try my abilities; or (which I rather think) out of kindness to amuse me at a time,

B when

when I wanted fomething to divert melancholy reflexions, I shall not venture to guess. I shall only fay, that could I have foreseen in due time, that fuch a task was to be imposed upon me, I might have been better prepared for it. I might have marked what was fuitable to my purpose in those books, which I have red, but shall scarce ever return to read any more: many more I might have red too, which, not wanting them for my own conviction, I have neglected, and now have neither leifure nor patience to peruse: I might have noted what the various occurrences and cases, that happen in life, fuggested: and, in general, I might have placed more of my time on such parts of learning, as would have been directly ferviceable to me on the present occasion.

However, as I have not spent my days without thinking and reflecting seriously within myself upon the articles and duties of natural religion, and they are my thoughts which you require, I have attempted, by recollecting old meditations, and confulting a few scattered papers, in which I had formerly for my own use set down some of them (briefly, and almost solecistically), to give an answer to the two first of your questions, together: tho' I must own, not without trouble in adjusting and compacting loose sentiments, filling up vacuities, and bring the chaos into the shape of something like a system.

Notwithstanding what I have said, in a treatise of natural religion, a subject so beaten and exhausted in all its parts, by all degrees of writers, in which so many notions will inevitably occur that are no one's property, and so many things require to be proved,

proved, which can fcarce be proved by any other but the old arguments (or not fo well), you must not expect to find much that is new. Yet fomething perhaps you may. That, which is advanced in the following papers, concerning the nature of moral good and evil, and is the prevailing thought that runs thro them all, I never met with any where. And even as to those matters, in which I have been prevented by others, and which perhaps may be common, you have them, not as I took them from any body, but as they used to appear to me in my walks and folitudes. So that they are indeed my thoughts, such as have been long mine, which I fend you; without any regard to what others have, or have not faid: as I perfuade my felf you will eafily perceive. It is not hard to difcern, whether a work' of this kind be all of a piece; and to diffinguish the genuine hand of an author from the false wares and patch-work of a plagiary. Tho after all, it would be madness in a man to go out of his right way, only because it has been frequented by others, or perhaps is the high road.

Sensible how unfinished this performance is, I call it only a *Delineation*, or rude draught. Where I am defective, or trip, I hope you will excuse a friend, who has now passed the threshold of old age; and is, upon that and other accounts, not able to bear much study or application. And thus I commit to your candor what follows: which, for the sake of order and perspicuity, I have divided into sessions and transfer or the sake of order and perspicuity, I have divided

into sections, and propositions.

B 2

SECT.

## SECT. I. Of Moral Good and Evil.

THE foundation of religion lies in that difference between the acts of men, which diftinguishes them into good, evil, indifferent. For if there is such a difference, there must be religion; & contra. Upon this account it is that such a long and laborious inquiry hath been made after some general idea, or some rule, by comparing the fore-faid acts with which it might appear, to which kind they respectively belong. And tho men have

So, in Plate, Socrates requires of Euthyphre not to teach him रें। ना में कीं के के के देश हैं का का कि के के के कि के कि के कि के कि के कि के कि ીરૂ નહે હૈકાન હૈકાને કેકા, મનમે, "one or two particulars of the multitude of things that are just and right; but to shew him the original " pattern itself, by which every thing that is just and good " becomes fo." And again, Teutrer relyer pe eurir didager riv 'मिर्वा नांद्र करने देहार' रिव लंद देहलिया देन विर्धायका, में प्रदर्भ धार के बार में करहा-डोर्ग्यायमा, है योग के मार्थिमा है, कि के के के को के बैठे में कर महिला कि कि कि कि கரவ சீசீ க் முள் சல்லார் , முல் ஒன். " Shew me the original image or " picture, that I may see what fort of a thing it is, and when I " look upon it, and make use of it as the original pattern, I " may be able to affirm, that an action performd by you or any " other person, if it be of such a sort, is just and good; and, if it be " not of fuch a fort, then I cannot affirm it to be fo." Posce exemplar bonefti. " Enquire after the original pattern of virtue." Luc. b Oide τό γ' αίσχεθτ, κατότι το καλο μαθώτ. " He knows what vice " is, having been taught by the rule of virtue." EURIP. Adfit Regula, peccatis quæ pænas irroget æquas, " We ought to have " fome Rule, whereby punishments may be proportiond to " the crimes," fays HORACE. Now by the same rule, by which punishments are justly proportiond, crimes must be distinguished amongst themselves; and therefore much more, crimes from nocrimes, and crimes from good actions. So that it is at bottom

c Formula quædam conflituenda est: quam si sequemur in comparatione rerum, ab officio nunquam recedemus. "There ought to be some rule establishd: which if we follow in comparing things with each other, we shall never fall short of our duty." Cic.

a rule which can do this, that is required.

not

not yet agreed upon any one, yet one certainly there must be d. That, which I am going to propose, has always seemd to me not only evidently true, but withal so obvious and plain, that perhaps for this very reason it hath not merited the notice of authors: and the use and application of it is so easy, that if things are but fairly permitted to speak for themselves their own natural language, they will, with a moderate attention, be sound themselves to proclaim their own rectitude or obliquity; that is, whether they are disagreeable to it, or not. I shall endeavour by degrees to explain my meaning.

I. That act, which may be denominated morally good or evil, must be the act of a being capable of distinguishing, choosing, and acting for bimself: or more briefly, of an intelligent and free agent. Because in proper speaking no act at all can be ascribed to that, which is not indued with these capacities. For that, which cannot distinguish, cannot choose: and that, which has not the opportunity, or liberty of choosing for itself, and acting accordingly, from an internal principle, acts, if it acts at all, under a

d Πῶς οδόν το ἀτίκμαρτα εδιαι κὰ ἀνούρετα τὰ ἀναγκαιόταδα ὰ ἀνθερόποις; ἔς το ἔν [κανών τις] " How is it possible that those things " which are necessary for men (to know or to do) should be " such, as they can have no certainty of knowing or finding " out? There must then be [some rule.]" ARRIAN.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Where is virtue "Where is virtue then, if there be nothing within our own power?" Cic. דעות לכל אדם נתונה אם רצה להטוח עצמו לדרך "Cic. דעות לכל אדם נתונה אם רצה להטוח עצמו לדרך "There is a power given "to every man, if he be but willing to incline himself to the "way that is good—This is the support of the law and the "commandments." Maim. הרשות היא חברורה. "This "power is what we call free will," Nahh, AB.

B 2 necessity

The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. I. necessity incumbent ab extra. But that, which acts thus, is in reality only an instrument in the hand of something which imposes the necessity; and cannot properly be said to ass, but to be assed. The act must be the act of an agent: therefore not of his instrument.

A being under the above-mentiond inabilities is, as to the morality of its acts, in the state of inert and passive matter, and can be but a *machine*: to which no language or philosophy ever ascribed no mores.

II. Those propositions are true, which express things as they are: or, truth is the conformity of those words or signs, by which things are express, to the things themselves. Defin.

III. A true proposition may be denied, or things may be denied to be what they are, by deeds, as well as by express words or another proposition. It is certain there is a meaning in many acts and gestures. Every body understands weeping f, laughing, shrugs, frowns, &c. these are a fort of universal language. Applications are many times made, and a kind of dialogue maintaind only by casts of the eye and motions of the adjacent muscles s. And

we

f Lacryma pondera vocis habent. "Tears have the force of words." Ov.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Couli, supercilia, frons, vultus denique totus, qui sermo quidam tacitus mentis est, &c. "The eyes, the eyebrows, and inseed the whole countenance are a kind of tacit speech of the mind, &c." Cic. Nutu signisque loquuntur. "They (Piramus and Thise) speak to each other by nods and signs." Ov. Est actio quasi sermo corporis. "Every action is a sort of a speech of the body." Cic. and often repeated by him.

we read of feet, that speak b; of a philosopher, who answerd an argument by only getting up and walking; and of one, who pretended to express the same sentence as many ways by gesticulation, as even Cicero himself could by all his copia of words and eloquence k. But these instances do not come up to my meaning. There are many acts of other kinds, such as constitute the character of a man's conduct in life, which have in nature, and would be taken by any indifferent judge to have a signification, and to imply some proposition, as plainly to be understood as if it was declared in words: and therefore if what such acts declare to be, is not, they must contradict truth, as much as any false proposition or assertion can.

If a body of foldiers, feeing another body approach, should fire upon them, would not this action declare that they were enemies; and if they were not enemies, would not this military language declare what was false? No, perhaps it may be said; this can only be called a mistake, like that which happend to the Athenians in the attack of Epipolæ<sup>1</sup>, or to the Carthaginians in their last in-

h אישאון מולל ברגלוו. "A wicked man speaks by his feet." A Hebrew Proverb.

<sup>1</sup> Του κατα τῆς κινήσεως λόγου σιαπῶν, σειριπάτησε. "Without "faying any thing against the argument about motion, he got "up and walked about." Sext. Emp. So Menedemus reproved luxury by eating only olives. Diog. Laert. And others are mentiond by Plutarch, who ἀνευ φωνῆς ἀ δεῖ φερίζειν, did declare "what they had to fay without making use of words."

k Macrob.

<sup>1</sup> Where we find φίλες το φίλοις, κ) σολίτας σολίταις εἰς χείεχες αλλάλοις ἰλθόντας, " that friends and fellow-citizens fell into " each other's hands." ΤΗΥΚΥΡ.

B 4 campment

campment against Agathocles in Africa . Suppose then, instead of this firing, some officer to have faid they were enemies, when indeed they were friends: would not that sentence affirming them to be enemies be false, notwithstanding he who spoke it was mistaken? The truth or falshood of this affirmation doth not depend upon the affirmer's knowledge or ignorance: because there is a certain sense affixt to the words, which must either agree or disagree to that, concerning which the affirmation is made. The thing is the very same still, if into the place of words be substituted actions. falute here was in nature the falute of an enemy, but should have been the salute of a friend: therefore it implied a falfity. Any spectator would have understood this action as I do; for a declaration, that the other were enemies. Now what is to be understood, has a meaning: and what has a meaning, may be either true or false: which is as much as can be faid of any verbal sentence.

When Popilius Lænas folicited to have Cicero proscribed, and that he might find him out and be his executioner ", would not his carriage have sufficiently fignified to any one, who was ignorant of the case, that Tully either was some very bad man, and deserved capital punishment; or had some way grievously injured this man; or at least had not faved his life, nor had as much reason to expect bis fervice and good offices upon occasion, as he ever had to expect Tully's? And all these things being

false,

m The sineling of accomplish improves. "They revenged them-" selves upon their own people, as if they had been their ene-" mies." DIOD. SIC,

" Val. Max,

false, were not his behaviour and actions expressive of that which was false, or contradictions to truth? It is certain he acted as if those things had been true, which were not true, and as if those had not been true which were true (in this consisted the fault of his ingratitude): and if he in words had said they were true or not true, he had done no more than talk as if they were so: why then should not to act as if they were true or not true, when they were otherwise, contradict truth as much as to say they were so, when they were not so?

A pertinacious objector may perhaps still say, it is the business of soldiers to defend themselves and their country from enemies, and to annoy them as opportunity permits; and felf-prefervation requires all men not only barely to defend themselves against aggressors, but many times also to prosecute fuch, and only fuch, as are wicked and dangerous: therefore it is natural to conclude, that they are enemies against whom we see soldiers defending themselves, and those men wicked and dangerous, whom we see prosecuted with zeal and ardor. Not that those acts of defending and prosecuting speak or fignify fo much: but conjectures are raised upon the common sense, which mankind has of such proceedings. Ans. If it be natural to conclude any thing from them, do they not naturally convey the notice of fomething to be concluded? And what is conveying the notice of any thing but notifying or

<sup>\*</sup> A'rθορίποισιν εὐκ ἰχρῖν σοτὸ τῶν σεριζμάταν τὰν γλῶσσαν ἰσχύεν κλίον. "There never could be any necessity that mens tongues "should be of more force (to declare their intentions) than their actions." Eurip. Quasi interfit, audiam, an videam. "As if there were any difference whether I hearyou, or see you." Cic. signifying

fignifying that thing? And then again, if this fignification is *natural* and founded in the *common* principles and fense of mankind, is not this more than to have a meaning which results only from the use of some *particular* place or country, as that of language doth?

If A should enter into a compact with B, by which he premises and ingages never to do some certain thing, and after this he does that thing: in this case it must be granted, that his act interferes with his promife, and is contrary to it. Now it cannot interfere with his promise, but it must also interfere with the truth of that proposition, which fays there was fuch a promife made, or that there is fuch a compact fubfifling. If this proposition be true, A made such a certain agreement with B, it would be denied by this, A never made any agreement with B. Why? Because the truth of this latter is inconfistent with the agreement afferted in the former. The formality of the denial, or that, which makes it to be a denial, is this inconfiltence. If then the behaviour of A be inconfishent with the agreement mentiond in the former proposition, that proposition is as much denied by A's behaviour, as it can be by the latter, or any other proposition. Or thus, If one proposition imports or contains that which is contrary to what is contained in another, it is faid to contradict this other, and denies the existence of what is contained in it. Just so if one act imports that which is centrary to the import of another, it contradicts this other, and denies its existence. In a word, if A by his actions denies the ingagements, to which he hath subjected himself, his actions deny them; just as we say, Ptolomy by his

his writings denies the motion of the earth, or his writings deny it P.

When the question was asked, Whose sheep are these? the answer was, Ægen's: for he committed them to my care q (he uses and disposes of them as his). By this act Damætas understood them to be his; and if they had not been his, but Alphondas's or Melibæus's, Ægon, by an act very intelligible to Damætas, had expressed what was not true. What is said here is the stronger, because he, who has the use and disposal of any thing, has all that he can have of it; and v. v. he who has the all (or property) of any thing, must have all the use and disposal of it. So that a man cannot more fully proclaim any thing to be his, than by using it, &c. But of this something more hereafter.

In the Jewish history we read, that when Abimelek saw Isaac sporting with Rebekah, and taking conjugal liberties, he presently knew her to be Isaac's Wife; and if she had not been his wife, the case had been as in the preceding instance. If it be objected, that she might have been his mistress or a harlot; I answer, that so she might have been, tho Isaac had told him by words that she was his wife. And it is sufficient for my purpose, and to make acts capable of contradicting truth, if they may be allowed to express things as plainly and determinately as words can. Certainly Abimelek gave greater credit to that information which passed

through

P 'Ημεῖς τὸν ἀνέμενον βιδλία Πλάτων & ἀνεῖσθαι φαμὶν Πλάτωνα, \*\*. " He who buys *Plato*'s books, we fay, buys *Plato*." Plut.

<sup>9</sup> Virg. et Theoer.

י אחנים שמשם. "On the bed together." Rashi.

<sup>•</sup> Only ענון נשוק וחיבוק, " kiffing and embracing her," according to Alshek.

through his eye, than to that which he received by the ear '; and to what *Isaac* did, than to what he faid. For Isaac had told him, that she was not his wife, but his sister ".

A certain author writes to this purpose, " If a " foldier, who had taken the oath to Casar, should " run over to the enemy, and serve him against " Cafar, and after that be taken; would he not be "punished as a deserter, and a perjured villain? "And if he should plead for himself, that he " never denied Cæsar; would it not be answerd, " That with his tongue be did not deny him, but with " bis actions (or by facts) be did?" And in another place, "Let us, says be, suppose some tyrant " command a Christian to burn incense to jupiter, "without adding any thing of a verbal abnega-"tion of Cbrist: if the Christian should do this, " would it not be manifest to all, that by that very " att be denied bim;" (and I may add, consequently denied those propositions which affirm him to be the Christ, a teacher of true religion, and the like 2)?

r:

When

t na γαρ τυλχάνει ανθρώποιουν ίδιλα απισότερα έρθαλμών.

Men do not usually give so much credit to their ears, as to
their eyes." Herod.

That instance of Menelaus and his guest Alexander, in Arrian, might be subjoind to this. Et ric auris as other order under the didner, infiner at to distort our area other auris. "If any one saw them treating each other in a very friendly manner, he would not believe a person who should say that they were not friends."

W De Dupl. Mart.

<sup>\*</sup> Something like this is that in one of Gregory Nazianzen's orations. When some Christians, who had been insnared by Julian, asked, σῶς Χρισὸν ἐρνάμιθας; " How have we denied " Christ?" They were answerd, ὅτι κατὰ τοῦ συρὸς ἱθυμιάσατε, " you have offerd incense on the altar."

When a man lives, as if he had the estate which he has not, or was in other regards (all fairly cast up) what he is not, what judgment is to be passed upon him? Doth not his whole conduct breathe untruth? May we not say (if the propriety of language permits), that he lives a lye?

In common speech we say some actions are insignificant, which would not be sense, if there were not some that are significant, that have a tendency and meaning. And this is as much as can be said of articulate sounds, that they are either significant or insignificant.

It may not be improperly observed by the way, that the fignificancy here attributed to mens acts, proceeds not always from nature, but sometimes from custom and agreement among people, as that of words and sounds mostly doth. Acts of the latter kind may in different times and places have different, or even contrary significations. The generality of Christians, when they pray, take off their hats: the Jews, when they pray b or say any of their Berakoth, put them on. The same thing

which

y Τα ψευδῶ σερέ ματα διώκων. "Perfuing things that are false." CHRYS. Καὶ σολισμός ἀνδερὸς, κὶ γίλως, κὶ βῶμα σοδὸς ἀναΓγίλλει σερὲ ωνῶ. "Nay the habit of a man, or his laugh, or the step of his "foot, will discover who he is," as Bafil speaks: and therefore greater things must do it more.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As that (word) Brites, Blitri, in Diogenes Laertius in the life of Zeno, which word has no meaning at all.

<sup>2</sup> Λιγύπλοι—τὰ σολλὰ σάντα ἔμπαλιν τοῖσι ἄλλοισι ἀνθεφποισι ἐς κανλοιδιολοίσι ἀνθεφποισι ἀνθεφποισιολοίσι αποισιολοίσι αποι

המתפלל לא יעמור בתפלה -- בראש מגולה "He that prays, must not have his head uncoverd whilst he is praying." Maimon, and others every where.

which among Christians denotes reverence, imports irreverence among the Jews. The reason is, because covering the head with a hat (if it has no influence upon one's health) is in itself an indifferent thing, and people by usage or consent may make it interpretable either way. Such acts feem to be adopted into their language, and may be reckond part of it. But acts of the former kind, fuch as I chiefly here intend, have an unalterable fignification, and can by no agreement or force ever be made to express the contrary to it. Ægon's treating the flock, and disposing of it as if it was his, can by no torture be brought to fignify, that it was not his. From whence it appears, that falls express more strongly, even than words themfelves; or to contradict any proposition by facts is a fuller and more effectual contradiction, than can possibly be made by words only 4. Words are but arbitrary signs of our ideas, or indications of

\*\* κουν God, but in works they deny him." Epiff. to Titus. And τὸ ἔξροις ἀρτῶτο Θαίν το κάπει το κάματι. "To deny God by "our works is worfe than to deny him by our words." Chrys. d Λόγω ἔρρασμία. "Words are the images of our deeds." PLUT. Res loquitur ipfa: quæ femper valet plurimum. "The "thing speaks itself, which is always of very great force." Cic. Quid verba audiam, cum facta videam? "What signifies "my hearing of words, when I see the facts?" Id. Αυτά βοᾶ τὰ σερέδματα, κὰτ τῷ φανῷ σιαπᾶς. "The facts themselves speak

This we know. For they are different to different nations; we coin them as we please, & c. Φύσει τῶν ἐνομάτων ἐδινίςτιν, ἀλλὰ ἔταν γένεθαι σύμβολον. " The names of things are not founded " in nature, but are only artificial signs." ARIST. And the Plato, seems to be of another mind, yet when Cratylus says, Οιομάζων δεθύτεθαι δεθύτεθαι

" out aloud, though you are filent with your voice." Bas.

our thoughts (that word, which in one language denotes poverty, in another denotes riches): but facts may be taken as the effects of them, or rather as the thoughts themselves produced into act; as the very conceptions of the mind brought forth, and grown to maturity; and therefore as the most natural and express representations of them. And, beside this, they bear certain respects to things, which are not arbitrary, but as determinate and immutable as any ratio's are in mathematics. For the facts and the things they respect are just what they are, as much as any two given quantities are; and therefore the respects interceding between those

of θότηλα είναι εκάς των δυτων φύσει σειφυκυίαν, "that the pro"priety of the name is founded in the nature of every thing,"
it is much to be questiond whether any thing more be meant
than this, that some names of things are more natural or proper than others. For he says that this rectitude of names is the
same, καὶ "Ελλησι καὶ βαρθάσεις, "with the Greeks and with the
"Barbarians;" that it is [only] such as is sufficient δηλεν οίνν
εκας όν εκι τῶν όντων, " to signify what every thing is;" such as
may render them κατά τὸ δύναιον θμοια—τοῖς ωρείγμασιν, &c. "as
"like the things as is possible, &c." That lepidum & session
argumentum, "that witty and jocular argument," which P. Nigidius in Aulus Gellius makes use of to shew, cur videri possint
werba esse naturalia magis quam arbitraria, " why words seem
"rather to be natural than arbitrary," deserves only to be
laughd at.

f שיל, the Hebrew word Refb.

s שולה, the Arabic word Resh. So Aben Ezra observes that אלה. Abab, in Hebrew is to will, in Arabic to nill (tho in Arabic the word is written אלה בוות בוות בוות בוות להוות להוות

must

must be as fixt, as the ratio is which one of these bears to the other: that is, they must remain the same, and always speak the same language, till things cease to be what they are.

I lay this down then as a fundamental maxim, That whoever atts as if things were so, or not so, doth by his atts declare, that they are so, or not so; as plainly as he could by words, and with more reality. And if the things are otherwise, his acts contradict those propositions, which affert them to be as they are h.

IV. No act (whether word or deed) of any being, to whom moral good and evil are imputable, that interferes with any true proposition, or denies any thing to be as it is, can be right. For,

1. If that proposition, which is false, be wrong k, that act which implies such a proposition, or is founded in it, cannot be right: because it is the very proposition itself in practice.

2. Those propositions, which are true, and express things as they are, express the relation between

the

This is σοιῶτ ψοῦδο, "to act a lye." Revel. Plato uses the same way of speaking. Υεῦδο, says he, μαθείς μαθετ-μέτε λόγο μάτε έργο σοιξειο, " No man should tell a lye either by word " or deed. The contrary to this is in Aristotle αλαθεύσεν ἐμέων ε λόγοιε τι σοιξειον; " to perform the truth both in words and " in deeds;" and τι βίο αλαθεύσεν, " to live in the truth." And in S. B. ΠΌΝΙ ΠΊΤ, " to walk in the truth," and ΠΌΝ ΤΤΊ, " in the way of truth."

i Actum generale verbum est, sive verbis sive re quid agatur,
if An act is a general expression, and signifies any thing that is
if acted either by words or deeds." Justin. Digest.

Le As it must be, because 'Optivi das de' de'. "Truth is al-

the subject and the attribute as it is; that is, this is either affirmed or denied of that according to the nature of that relation. And further, this relation (or, if you will, the nature of this relation) is determind and fixt by the natures of the things themfelves. Therefore nothing can interfere with any proposition that is true, but it must likewise interfere with nature (the nature of the relation, and the natures of the things themselves too), and confequently be unnatural, or wrong in nature. So very much are those gentlemen mistaken, who by following nature mean only complying with their bodily inclinations, tho in opposition to truth, or at least without any regard to it. Truth is but a conformity to nature: and to follow nature cannot be to combat truth 1.

3. If there is a fupreme being, upon whom the existence of the world depends; and nothing can be in it but what He either causes, or permits to be; then to own things to be as they are is to own what He causes, or at least permits, to be thus caused or permitted: and this is to take things as He gives them, to go into His constitution of the world, and to submit to His will, reveald in the books of nature m. To do this therefore must be agreeable to His will. And if so, the contrary must be disagreeable to it; and, since (as we shall find in due

time)

¹ Τῷ λογικῶ ζάφ ἡ αὐτὰ σεείζις κατὰ ζόσιν ἐς ικὰ κατὰ λόγον. "An "action which is done according to nature, or according to "reason, is the same in a creature endued with reason." (That is, according to truth, which it is the office of reason to discover). Anton. Nunquam aliud natura, aliud tapientia dicit. "Nature never dictates one thing, and reason a different thing." Iuv.

m "Edwar [i Θεδε] drai δέλτα τον αίσμον. " [God] has given us for the world, as it were for a book to read in." Chrys.

18 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. I. time) there is a perfect rectitude in His will, cer-

tainly wrong.

I defire that I may not be mifunderstood in refpect to the actings of wicked men. I do not say, it is agreeable to the will of God, that what is ill done by them, should be so done; i. e. that they should use their liberty ill: but I say, when they have done this and committed some evil, it is agreeable to His will, that we should allow it to have been committed: or, it would be disagreeable to His will, that we should deny it to have been committed.

As the owning of things, in all our conduct, to be as they are, is direct obedience ": so the contrary, not to own things to be or to have been that are or have been, or not to be what they are, is direct rebellion against Him, who is the Author of nature. For it is as much as to say, "God indeed causes such a thing to be, or at least permits it, and it is; or the relation, that lies between this and that, is of such a nature, that one may be afsirmed of the other, &c. this is true: but yet to me it shall not be so: I will not indure it, or act as if it were so: the laws of nature are ill framed, nor will I mind them, or what sollows from them: even existence shall be non-existence,

ee when

<sup>&</sup>quot;What Hierock's fays of his ἐγκόσμιοι Θιοὶ, "Gods that go" vern this world," is true in respect of every thing. Τῶ Θίος
νόμω κατακολεύντων ἐςι—τῶτο αὐτὰς ἐιαι τίθεσθαι, ε γεγότασι.
"The supposing them to be what they are—is paying obe"dience to the law of God." There is a passage somewhere in S. Iggar. much like this: where it is said (as I remember) that he, who worships an Angel 'Τ Π΄ Ψ ΝΤΠ Π΄ ΣΙΙΔ (" as be"ing what he is, the messenger of God") is not guilty of idolatry.

when my pleasures require." Such an impious declaration as this attends every voluntary infraction of truth.

4. Things cannot be denied to be what they are, in any instance or manner whatsoever, without contradicting axioms and truths eternal. For such are these: every thing is what it is; that which is done, cannot be undone; and the like. And then if those truths be considered as having always substited in the Divine mind, to which they have always been true, and which differs not from the Deity himself, to do this is to act not only in opposition to His government or soveraignty, but to His nature also: which, if He be perfect, and there be nothing in Him but what is most right, must also upon this account be most wrong.

Pardon these inadequate ways of speaking of God. You will apprehend my meaning: which perhaps may be better represented thus. If there are such things as axioms, which are and always have been immutably true, and consequently have been always known to God to be so p, the truth of them cannot be denied any way, either directly or indirectly, but the truth of the Divine knowledge must be denied too.

5. Designedly to treat things as being what they are not is the greatest possible absurdity. It is to put bitter for sweet, darkness for light, crooked for

P 'Αλάθεια γαρ ὁπαδὸς Θεῦ. " For truth is the companion of God." Ph. Jud.

2 streight,

fireight, &c. It is to subvert all science, to renounce all sense of truth, and flatly to deny the existence of any thing. For nothing can be true, nothing does exist, if things are not what they are.

To talk to a post, or otherwise treat it as if it was a man, would furely be reckond an absurdity, if not distraction 9. Why? because this is to treat it as being what it is not. And why should not the converse be reckond as bad; that is, to treat a man as a post; as if he had no sense, and selt not injuries, which he doth feel; as if to him pain and sorrow were not pain; happiness not happiness. This is what the cruel and unjust often do.

Lastly, To deny things to be as they are is a transgression of the great law of our nature, the law of reason. For truth cannot be opposed, but reason must be violated. But of this more in the proper place.

9 Ποίμνας—ώς ανδεμς—έχαν, "Treating his flocks--like men," is in Soph. the character of Ligax, when his head was turned, in a fit of raving. And among the monstrous and mad extravagences of C. Caligala one is, that he treated his horse Incitatus as a man. Suet.

t Horace argues after the same manner. Si quis lectica nitidam gestare amet agnam; Huic westem, ut natw, paret, &c. Interdicto buic omne adimat jus Prator, &c. Quid, siquis natum promité devovet agnà. Integer est animi? ne dixerie. "If any one should take pleasure in carrying a very pretty lamb about with him in his chariot, and clad it like his daughter; &c. ought not the Magistrate to take the power out of such an one's hands? &c. But what if any man should attempt to offer his daughter as a sacrifice instead of a dumb lamb. "Would you say that he was in his right soules? I am sure you would not." If it be against truth and nature to use a lamb as a daughter, it will be as much against truth to use a daughter as a lamb.

Much

Much might be added here concerning the amiable nature s, and great force t of truth. If I may judge by what I feel within myfelf, the least truth cannot be contradicted without much reluctance: even to see other men difregard it does something more than displease; it is shocking.

V. What has been said of acts inconsistent with truth, may also be said of many omissions, or neglects to act: that is, by these also true propositions may be denied to be true; and then those omissions, by which this is done, must be wrong for the same reasons with those assigned under the former proposition.

ņ.

01

6

51

to

Nothing can be afferted or denied by any act with regard to those things, to which it bears no relation: and here no truth can be affected. And when acts do bear such relations to other things, as to be declaratory of something concerning them, this commonly is visible; and it is not difficult to determin, whether truth suffers by them, or not. Some things cannot possibly be done, but truth must be directly and positively denied; and the

C 3 thing

<sup>\*</sup> Ka9' αὐτὸ μὰν ἡτῦδ۞ Φαῦλον καὶ ἡτατόν τὸ δὶ ἀληθὰς καλὰν καὶ ἐπαινῖὰν. " A lye is base and blame-worthy of itself, and truth is beautiful and praise-worthy." ARIST. Est quiddam, quod suâ vi nos alliciat ad sese, non emolumento captans aliquo, sed trabens sua dignitate: quod genus, virtus, scientia, veritas est. "There is " something that wins our affections by its own native force, " something that does not catch us by any prosit that it brings, " but attracts us by its superior excellency; something of this " kind is virtue, knowledge, truth." Cic. Τλυκο ἐ ἀλάθοια. "Truth is a sweet thing." A festival saying in Plutarch.

t O magna vis veritatis, &c. "O the great force of truth, " &c." Cic. A good man מנושה האמת מפני שהוא אמת מפני שהוא does the truth, because it is the truth." Maim.

thing will be clear. But the cases arising from omissions are not always so well determind, and plain: it is not always easy to know when or how far truth is violated by omitting. Here therefore more latitude must be allowd, and much must be left to every one's own judgment and ingenuity.

This may be faid in general, that when any truth would be denied by acting, the omitting to act can deny no truth. For no truth can be contrary to truth ". And there may be omiffions in other cases, that are silent as to truth. But yet there are fome neglects or refusals to act, which are manifestly inconsistent with it (or, with some true propositions).

We before \* fupposed A to have engaged not to do some certain thing, &c. if now, on the other side, he should by some solemn promise, oath, or other act undertake to do some certain thing before such a time, and he voluntarily \* omits to do it, he would behave himself as if there had been no such promise or engagement; which is equal to denying there was any: and truth is as much contradicted in this as in the former instance.

Again, there are some ends, which the nature of things and truth require us to aim at, and at which therefore if we do not aim, nature and truth are denied. If a man does not desire to prevent evils, and to be happy, he denies both his own nature and the nature and definition of bappiness to be what they

<sup>&</sup>quot; Plura vera discrepantia esse non possunt. " Be there never so many truths, they cannot be inconsistent with each other." Cic.

w P. 10.

<sup>2</sup> Oblivione voluntaria. "By a voluntary forgetfulness."Cic.

are. And then further, willingly to neglect the means, leading to any fuch end, is the same as not to propose that end, and must fall under the same censure. As retreating from any end commonly attends the not advancing towards it, and that may be considered as an act, many omissions of this kind may be turned over to the other side, and brought under the foregoing proposition.

It must be confest there is a disficulty as to the means, by which we are to consult our own preservation and happiness: to know what those are, and what they are with respect to us. For our abilities and opportunities are not equal: some labor under disadvantages invincible: and our ignorance of the true natures of things, of their operations and effects in such an irregular distemperd world, and of those many incidents, that may happen either to further or break our measures, deprive us of certainty in these matters. But still we may judge as well as we can, and do what we can z; and the neglect to do this will be an omission within the reach of the proposition.

There are omissions of other kinds, which will deserve to be annumerated to these by being either total, or notorious, or upon the score of some other circumstance. It is certain I should not deny the Phanissa of Euripides to be an excellent drama by not reading it: nor do I deny Chibil-menar to be a

C 4

rare

In the Civil Law he is said to ast, who does omit. Qui non facit quod facere debet, videtur facere adversus ea quæ non facit. "He who does not do what he ought to do, seems to ast against those things which he does not do." Digest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Est quodam prodire tenus. "It is something to go, tho it be but a little way, or to make a small progress." Hor.

rare piece of antiquity by not going to see it. But should I, having leisure, health, and proper opportunities, read nothing, nor make any inquiries in order to improve my mind, and attain such knowledge as may be useful to me, I should then deny my mind to be what it is, and that knowledge to be what it is. And if it dots not appear provides

be what it is. And if it doth not appear preciely, into what kind of studies this respect to truth will carry a man preferably to all others, how far it will oblige him to continue his pursuit after knowledge, and where the discontinuance begins to be no offence against truth, he must consult his own opportunities and genius, and judge for himself as well

as he can ". This is one of those cases which I said

before were not fo well determind.

If I give nothing to this or that poor body, to whom I am under no particular obligation, I do not by this deny them to be *poor*, any more than I should deny a man to have a squalid beard by not shaving him, to be nasty by not washing him, or to be lame by not taking him on my back.

Many things are here to be taken into confideration (according to the next proposition): perhaps I might intrench upon truth by doing this; and then I cannot by not doing it b. But if I, being of ability to afford now and then something in charity to the poor, should yet never give them any thing at all, I should then certainly deny the condition of

the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Difces quamdiu woles: tamdiu autem welle debebis, quoad te, quantum proficias, non pænitebit. "You may learn as long as you "please, and you ought to please, so long as you are not un- "easy at any improvement of yourself." Says Cicero to his son.

b Nulla virtus virtuti contraria est. "No one virtue can be contradictory to any other virtue." SEN.

the poor to be what it is, and my own to be what it is: and thus truth would be injured. So, again,

If I should not say my prayers at such a certain bour, or in such a certain place and manner, this would not imply a denial of the existence of God, His providence, or my dependence upon Him: nay, there may be reasons perhaps against that particular time, place, manner. But if I should never pray to Him, or worship Him at all, such a total omission would be equivalent to this affertion. There is no God, who governs the world, to be adored: which, if there is such a being, must be contrary to truth. Also generally and notoriously to neglect this duty (permit me to call it fo), tho not quite always, will favor, if not directly proclaim the same untruth. For certainly to worship God after this manner is only to worship him accidentally, which is to declare it a great accident that he is worshipd at all, and this approaches as near as it is possible to a total neglect. Beside, such a sparing and infrequent worshiper of the Deity betrays such an habitual difregard of Him, as will render every religious act infignificant and null.

Should I, in the last place, find a man grievously hurt by some accident, faln down, alone, and without present help like to perish; or see his house on fire, no body being near to help, or call out: in this extremity if I do not give him my assistance immediately, I do not do it at all: and by this refusing to do it according to my ability, I deny his case to be what it is; human nature to be what it is; and even those desires and expectations, which I am conscious to myself I should have under the like missortune, to be what they are.

VI. In

## 26 The Religion of Nature. Sect. I.

VI. In order to judge rightly what any thing is, it must be considered not only what it is in itself or in one respect, but also what it may be in any other respect, which is capable of being denied by sacis or practice: and the whole description of the thing ought to be taken in.

If a man steals a horse, and rides away upon him, he may be faid indeed by riding him to use him as a borse, but not as the borse of another man, who gave him no licence to do this. He does not therefore confider him as being what he is, unless he takes in the respect he bears to his true owner. But it is not necessary perhaps to consider what he is in respect to his color, shape or age: because the thief's riding away with him may neither affirm nor deny him to be of any particular color, &c. I fay therefore, that those, and all those properties, respects, and circumstances, which may be contradicted by practice, are to be taken into confideration. For otherwise the thing to be considerd is but imperfectly furveyd; and the whole compass of it being not taken in, it is taken not as being what it is, but as what it is in part only, and in other respects perhaps as being what it is not.

If a rich man being upon a journey, should be robbed and stript, it would be a second robbery and injustice committed upon him to take from him part of his then character, and to consider him only as a rich man. His character completed is a rich man robbed and abused, and indeed at that time a poor man and distrest, tho able to repay afterwards the assistance lent him.

בוותה שעה: "Poor at that particular time:" according to that determination in a case something like this, which occurs in Talm. Mass. Phe.

Moreover

Moreover a man in giving affiftance of any kind to another should consider what bis own circumstances are, as well as what the other's are 4. If they do not permit him to give it, he does not by his forbearance deny the other to want it: but if he should give it, and by that deny his own or his familv's circumstances to be what they are, he would actually contradict truth. And fince (as I have obferved already) all truths are confiftent, nor can any thing be true any further than it is compatible with other things that are true; when both parties are placed in a right light, and the case properly stated for a judgment, the latter may indeed be truly said to want assistance, but not the assistance of the former: any more than a man, who wants a guide, may be faid to want a blind or a lame guide. By putting things thus may be truly known what the latter is with respect to the former.

The case becomes more difficult, when a man (A) is under some promise or compast to assist another (B), and at the same time bound to consult his own happiness, provide for his samily, &c. and he cannot do these, if he does that, effectually. For what must A do? Here are not indeed opposite truths, but there are truths on opposite sides. I answer: the there cannot be two incompatible du-

ties,

d Utrique simul consulendum est. Dabo egenti; sed ut ipse non egeam, &c. "Regard is to be had to both at the same time; I "will give to one in want, yet so that I may not want myself, "&c." Sen. Ita te aliorum miscrescat, ne tui alios miscreat. "Take pity of others, but do it in such a manner as not to "fland in need of the pity of others yourself." Plaut.

## 28 The Religion of Nature. Sect. I.

ties, or tho two inconsistent acts cannot be both A's duty at the same time (for then his duty would be an impossibility); yet an obligation, which I will call mixt, may arise out of those differing considerations. A should assist B; but so, as not to neglect himself and samily, Sc. and so to take care of himself and family, as not to forget the other ingagement, as well and bonestly as be can. Here the importance of the truths on the one and the other side should be diligently compared; and there must in such cases be always some exception or limitation understood. It is not in man's power to promise adsolutely. He can only promise as one, who may be disabled by the weight and incumbency of truths not then existing.

I could here infert many inflances of partial thinking, which occur in authors: but I shall choose only to set down one in the margin \*.

<sup>\*</sup> Sextus Empiricus seems to be fond of that silthy saying of Zeno, in relation to what is storied of Jocasia and Oedipus: μὰ ἀτοπος είναι τὸ μορίον τῶς μπτερες τεί ψαι, κλ any more, than to rub with the hand any other part of her, when in pain. Here only τεί ψιε is considered; as if all was nothing more, but barely τεί ψιε; but this is an incomplete idea of the act. For τεί ψιε τῶ μορίω is more than τεί ψιε by itself: and τεί ψιε τῶ μορίω τῶς μπτερε is still more: and certainly τεί είναι τῶν χεί εκτ τῶν μορίω. &c. He might as well have said, that to rub a red bot piece of iron with one's bare hand is the same as to rub one that is coid, or any other innocent piece of matter: for all is but τεί ψιε. Thus men, affecting to appear free-thinkers, shew themselves to be but half-thinkers, or less: they do not take in the whole of that which is to be considered.

In short, when things are truly estimated, persons concerned, times, places f, ends intended s, and effects that naturally follow, must be added to them.

VII. When any ast would be wrong, the forbearing that ast must be right: likewise when the omission of any thing would be wrong, the doing of it (i. e. not omitting it) must be right. Because contrariorum contraria est ratio.

VIII. Moral good and evil are coincident with right and wrong. For that cannot be good, which is wrong; nor that evil, which is right.

IX. Every att therefore of fuch a being, as is before described, and all those omissions which interfere with truth (i. e. deny any proposition to be true; which is true; or suppose any thing not to be what it is, in any regard h) are morally evil, in some degree or other: the forbearing such atts, and the atting in

f Sunt res quædam ex tempore, & ex confilio, non ex sua natura considerandæ.—Quid tempora petant, aut quid personis dignum sit, considerandum est. &c. "Some things are to be considered, not as they are in their own nature, but the particular time and "the intention are to be taken into the account.—We are to "consider what the times require, and what is proper for such "and such persons, &c." Cic.

g Οὐ λίγεις φιλίποιος του δια σκαιδισκάριος αγρυπτέντα. "You "will not fay that a person is industrious, because he once "watchd all night with his daughter." Arr. Amico ægro aliquis essidet: probamus. at hoc si hereditatis causa facit, vultur essidadover expectat. "A man watches with a sick friend: it is al"slowd to be a good action; but if he did it in order to make him"self his heir, he is a vulture, and watchd for the carcase." Sen.
h Où και est depignos is ε τρόπος. "There are more ways

h Ου γάρ εξε άξενοιώς ες ι τεόπ. "There are more ways than one of denying a thing." CHRYS.

opposition

opposition to such omissions are merally good: and when any thing may be either done, or not done, equally without the violation of truth, that thing is indifferent.

I would have it to be minded well, that when I fpeak of acts inconfishent with truth, I mean any truth; any true proposition whatsoever, whether containing matter of speculation, or plain fact. I would have every thing taken to be what in fact and truth it is i.

It may be of use also to remember, that I have added those words in some degree or other. For neither all evil, nor all good actions are equal \*. Those truths which they respect, tho they are equally true, may comprise matters of very different importance 1; or more truths may be violated one way

i Τὸ κεάτισον τῶν ἀγαθῶν κ ἀκάθωα, καὶ ὁ ἴσχατος ὅρ૬ τῆς συνηρίας τὸ ψεῦδ૬. " Of all the good things in the world truth is the best, and salshood is the utmost boundary of all evil." Bas.

than

<sup>\*</sup> Notwithstanding that paradox of the Stoics, 'Oτι Ισα τα αμαρτήματα, καὶ τα κατος βάματα, "That all sins are equal, and "all duties equal," in Cicero, Plutarch, Diogenes Laertius, and others, which might easily be confuted from their own words in Cicero. For if sinning be like passing a line, or limit; that is, going over or beyond that line: then, to sin being equal to going beyond that line, to go more (or farther) beyond that line must be to sin more. Who sees not the falsity of that, nec bono viro meliorem,—nec forti fortiorem, nec sapiente sapientiorem posse feri? "that it is impossible for a good man to be better—or a "strong man to be stronger, or a wise man wiser?" And so on. Nullum inter scelus & erratum discrimen facere, "to make no "difference betwixt notorious wickedness and mere mistakes" (as St Jerom expresses their orinion: if that epistle to Celantia be his) is to alter or destroy the natures of things.

<sup>1</sup> Sure that Wiseman was but a bad accountant, who reckond, την μισιεπν εσίαν ἀποδαλών δραχμήν μίαν εκδιδληκίναι, "that
" he who throws away the greatest estate, throws away but a
" drachm." In *Plutarch*.

than another m: and then the crimes committed by the violation of them may be equally (one as well as the other) faid to be crimes, but not equal crimes n. If A steals a book from B which was pleasing and useful to him, it is true A is guilty of a crime in not treating the book as being what it is, the book of B, who is the proprietor of it, and one whose happiness partly depends upon it: but still if A: should deprive B of a good estate, of which he was the true owner, he would be guilty of a much greater crime. For if we suppose the book to be worth to him one pound, and the estate 10000 l. that truth, which is violated by depriving B of his book, is in effect violated 10000 times by robbing him of his estate. It is the same as to repeat the theft of one pound 10000 times over: and therefore if 10000 thefts (or crimes) are more, and all together greater than one, one equal to 10000 must be greater too: greater than that, which is but the 1000oth part of it, sure. Then, tho the convenience and innocent pleasure, that B found in the use of the book, was a degree of happiness: yet the happiness accruing to him from the estate, by which

<sup>&</sup>quot;This is confest in Cicero. Illudinterest, quadin servo necando, si adsit injuria, semel peccatur: in patris vita violanda multa peccantur, &c. Multitudine peccatorum prassat, &c. "There is this "difference, that he who kills a slave, if it be done wrong- fully, is guilty of sin in that one respect only; but he that "wickedly takes away the life of his father, sins in many resistence, &c. He excels in the multitude of his sins, &c."

n This may serve for an answer to Chrysippus, and them who say, et αληθές αληθές μάλλον οὐα ἔςτη, ἐδι ψεύδω ψεύδως ἔτας εδι ἀπάτη ἀπάτης ἐδι ἀμάρτημα ἀμαρτήματω, αλ. "That if no "one truth be greater than another truth, nor no one falshood "greater than another falshood; then neither is one fraud nor "one fin greater than another." In Diog. LAERT.

2 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. I.

he was supplied not only with necessaries, but also with many other comforts and harmless injoyments, vastly exceeded it. And therefore the truth violated in the former case was, B had a property in that, which gave him such a degree of happiness: that violated in the latter, B had a property in that, which gave him a happiness wastly superior to the other. The violation therefore in the latter case is upon this account a vaftly greater violation than in the former. Lafly, the truths violated in the former case might end in B, those in the latter may perhaps be repeated in them of his family, who subfift also by the effate, and are to be provided for out of it. And these truths are very many in respect of every one of them, and all their defeendents. Thus the degrees of evil or guilt are as the importance and number of truth violated o. I shall only add, on the other fide, that the value of good actions will rife at least in proportion to the degrees of evil in the omiffion of them: and that therefore they cannot be equal, any more than the opposite evil omissions.

But let us return to that, which is our main subject, the distinction between moral good and evil. Some have been so wild as to deny there is any such thing: but from what has been said here, it is manifest, that there is as certainly moral good and evil as there is true and false; and that there is as

natural

<sup>•</sup> Queis paria esse ferè placuit seccata, laborant Can wentum ad werum est: sensus moresque repugnant, Asque ipsa utilitas. "They who would have all fins to be equal, labor under great dissificulty, when they come to the truth; for they find it contrary to reason, to morality, and to the interest of mankind." Hon.

natural and immutable a difference between those as between these, the difference at the bottom being indeed the same p. Others acknowledge, that there is indeed moral good and evil; but they want some criterion, or mark, by the help of which they might know them asunder. And others there are, who pretend to have found that rule, by which our actions ought to be squared, and may be discriminated; or that ultimate end, to which they ought all to be referred q: but what they have advanced is either salse, or not sufficiently guarded, or not comprehensive enough, or not clear and firm, or (so far as it is just) reducible to my rule. For

They, who reckon nothing to be good but what they call bonestum, may denominate actions according as that is, or is not the cause or

P Therefore they, who denied there was either good or evil (vises dyador \* xaxòr, " good or evil in the nature of things)" were much in the right to make thorough work, and to say there was nothing in nature either true or false. See Sext. Emp. and Diog. Laert.

9 Quod [extremum, s. ultimum bonorum] omnium philosophorum sententia tale debet esse, ut ad id omnia referri oporteat: ipsum autem nusquam. "That which is the (ultimate end or final good) according to the opinion of all philosophers, must be "something to which all other things ought to be referred, but "itself referred to nothing." Cic.

r There was among the old philosophers such an uncertainty and variety of opinions concerning the fines bonorum & malorum, "the limits of good and evil," that if Varro computes rightly, the number might be raised to 288. St Aug.

s Quod honeflum est, id bonum solum habendum est. "That "which is truly honorable, and valuable upon it's own account, is the only thing that ought to be esteemed really good." Cato in C1c.

t Qui [omnes] permulta ob eam unam causam faciunt—quia honessum est. "Who (every body) do abundance of things for this
"reason only--because they are honorable in themselves." C1c.

D end

34 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. 1. end of them: but then what is benefium of Something is still wanting to measure things by, and to separate the benefia from the inhonesia.

They who place all in fellowing nature \*, if they mean by that phrase acting according to the natures of things (that is, treating things as being what they in nature are, or according to truth) say what is right. But this does not seem to be their meaning. And if it is only that a man must follow his own nature \*, since his nature is not purely rational, but there is a part of him, which he has in common with brutes, they appoint him a guide which I fear will mislead him, this being

" It is commonly placed among ends: and is confiderd as fuch in those ways of speaking; honestum esse proper se expetendum, " that which is honorable ought to be sought after for it's own sake." Cic. Finem bonorum esse honeste vivere, " The persection of all goodness and virtue is to live by the rules of true honor." Ib. and the like.

w To fay, Quod laudabile est, omne honestum est, "what is "truly praise-worthy, is truly honorable," or any thing like that, is to say nothing. For how shall one know what is truly laudabile, "praise-worthy?"

x Tiλ & cine [Zήναν] το ομολογυμένας (al. ἀπολύθας) τη φύσως ζην, όπερ èsi ματ' ἀρετὰν ζην. "Αγει γάρ σες» ταύτην ὰμᾶς ὰ φύσες. "The perfection of man fays (Ζεπο) is to live agreeably to, or to follow nature; and that is to live virtuously, for nature leads us to that." Diog. Laert.

"Vivere ex hominis natura." To live agreeably to the na"ture of man." Cic. It is true he adds, undique perfecta & nihil requirente: "every way perfect and wanting nothing."
but those words have either no meaning, or such as will not
much mend the matter. For what is natura undique perfecta &
nihil requirens, "a nature every way perfect and wanting no"thing?" Beside, moral religion doth not consist in following
nature already perfect, but by the practice of religion we aim
at the perfecting of our natures.

commonly

commonly more likely to prevail, than the rational part. At best this talk is loofe.

They who make right reason z to be the law, by which our acts are to be judged, and according to their conformity to this or deflexion from it call them lawful or unlawful, good or bad, fay fomething more particular and precise. And indeed it is true, that whatever will bear to be tried by right reason, is right; and that which is condemned by it, wrong. And moreover, if by right reafon is meant that which is found by the right use of our rational faculties, this is the same with truth: and what is faid by them, will be comprehended in what I have faid. But the manner in which they have deliverd themselves, is not yet explicit enough 2. It leaves room for so many disputes and opposite right-reasons, that nothing can be fettled, while every one pretends that his reafon is right. And beside, what I have said, extends farther: for we are not only to respect those truths, which we discover by reasoning, but even fuch matters of fatt, as are fairly discoverd to us by our fenses. We ought to regard things as being what they are, which way foever we come to the knowledge of them.

They, who contenting themselves with superficial and transient views, deduce the difference

 $D_2$ 

,

between

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Celebrated every where.

<sup>2</sup> Το μεν εν ετα διορίσασ θαι τας αγαθάς αφείξεις, τας εατά τον ορθόν γινομένας λόγον, καὶ τας ασυπερές τεναντίον, αληθές μεν, ουκ ες ε εκανό τας αφείξεις σημάναι. "Το define good actions thus, " νία. that they are done according to right reason, and bad " actions the contrary; is indeed true, but is not sufficient to " declare the nature of them by shewing what actions are truly fuch." Andron. Rhod.

They, who own nothing to be good but *plea-fure*, or what they call *jucundum*, nothing evil but *pain*, and diffinguish things by their tendencies

b Nec folum jus & injuria a natura dijudicatur, sed omnino omnia honesta & turpia. Nam communis intelligentia nobis notas res efficit, easque in animis nostris inchoavit, ut honesta in virtute ponantur, in vitiis turpia. "Not only right and wrong are different in the nature of things, but all forts of honorable and base actions are so likewise: for common sense makes us understand things, and lays the first rudiments of them in our minds, in such a manner, that we make honorable things to consist in their being virtuous, and base things to consist in their being virtuous, and base things to consist in their being virtuous." CIC. Keltheld and [o Kallounta ] evaluation and resterior and mechanic. "Chrysippus says, that sensation and resterior and method which we form our judgment of things." Diog. Laert.

c They are usually called principia naturæ, lex (or leges) naturæ, æκολήψεις, κοιταί, οτ φυσικαί έττοιαι, τόμω φυσικός, ες. the principles of nature, the law (or laws) of nature, first apprehensions of things, universal or natural notions, the law of nature, ες."

d The fet of these practical principles (or a habit flowing from them) is, what, I think, goes by the name of Synteresis.

" Unaquæque gens boc legem naturæ putat, quod didicit. " Every nation think that to be the law of nature, which they have been taught." JEROM.

f Under which word these delicate men comprehend labor.
When Epicurus, in Lucian, is asked, Κακδινής τὸν Φόνος, "Whether he thought labor an evil?" he answers, Nat. "Yea." And Mindyrides

to this or that <sup>g</sup>, do not agree in what this pleafure is to be placed <sup>h</sup>, or by what methods and actings the most of it may be obtaind. These are left to be questions still. As men have different tastes, different degrees of sense and philosophy, the same thing cannot be pleasant to all: and if particular actions are to be proved by this test, the morality of them will be very uncertain; the same act may be of one nature to one man, and of another to another. Beside, unless there be some strong limitation added as a fence for virtue, men will be

Mindyrides (Σμινδυρίδης, ap. Herod. 3ς in ακαΐσον δι χλιδής εξε ἀνὰς ἀπίκενο, "Smyndirides it is in Herodotus, a man who carried lu"xury to the highest degree") proceeded so far in his aversion to labor, that ejus latus alieno labore condoluit—: qui cum vidisfet fodientem, & altiùs rastrum allewantem, lassum se sieri (ἐνημα λαδείνο in Athen.) questus vetuit illum opus in conspectu suo facere, "it gave him a pain in his side to see another man labor—: when he "saw any one digging or listing a heavy rake, he complaind "that it made him weary (demolished him, it is in Athenæus) "and forbad the person doing any more work in his sight." Sen.

\* Ad bæc [voluptatem, & dolorem] & quæ fequamur, & quæ fugiamus, refert omnia [Aristippus]. " (Aristippus) referred every "thing (to pleasure and pain) which we pursue or avoid." C1c.

n Velim definias, quid fit voluptas: de quo omnis bæc quæstio est. "I would have you define what pleasure is, for this whole "question is about that." Cic. The disputes about pleasure between the Cyrenaics, Epicarus, Hieronymus, &c. are well known: whether the end was pleasure of body or mind: whether it was voluptas in motu, or in statu (stabilitate); quæ suavitate aliqua naturam ipsam movet, or quæ percipitur, omni dolore detracto; i è κινήσει, or i κατασπματική, &c. "such pleas" sure into a pleasing agreeable motion; or such as we feel, "when we are free from all pain; a pleasure that consists in "motion, or is fixed, &c." Cic. Diog. LAERT. and others.

 $D_3$ 

apt to fink into gross voluptuousness, as in fact the generality of *Epicurus*'s herd have done <sup>1</sup> (notwithstanding all his talk of temperance, virtue, tranquility of mind, &c.); and the bridle will be usurped by those appetites which it is a principal part of all religion, natural as well as any other, to curb and restrain. So these men say what is intelligible indeed: but what they say is faise. For not all pleasures, but only such pleasure as is irue, or happiness (of which afterwards), may be reckond among the sines, or ultima bonorum.

He k, who, having confiderd the two extremes in mens practice, in condemning both which the world generally agrees, places virtue in the middle, and feems to raise an idea of it from its situation at an equal distance from the opposite extremes 1, could

i Negat Epicurus jucunde vivi posse, nisi cum virtute vivatur. Epicurus denies that any one can live pleasantly, that does not live virtuously." Cic. But for all that their pleasures have not continued to be always like those in the little gardens of Gargettus. Nor indeed do they seem to be very virtuous even there. For Epicurus not only had his Leontium (or, as he amorously called her, Λεοντάριον, "his pretty poppet") a famous harlot; but she māsi τε τοῦς Ἐπικυξείνες συνῶν ὰ τοις κῶποις, "laid "with all the Epicureans in the gardens." Ατhen. And in his book περὶ τίλες " of perfection" he is said to have written thus, Οὐ γὰρ ἔγοῦς ἔχωτι νοῦ των πάγαδο, ἀναιεῶν μὸν τὰς διὰ χυλῶν (χειλῶν, Αιλει.) κδονὰς, ἀναιεῶν δὶ καὶ τὰς δὶ ἀνερδισίων, κλ. "There " is nothing that I esteem good, if you take away the pleasure " which arises from eating and drinking and women." See this and more in Diog. Laert.

k St Jeromuses the plural number, as if this was the prevailing notion in his time. Philosophorum sententia est, μεσότελας αρετάς, επτεβολάς κακίας είναι. "It is the opinion of the philosophers, that virtues consist in the middle, and vices in the extremes."

<sup>1</sup> Η μεν υπες δολή αμαρταν είαι, ω ή έλλειψες ψέρεται, πό δε μέσου επαιρείται.— Ες εν αξοκ ή αξετή έξες σροαιρετικό, εν μεσότη εδσα, απλο Μεσίτης

could only design to be understood of such virtues, as have extremes. It must be granted indeed, that whatever declines in any degree toward either extreme, must be so far wrong or evil; and therefore that, which equally (or nearly) divides the distance, and declines neither way, must be right: also, that his notion supplies us with a good direction for common use in many cases. But then there are several obligations, that can by no means be derived from it: scarce more than such, as respect the virtues couched under the word moderation. And even as to these, it is many times difficult to discern, which is the middle point m. This the author himself was sensible of m.

And when his master *Plato* makes virtue to confist in such a likeness to God °, as we are capable of (and

Mesóτπε δλ, δύο κακιῶν τῆς μὲν καθ' ὕπερδολέν τῆς δλ κατ' ἔλλειψιν.

"Every excess is a crime, and every defect is blame-worthy,

but the medium is commendable.--Virtue then is a habit of

our own procuring, and consists in the middle. Which

middle is between the two extremes; the one of excess, and

the other of defect." Arist. Perhaps Pythagoras (and after him Plato, and others) when he said (in Diogenes Laertius)

rèv aperòv α'ρμονίαν είναι, " that virtue was a kind of harmony,"

might have some such thought as this.

m When he says, it must be taken structer at it is pθειλόγου στροσεξη, " according to the direction of right reason," it is not by

that ascertaind. See before.

"It is not eafy to determin the particular manner and the particular persons." Therefore Rabbi Albo might have spared that censure, where he blames himself for expressing himself too generally, when he says, אכו שראוי ובעת הראוי ובעקום הראוי " after a " due manner, in a convenient time, and in proper place," without telling him what that manner, time, place is.

 (and God to be the great exemplar), he fays what I shall not dispute. But since he tells us not how or by what means we may attain this likeness, we are little the wifer in point of practice: unless by it we understand the practice of truth, God being truth, and doing nothing contrary to it.

Whether any of those other foundations, upon which morality has been built, will hold better than these mentiond, I much question. But if the formal ratio of moral good and evil be made to consist in a conformity of mens acts to the truth of the case or the contrary, as I have here explaind it, the distinction seems to be settled in a manner undeniable, intelligible, practicable. For as what is meant by a true proposition and matter of sact is persectly understood by every body; so will it be easy for any one, so far as he knows any such propositions and sacts, to compare not only words, but also actions with them. A very little skill and attention will serve to interpret even these, and discover whether they speak truth, or not q.

öμοιῶτθαι θεῷ, " to make himself a righteous man, by laboring " after virtue, that he may be as like God as it is possible for a " man to be." And in another place, our συγκὶ ἐνθίτδε is ὁμοίωσιε θεῷ κατὰ τὸ δυνατίτ, " sleeing from thence is being like unto " God so far as we can be." St Augustin seems to agree with him, in that sentence of his, Religionis summa est imitari quem colis. " The highest pitch of religion is to imitate the being "you worship."

p กองสาร์อุส เอกรางออ่ะ, ก่ เออเกียร สังจิอุดทอง จะตุ อันอเอร, รอก, เลิง สิงกงเนื่อง. "Pythugoras being asked, What it was that any "man could do like what God does, answerd, Speak the "truth." Ston.

9 There is certainly not that difficulty or perplexity in morality, which Cicero seems to suppose, when he says, Consuetudo exercitatioque capienda, ut boni ratiocinatores officiorum esse possimus. "That use and exercise are necessary to make us good se reasoners about what is our duty."

 $\mathbf{X}$ , If

X. If there be moral good and evil, distinguishd as before, there is religion; and such as may most properly be ftyled natural. By religion I mean nothing else but an obligation to do (under which word I comprehend acts both of body and mind. I fay, to do) what ought not to be omitted, and to forbear what ought not to be done. So that there must be religion, if there are things, of which some ought not to be done, some not to be omitted. But that there are fuch, appears from what has been faid concerning moral good and evil: because that, which to omit would be evil, and which therefore being done would be good or well done, ought certainly by the terms to be done; and fo that, which being done would be evil, and implies fuch abfurdities and rebellion against the supreme being, as are mentiond under proposition the IVth. ought most undoubtedly not to be done. And then fince there is religion, which follows from the diftinction between moral good and evil; fince this distinction is founded in the respect, which mens acts bear to truth; and fince no proposition can be true, which expresses things otherwise than as they are in nature: fince things are fo, there must be religion, which is founded in nature, and may upon that account be most properly and truly called the religion of nature or natural religion; the great law of which religion, the law of nature, or rather (as we shall afterwards find reason to call it) of the Author of nature is,

XI. That every intelligent, active, and free being should so behave himself, as by no act to contradict

· Objections I am sensible may be made to almost any thing '; but I believe none to what has been here advanced but fuch as may be answerd. For to confider a thing as being fomething elfe than what it is, or (which is the fame) not to confider it as being what it is, is an absurdity indefensible. However, for a specimen, I will set down a few. Let us suppose some gentleman, who has not sufficiently confiderd these matters, amidst his freedoms, and in the gaiety of humor, to talk after some such manner as this. " If every thing must be treated s as being what it is, what rare work will follow? "For, 1. to treat my enemy as fuch is to kill him, " or revenge myself soundly upon him. " use a creditor, who is a spend-thrist, or one that knows not the use of money, or has no occasion of for it, as fuch, is not to pay him. Nay further, " 3. If I want money, don't I act according to 46 truth, if I take it from some body else to supsply my own wants? And more, do not I act contrary to truth, if I do not? 4. If one, who " plainly appears to have a defign of killing ano-

"ther,

<sup>&</sup>quot; What it is in nature. NAO AD, "according to what " the thing is," to use Maimonides's words. And thus that in Arrianus is true, Nόμ& βιωτικός δείν ἔτΦ, τὸ ἀκόλκθον τῦ φύσω Φερίτλεν. " The rule of life is, to do whatever is agreeable to nature." Omni in re quid sit weri, widere & tueri decet. " We " ought to find out and to maintain what is true, about every " thing." Cic. This is indeed the way of truth.

Because there is scarce any thing, which one or other will not say. Quid enim potest dici de illo, qui nigram dixit esse nivem, &e." "What can we say of a man that affirms black to be "white, &c." Lact.

"ther, or doing him some great mischief, if he can find him, should ask me where he is, and I know where he is; may not I, to save life, say I do not know, tho that be false & 5. At this rate I may not, in a frolick, break a glass, or burn a book: because forsooth to use these things as being what they are, is to drink out of the one, not to break it; and to read the other, not burn it. Lasty, how shall a man know what is true: and if he can find out truth, may he not want the power of acting agreeably to it?"

To the first objection it is easy to reply from what has been already faid. For if the objector's enemy, whom we will call E, was nothing more than his enemy, there might be some force in the objection; but fince he may be confiderd as fomething else beside that, he must be used according to what he is in other respects, as well as in that from which he is denominated the objector's (or O's) enemy. For E in the first place is a man; and as fuch may claim the benefit of common humanity, whatever that is: and if O denies it to him. he wounds truth in a very fensible part. And then if O and E are fellow-citizens, living under the fame government, and subject to laws, which are so many common covenants, limiting the behaviour of one man to another, and by which E is exempt from all private violence in his body, estate, &c.' O cannot treat E as being what he is, unless he treats him also as one, who by common consent is under fuch a protection. If he does otherwise, he denies the existence of the foresaid laws and public compacts: contrary to truth. And beside, O should act with respect to bimself as being what he

#### 44 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. I.

he is; a man himself, in such or such circumstances, and one who has given up all right to private revenge (for that is the thing meant here). If truth therefore be observed, the result will be this. O must treat E as semething compounded of a man, a fellow-citizen, and an enemy, all three: that is, he must only profecute him in such a way, as is agreeable to the statutes and methods, which the fociety have obliged themselves to observe. And even as to legal prosecutions, there may be many things still to be considerd. For E may shew himfelf an enemy to O in things, that fall under the cognizance of law, which yet may be of moment and importance to him, or not. If they are fuch things, as really affect the safety or bappiness of O or his family, then he will find himself obliged, in duty and submission to truth, to take refuge in the laws; and to punish E, or obtain satisfaction, and at least security for the future, by the means there prescribed. Because if he does not, he denies the nature and fense of happiness to be what they are; the obligations, which perhaps we shall shew hereafter he is under to his family t, to be what they are; a dangerous and wicked enemy to be dangerous and wicked; the end of laws, and fociety itfelf, to be the fafety and good of its members, by

preventing

t Conveniet cùm in dando munificum esse, tum in exigendo non acerbum:--à litibus verò quantum liceat, & nescio an paulo plus etiam quàm liceat, abhorrentem.--Habenda est autem ratio rei familiaris, quam quidem dilabi sinere siagitiosum est. "It is but reasonable "that we should be liberal in giving, and not severe in our demands:--we should be averse to any contention, as far as is lawful, nay I don't know, if we should not go a little far, "ther.--But we must have regard to our own private circum- stances, for it is a wicked thing in us to hurt them." Cic.

preventing injuries, punishing offenders, &c. which it will appear to be, when that matter comes before us. But if the enmity of E rises not beyond trisling. or more tolerable instances, then O might act against truth, if he should be at more charge or hazard in profecuting E than he can afford, or the thing loft or in danger is worth; should treat one that is an enemy in little things, or a little enemy, as a great one; or should deny to make some allowances, and forgive such peccadillo's, as the common frailty of human nature makes it necessary for us mutually to forgive, if we will live together. cases, of which the laws of the place take no notice, truth and nature would be fufficiently obferved, if O should keep a vigilant eye upon the steps of his adversary, and take the most prudent measures, that are compatible with the character of a private person, either to asswage the malice of E, or prevent the effects of it; or perhaps, if he should only not use him as a friend ". For this if he should do, notwithstanding the rants of some men, he would cancel the natural differences of things, and confound truth with untruth.

The debtor in the fecond objection, if he acts as he fays there, does, in the first place, make himself the fudge of his creditor, which is what he is not. For he lays him under a heavy sentence, an incapacity in effect of having any estate, or any more estate. In the next place, he arrogates to himself more than can be true: that he perfectly knows, not only what his creditor and his circumstances

art,

u Tor sixiorr' in l δατα καλείν, τον δ' έχθερν ίασαι. " Invite " your friend to supper, but let your enemy alone." Hes.

### 46 The Religion of Nature. Sect. I.

are, but also what they ever will be hereafter. He that is now weak, or extravagant, or very rich, may for ought he knows become otherwise. And, which is to be considered above all, he directly denies the money, which is the creditor's, to be the creditor's. For it is supposed to be owing or due to him otherwise he is no creditor): and if it be due to him, (he has a right to it: and if he has a right to it, of right it is his (or, it is bis). But the debtor by detaining it uses it, as if it was his own, and therefore not the other's; contrary to truth. To pay a man what is due to him doth not deny, that he who pays may think him extravagant,  $\mathcal{C}c$ , or any other truth; that act has no fuch fignification. It only fignifies, that he who pays thinks it due to the other, or that it is his: and this it naturally doth fignify. For he might pay the creditor without having any other thought relating to him, but would not without this.

Ans. to objection the 3d. Acting according to truth, as that phrase is used in the objection, is not the thing required by my rule; but, so to act that no truth may be denied by any act. Not taking from another man his money by violence is a forbearance, which does not fignify, that I do not want money, or which denies any truth. But taking it denies that to be his, which (by the supposition) is bis. The former is only as it were silence. which denies nothing: the latter a direct and loud affertion of a falfity; the former what can contradict no truth, because the latter does. If a man wants money through his own extravagance and vice, there can be no pretence for making another man to pay for his wickedness or folly. We will **fuppose** در. ک

suppose therefore the man, who wants money, to want it for necessaries, and to have incurred this want through some misfortune, which he could not prevent. In this case, which is put as strong as can be for the objector, there are ways of expressing this want, or acting according to it, without trefpassing upon truth. The man may by honest labor and industry seek to supply his wants; or he may apply as a supplicant ", not as an enemy or robber, to fuch as can afford to relieve him; or if his want is very pressing, to the first persons he meets, whom truth will oblige to affift him according to their abilities: or he may do any thing but violate truth \*; which is a privilege of a vast scope, and leaves him many resources. And such a behaviour as this is not only agreeable to his case, and expressive of it in a way that is natural; but he would deny it to be what it is, if he did not act thus. If there is no way in the world, by which he may help himfelf without the violation of truth (which can scarce be supposed. If there is no other way) he must e'en take it as his fate y. Truth will be truth, and must retain its character and force, let his case be what it will. Many things might be added. The man,

from

w Τδωίνεσθαι οὐα ὁμολογεῖν τινὶ αἰσχρον, ἀλλὰ μὰ διαφούγον ἔφγα αἴσχιον. " For a poor man not to own himself to be poor is "a base thing; but for him not to endeavor to be otherwise "is a baser thing still." ΤΗυCYD.

<sup>\*</sup> For 14701 7' 2311 51438, "no endeavor is any reproach," HES.

r Suum cuique incommodum ferendum est potius, quam de alterius commodis detrabendum. "Every man ought to bear the evils he "is under, rather than deprive others of their advantages." Cic. According to Plato, a man should choose to die, apo vos delusio, "rather than do an unjust thing."

48 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. I. from whom this money is to be taken, will be proved fect. vi. to have a right to defend himself and his, and not suffer it to be taken from him; perhaps he may stand as much in need of it, as the other, &c.

Ans. to obj. the 4th. It is certain, in the first place, that nothing may willingly be done, which in any manner promotes murder: whoever is acceffary to that, offends against many truths of great weight. 2. You are not obliged to answer the furio/o's question. Silence here would contradict no truth. 3. No one can tell, in strict speaking, where another is, if he is not within his view. Therefore you may truly deny, that you know where the man is. Lastly, if by not discovering him you should indanger your life (and this is the hardest circumstance, that can be taken into the objection), the case then would be the same, as if the inquirer should fay, " If you do not murder such a one, I " will murder you." And then be fure you must not commit murder; but must defend yourself against this, as against other dangers, against Banditti, &c. as well as you can. Tho merely to deny truth by words (I mean, when they are not productive of facts to follow; as in judicial transactions, bearing witness, or passing sentence) is not equal to a denial by facts; tho an abuse of language is allowable in this case, if ever in any; tho all sins against truth are not equal, and certainly a little trespassing upon it in the present case, for the good of all parties, z, as little a one as any; and tho one

might

<sup>2</sup> Οὖτω καὶ λατρὸς (νοσᾶντα ἐξαπατᾳ,—καὶ δικὸν εἰδὲν. "Thus a " physician deceives a fick person,—and there is nothing "shocking in it." Max. Tyr.

might look on a man in fuch a fit of rage as mad, and therefore talk to him not as a man but a mad man: yet truth is facred a, and there are other ways of coming off with innocence, by giving timely notice to the man in danger, calling in affiftance, or taking the advantage of some seasonable incident b.

The 5th objection feems to respect inanimate things, which if we must treat according to what they are, it is infinuated we shall become obnoxious to many trissing obligations; such as are there mentiond. To this I answer thus. If the glass be nothing else but an useful drinking-glass, and these

2 To that question, Si quis ad te confugiat, qui mendacio tuo possit à morte liberari, non es mentiturus? "If a man should come "to you, who should be saved from death by your telling a "lye, would you tell one?" St Austin answers in the negative, and concludes, Restat ut nunquam boni mentiantur.—Quanto fortiùs, quanto excellentiùs dices, nec prodam, nec mentiar. "It remains then that good men should never tell a lye.—How much more courageous, how much better is it to say, I will neither betray him, nor tell a lye."

b In such pressing cases, under imminent danger, the world is wont to make great allowances. Our αίσχεον κρα δώτα τα ψουδά Niper; -Oux, et to oubiral pe to feud o fiper. " Is it not then a " base thing to say what is false? -- No, not if the falsity will save " any one." Sopii. Even they, who fay, השח שיחה בשלה that he who speaketh falsehood transgresseth יי indeed;" and, מ"ע לדבר אמת אפילו במילי דעלמא "that it is a positive precept to speak the truth in common dis-" courfe;" and, וומשקר כאלו עובד ע"ו, " that a lyar is "like an idolater;" fay alfo, אבל לשים שלום מותר, "that it is better to preserve peace," S. HHARED (& al. pass.) And Aben Ezrafays of Abraham, ידחה אבימלך בדברים כפי צורך השעה, " that he urged Abimelech with fuch words as "the necessity of that time required." In short, some have permitted, in desperate cases, mendacio tanquam veneno uti, ." to " make use of a lye as you do of poison." SEXT. PYTHAG.

E

words

The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. I. words fully express what it is, to treat it accordingly is indeed to drink out of it, when there is occasion and it is truly useful, and to break it defignedly is to do what is wrong '. For that is to handle it, as if it neither was useful to the objector himself, nor could be so to any one else; contrary to the description of it. But if there be any reason for breaking the glass, then something is wanting to declare fully what it is. As, if the glass be poifond: for then it becomes a poisond drinking-glass, and to break or destroy it is to use it according to this true description of it. Or if by breaking it any thing is to be obtaind, which more than countervails the loss of it, it becomes a glass with that circumstance: and then for the objector to break it, if it be his own, is to use it according to what it is. And if it should become by some circumstance usele/s only, tho there should be no reason for breaking it, yet if there be none against it, the thing will be indifferent and matter of liberty. This answer, mutatis mutandis, may be adapted to other things of this kind; books, or any thing else. As the usefulness or excellence of some books renders them worthy of immortality, and of all our care to fecure them to posterity 4; so some may be used more like what they are, by tearing or burning them than by preferving or reading them: the number of which, large enough already, I wish you may

not

י וכו בחמת! וכו בחמת! וכו י" It is forbidden---to break your own veffels in your anger." S. Hhas.

d Who doth not detest that thought of Caligula, de Homeri carminibus abolendis, &c.? about destroying Homer's verses,&c." Suet.

not think to be increased by this, which I here send you.

Here two things ought to be regarded. 1. That tho to act against truth in any case is wrong, yet, the degrees of guilt varying with the importance of things, in some cases the importance one way or t'other may be so little as to render the crime evanescent or almost nothing . And, 2. that inanimate beings cannot be confiderd as capable of wrong treatment, if the respect they bear to living beings is separated from them, The drinking-glass before mentiond could not be confiderd as fuch. or be what it now is, if there was no drinking animal to own and use it. Nothing can be of any importance to that thing itself, which is void of all life and perception. So that when we compute what fuch things are, we must take them as being what they are in reference to things that have life.

The last and most material objection, or question rather, shall be answerd by and by. In the mean time I shall only say, that if in any particular case truth is inaccessible, and after due inquiry it doth not appear what, or how things are, then this will be true, that the case or thing under consideration is doubtful: and to act agreeably unto this truth is to be not opinionative, nor obstinate, but modest, cautious, docile, and to endeavour to be on the safer side. Such behaviour shews the case to be as it

is.

<sup>\*</sup> The Stoics must certainly therefore be much too scrupulous, when they affirm (if they were in earnest), that \*δλ τον δάκτυλον εἰς ἐντυχο εκλιύεν τῷ σοςῷ ὁ λός ⑤ ἐπιτρίπει, "reason commands " a wise man, not so much as to move his singers, as it were by "chance." CLEM. ALEX. Especially since this is, at least ordinarily, a thing perfectly indifferent by prop. IX.

52 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. II. is. And as to the want of power to act agreeably to truth, that cannot be known till trials are made: and if any one doth try, and do his endeavor, he may take to himself the satisfaction, which he will find in sect. IV.

## SECT. II. Of Happiness.

I HAT, which demands to be next confiderd, is bappiness; as being in itself most considerable; as abetting the cause of truth; and as being indeed so nearly allied to it, that they cannot well be parted. We cannot pay the respects due to one, unless we regard the other. Happiness must not be denied to be what it is: and it is by the practice of truth that we aim at that happiness, which is true.

In the few following propositions I shall not only give you my idea of it, but also subjoin some obfervations, which the perhaps not necessary here, we may sometime hereafter think no loss of time or labor to have made en passant: such as men of science would call, some of them porismata, or corollaries, and some scholia, I shall take them as they fall in my way promiscuously.

I. Pleasure is a consciousness of something agreeable, pain of the contrary: & v. v. the consciousness of any thing agreeable is pleasure, of the contrary pain. For as nothing, that is agreeable to us, can be painful at the same time, and as such; nor any thing disagreeable pleasant, by the terms; so neither can any thing agreeable be for that reason (because it is agreeable) not pleasant, nor any thing disagreeable not painful, in some measure or other.

Obf,

Obs. 1. Pleasures and pains are proportionable to the perceptions and sense of their subjects, or the persons affected with them. For consciousness and perception cannot be separated: because as I do not perceive what I am not conscious to myself I do perceive, so neither can I be conscious of what I do not perceive, or of more or less than what I do perceive. And therefore, since the degrees of pleasure or pain must be answerable to the consciousness, which the party affected has of them, they must likewise be as the degrees of perception are.

Obs. 2. Whatever increases the power of perceiving, renders the percipient more susceptive of pleasure or pain. This is an immediate consequence; and to add more is needless: unless, that among the means, by which perceptions and the inward fense of things may in many cases be heightend and increased, the principal are reflexion, and the practice of thinking. As I cannot be conscious of what I do not perceive: fo I do not perceive that, which I do not advert upon. That which makes me feel, makes me advert. Every instance therefore of consciousness and perception is attended with an act of advertence: and as the more the perceptions are, the more are the advertences or reflexions; fo v. v. the more frequent or intense the acts of advertence and reflexion are, the more consciousness there is, and the stronger is the perception. Further, all perceptions are produced in time: time passes by moments: there can be but one moment prefent at once: and therefore all present perception confiderd without any relation to what is past, or E 3 future.

#### 54 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. II.

future, may be lookd upon as momentaneous only. In this kind of perception the percipient perceives, as if he had not perceived any thing before, nor had any thing perceptible to follow. But in reflexion there is a repetition of what is past, and an anticipation of that which is apprehended as yet to come: there is a connexion of past and future, which by this are brought into the fum, and superadded to the present or momentaneous perceptions. Again, by reflecting we practife our capacitý of apprehending: and this practifing will increase, and as it were extend that capacity, to a certain degree. Lastly, reflexion doth not only accumulate moments past and future to those that are present, but even in their passage it seems to multiply them. For time, as well as space, is capable of indeterminate division: and the finer or nicer the advertence or reflexion is, into the more parts is the time divided; which. whilst the mind considers those parts as so many several moments, is in effect renderd by this so much the longer. And to this experience agrees.

Obs. 3. The causes of pleasure and pain are relative things: and in order to estimate truly their effect upon any particular subject they ought to be drawn into the degrees of perception in that subject. When the cause is of the same kind, and acts with an equal force, if the perception of one person be equal to that of another, what they perceive must needs be equal. And so it will be likewise, when the forces in the producing causes and the degrees of perception in the sentients are reciprocal. For (which doth not seem to be considered by the world, and therefore ought the more particularly to be noted)

if the cause of pleasure or pain should act but half as much upon A, as it does upon B; yet if the perceptivity of A be double to that of B, the fum of their pleasures or pains will be equal. In other cases they will be unequal. As, if the causa dolorifica should act with the same impetus on C with which it acts upon D; yet if C had only two degrees of perception, and D had three, the pain suffaind by D would be half as much more as that of C: because he would perceive or feel the acts and impressions of the cause more by so much. If it should act with twice the force upon D which it acts with upon C, then the pain of C would be to that of D as 2 to 6: i.e. as one degree of force multiplied by two degrees of perception to two degrees of force multiplied by three of perception. And fo on.

Obs. 4. Mens respective bappinesses or pleasures ought to be valued as they are to the persons themselves, whose they are; or according to the thoughts and sense, which they have of them: not according to the estimate put upon them by other people, who have no authority to judge of them, nor can know what they are; may compute by different rules; have less sense; be in different circumstances; or such as guilt has renderd partial to themselves. If that prince, who having plenty and slocks many, yet ravished the poor man's single ewelamb out of his bosom, reckond the poor man's loss to be not greater, than the loss of one of his lambs would have been to him, he must be very

f Tu si hic sis, aliter sentias. "You would be of another opi-

E 4

defective:

defective in moral arithmetic, and little understood the doctrine of proportion. Every man's happiness is bis happiness, what it is to him; and the loss of it is answerable to the degrees of his perception, to his manner of taking things, to his wants and circumstances.

Obs. 5. How judicious and wary ought princes, lawgivers, judges, juries, and even masters to be! They ought not to consider so much what a stout, resolute, obstinate, hardend criminal may bear, as what the weaker fort, or at least (if that can be known) the persons immediately concernd can bear: that is, what any punishment would be to them. For it is certain, all criminals are not of the former kind; and therefore should not be used as if they were. Some are drawn into crimes, which may render them obnoxious to public justice, they scarce know how themselves: some fall into them thro necessity, strength of temptation, despair, elasticity of spirits and a sudden eruption of passion, ignorance of laws, want of good education, or fome natural infirmity or propension, and some who are really innocent, are opprest by the iniquity or mistakes of judges, witnesses, juries, or perhaps by the power and zeal of a faction, with which their sense or their honesty has not permitted them to join. What a difference must there be between the suf-

ferings

E Felicitas cui præcipua fuerit bomini, non est humani judicii: eum prosperitatem ipsam alius alio modo, & suopte ingenio quisque terminet. "No man can judge what the happiness of another man consists in; because some make their happiness to consist in one thing, and some in another, according to their se- veral dispositions." PLIN.

ferings of a poor wretch fensible of his crime or misfortune, who would give a world for his deliverance, if he had it, and those of a sturdy veteran in roguery: between the apprehensions, tears, faintings of the one, and the brandy and oaths of the other; in short, between a tender nature and a brickbat!

- Obs. 6. In general, all persons ought to be very careful and tender, where any other is concernd. Otherwise they may do they know not what. For no man can tell, by himself, or any other way, how another may be affected.
- Obs. 7. There cannot be an equal distribution of rewards and punishments by any stated buman laws b. Because (among other reasons) the same thing is rarely either the same gratification, or the same punishment to different persons.
- Obs. 8. The sufferings of brutes are not like the sufferings of men. They perceive by moments, without reflexion upon past or future, upon causes, circumstances, &c.

Time

"chief difference between a man and a beast, that this latter conforms itself to that only which is present and before it, having but a very small sense of what is past or to come. &c."

CIC.

Time and life without thinking are next neighbours to nothing, to no-time and no-life k. And therefore to kill a brute is to deprive him of a life, or a remainder of time, that is equal to little more than nothing: tho this may perhaps be more applicable to some animals than to others. That, which is chiefly to be taken care of in this matter, is, that the brute may not be killed unnecessarily; when it is killed, that it may have as few moments of pain as may be 1; and that no young be left to languish. So much by the way here.

II. Pain considerd in itself is a real evil, pleasure a real good. I take this as a postulatum, that will without difficulty be granted. Therefore,

III. By the general idea of good and evil the one [pleasure] is in itself desirable, the other [pain] to

CIC. Nos & wenture torquemur & præterite. Timoris enim tormentum memoria reducit, providentia anticipat. Nemo tantum præfentibus miser est. "But we torment ourselves with what is to come, and with what is past: for by our foresight we anticipate the torment of sear, and by our memory we bring back that torment which is past. No man is miserable by the present fent things alone." Sen.

k Præsens tempus brevissimum est, a.leo quidem, ut quibusdam nullum videatur, &c. "The present time is as short as is possible, "insomuch that some have imagind it to be a mere nothing, "Ες." Sen. "Οταν γὰς αὐτοὶ μηθίν μεταδόλλαμεν τὰν διάνοιαν, & αδθαμων μεθαδάλλοντες, εἰ δοκεῖ ἡμῖν γεδονίναι ὁ χρόν. "When we "have no succession of thoughts, or if we have, but forget them, then time seems to us to be nothing." Arist.

ייכו המה חנם וכו וכה המה חנם וכו בהמה חנם וכו יימות בהמה חנם וכו יימות יימות משות בהמה חנם וכו יימות משות איימות בא לדין או השנה בא לדין יימות בא לדין יימות בא לדין יימות העושה צער לבהמה חנם בא לדין יימות העושה צער לבהמה חנם בא לדין יימות יימות

be

be avoided. What is here said, respects mere pleafure and pain, abstracted from all circumstances, consequences, &c. But because there are some of these generally adhering to them, and such as enter so deep into their nature, that unless these be taken in, the sull and true character of the other cannot be had, nor can it therefore be known what happiness is, I must proceed to some other propositions relating to this subject.

IV. Pleasure compared with pain may either be equal, or more, or less: also pleasures may be compared with other pleasures m, and pains with pains. Because all the moments of the pleasure must bear some respect or be in some ratio to all the moments of pain: as also all the degrees of one to all the degrees of the other: and so must those of one pleasure, or one pain, be to those of another. And if the degrees of intenseness be multiplied by the moments of duration, there must still be some ratio of the one product to the other.

That this proposition is true, appears from the general conduct of mankind; tho in some particulars they may err, and wrong themselves, some more, some less. For what doth all this hurry of

m The rants of those men, who affert, μι διαφέρειν άδουλν άδουδε μηδώ άδουστι είναι, " that there is no difference in pleasures, " that nothing can be more than pleasant," nay, φύσει άδου άδου άδου άδου άδου άδου το that there is nothing that is naturally pleasant or un" pleasant," in Diogenes Laertius, can surely affect no body, who has sense, or is alive. Nor that of the Stoics, in Plutarch, δτι άγαθου ὁ χείνων ούν αύξει σερογινόμενων, κτλ. " That the " continuance of any good makes no addition to it." As if an age was not more than a moment, and (therefore) an age's happiness more than a moment's.

business,

#### 60 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. II.

business, what do all the labors and travels of men tend to, but to gain such advantages, as they think do exceed all their trouble? What are all their abflinences and self-denials for, if they do not think some pleasures less than the pain, that would succeed them? Do not the various methods of life shew, that men prefer one sort of pleasure to another, and submit to one sort of pain rather than to have another? And within ourselves we cannot but find an indifference as to many things, not caring, whether we have the pain with the pleasure obtaind by it, or miss the pleasure, being excused from the pain.

V. When pleasures and pains are equal, they mutually destroy each other: when the one exceeds, the excess gives the true quantity of pleasure or pain. For nine degrees of pleasure, less by nine degrees of pain, are equal to nothing: but nine degrees of one, less by three degrees of the other, give six of the former net and true.

VI. As therefore there may be true pleasure and pain: so there may be some pleasures, which compared with what attends or follows them, not only may vanish into nothing, but may even degenerate into pain, and ought to be reckond as pains "; and v. v. some pains, that may be annumerated to pleasures. For the true quantity of pleasure differs not from that quantity of true pleasure; or it is so much of that

kind

<sup>&</sup>quot; Nocet (fit noxa) empta delore voluptas. " Pleasure, that is " procured by pain, is so much real hurt." Hor. And,—multo corrupta delore voluptas. " Pleasure vitiated by much pain." Ibid.

kind of pleasure, which is true (clear of all discounts and future payments): nor can the true quantity of pain not be the same with that quantity of true or mere pain. Then, the man who enjoys three degrees of fuch pleafure as will bring upon him nine degrees of pain, when three degrees of pain are fetoff to balance and fink the three of pleasure, can have remaining to him only fix degrees of pain: and into these therefore is his pleasure finally refolved. And fo the three degrees of pain, which any one indures to obtain nine of pleasure, end in fix of the latter. By the same manner of computing some pleasures will be found to be the loss of pleasure, compared with greater: and some pains the alleviation of pain; because by undergoing them greater are evaded . Thus the natures of pleasures and pains are varied, and sometimes transmuted: which ought never to be forgot.

Nor this neither. As in the sense of most men, I believe, a little pain will weigh against a great deal of pleasure?: so perhaps there may be some pains, which exceed all pleasures; that is, such pains as no man would choose to suffer for any pleasure whatever, or at least any that we know of in this world. So that it is possible the difference, or excess of pain, may rise so high as to become immense: and then the pleasure to be set against that pain will be but a point, or cypher: a quantity of no value.

. . . . . .

VII, Hap-

<sup>•</sup> As when that *Pompey*, mentiond by *Valerius Maximus*, by burning his finger escaped the torture.

P Bona malis paria non funt, etiam pari numero: nec letitia ulla minimo mærore pensanda. "Good things are not equal to evil things, tho they were the same in number; nor is any joy an equivalent for the least sorrow." PLIN.

VII. Happiness differs not from the true quantity of pleasure, unbappiness of pain. Or, any being may be said to be so far bappy, as bis pleasures are true, &c. That cannot be the happiness of any being, which is bad for him: nor can happiness be disagreeable. It must be something therefore, that is both agreeable and good for the possessor. Now prefent pleasure is for the present indeed agreeable; but if it be not true, and he who injoys it must pay more for it than it is worth, it cannot be for his good, or good for him. This therefore cannot be his bappiness. Nor, again, can that pleasure be reckond happiness, for which one pays the full price in pain: because these are quantities which mutually destroy each other. But yet since happiness is something, which, by the general idea of it, must be defirable, and therefore agreeable, it must be some kind of pleasure q: and this, from what has been faid, can only be fuch pleasure as is true. That only can be both agreeable and good for him. And thus every one's happiness will be as his true quantity of pleasure.

One, that loves to make objections, may demand here, whether there may not be happiness without pleasure: whether a man may not be said to be happy in respect to those evils, which he escapes, and yet knows nothing of: and whether there may not be fuch a thing as negative happiness. I answer, an exemption from misfortunes and pains is a high privilege, tho we should not be sensible what those

misfor-

<sup>9 &#</sup>x27;Onine de de l'étration de le principa de l'étration de l'étration en le l'étration de l'étration "that happiness must have some pleasure mixt with it." ARIST.

misfortunes or dangers are, from which we are deliverd, and in the larger use of the word may be styled a happiness. Also, the absence of pain or unhappiness may perhaps be called negative happiness, since the meaning of that phrase is known. But in proper speaking happiness always includes fomething positive. For mere indolence resulting from infensibility, or joind with it, if it be happiness, is a happiness infinitely diminishd: that is, it is no more a happiness, than it is an unhappiness: upon the confine of both, but neither. At best it is but the happiness of stocks and stones ': and to these I think happiness can hardly be in strictness allowd. 'Tis the privilege of a stock to be what it is, rather than to be a miferable being: this we are fensible of, and therefore, joining this privilege with our own fense of it, we call it happiness; but this is what it is in our manner of apprehending it, not what it is in the stock itself. A sense indeed of being free from pains and troubles is attended with happiness: but then the happiness flows from the sense of the case, and is a positive happiness. Whilst a man reflects upon his negative happiness, as it is called, and injoys it, he makes it positive: and perhaps a fense of immunity from the afflictions and miseries every where so obvious to our observation is one of the greatest pleasures in this world.

VIII. That being may be said to be ultimately happy, in some degree or other, the sum total of whose pleasures exceeds the sum of all his pains; or, ulti-

mate

Or olore nadeodorros nardsasu, "like a man in a deep seep." ARIST. ap. Diog. L.

mate bappiness is the sum of bappiness, or true pleasure, at the foot of the account. And so on the other side, that being may be said to be ultimately unbappy, the sum of all whose pains exceeds that of all his pleasures.

IX. To make itself bappy is a duty, which every being, in proportion to its capacity, owes to itself; and that, which every intelligent being may be supposed to aim at, in general. For happiness is some quantity of true pleasure: and that pleasure, which I call true, may be considered by itself, and so will be justly desirable (according to prop. II, and III). On the contrary, unhappiness is certainly to be avoided: because being a quantity of mere pain, it may be considered by itself, as a real, mere evil, &c. and because if I am obliged to pursue happiness, I am at the same time obliged to recede, as far as I can, from its contrary. All this is self-evident. And hence it follows, that,

X. We cannot att with respect to either ourselves, or other men, as being what we and they are, unless both are considered as beings susceptive of happiness and unhappiness, and naturally desirous of the one and averse to the other. Other animals may be considered after the same manner in proportion to their several degrees of apprehension.

But that the nature of happiness, and the road to it, which is so very apt to be mistaken, may be

better

This is truly Bonum fummum, quò tendimus omnes, "the chief good, which we all aim at." Luck. "Απαντα γαὶς ωἰς κἰπεῖν, ἐτόρα χάρει αἰράμεθα, Φλὰν τῆς εὐδαμονίας τίλ γαὶρ αἴντι. "We folloofe all other things, except happiness, for the sake of fomething else; but that is itself the end." Arist.

better understood; and true pleasures more certainly distinguished from false; the following propositions must still be added.

XI. As the true and ultimate happiness of no being can be produced by any thing, that interferes with truth, and denies the natures of things: so neither can the practice of truth make any being ultimately unhappy. For that, which contradicts nature and truth, opposes the will of the Author of nature (whose existence, &c. I shall prove afterwards); and to suppose, that an inferior being may in opposition to His will break through the constitution of things, and by so doing make himself happy, is to suppose that being more potent than the Author of nature, and confequently more potent than the author of the nature and power of that very being himself, which is absurd. And as to the other part of the proposition, it is also absurd to think, that, by the constitution of nature and will of its author, any being should be finally miserable only for conforming himself to truth, and owning things and the relations lying between them to be what they are. It is much the same as to say, God has made it natural to contradict nature; or unnatural, and therefore punishable, to act according to nature and reality. If such a blunder (excuse the boldness of the word) could be, it must come either thro a defect of power in Him to cause a better and more equitable scheme, or from some delight, which he finds in the mifery of his dependents. The former cannot be ascribed to the First cause, who is the fountain of power: nor the latter to Him, who gives so many proofs of his goodness and beneficence. cence. Many beings may be said to be happy; and there are none of us all, who have not many injoyments ': whereas did he delight in the inselicity of those beings, which depend upon Him, it must be natural to Him to make them unhappy, and then not one of them would be otherwise in any respect. The world in that case instead of being such a beautiful, admirable system, in which there is only a mixture of evils, could have been only a scene of mere misery, horror, and torment.

That either the enemies of truth (wicked men) should be ultimately happy, or the religious observers of it (good men) ultimately unhappy, is such injustice, and an evil so great, that sure no Manichean will allow such a superiority of his evil principle over the good, as is requisite to produce and maintain it.

XII. The genuine happiness of every being must be fomething, that is not incompatible with or destructive of its nature ", or the superior or better part of it, if it be mixt. For instance, nothing can be the true happiness of a rational being, that is inconsistent with reason. For all pleasure, and therefore be sure all clear pleasure and true happiness must be something agreeable (pr. I.): and nothing can be agreeable to a reasoning nature, or (which is the same) to the reason of that nature, which is repug-

nant

Non dat Deus beneficia. Unde ergo quæ possides? quæ—" If God does not give us any good things, whence then comes all that we have? which—" SEN.

u Harri ve arest over air zania i zanesamoria isi. "Every thing that is contrary to the nature of any being, is evil and misery to it." Arr.

nant and disagreeable to reason. If any thing becomes agreeable to a rational being, which is not agreeable to reason, it is plain his reason is lost, his nature deprest, and that he now lists himself among irrationals, at least as to that particular. If a being finds pleasure in any thing unreasonable, he has an unreasonable pleasure; but a rational nature can like nothing of that kind without a contradiction to itself. For to do this would be to act, as if it was the contrary to what it is. Lastly, if we find hereafter, that whatever interferes with reason, interferes with truth, and to contradict either of them is the fame thing; then what has been faid under the former proposition, does also confirm this: as what has been faid in proof of this, does also confirm the former.

XIII. Those pleasures are true, and to be reckond into our happiness, against which there lies no reason. For when there is no reason against any pleasure, there is always one for it w, included in the term. So when there is no reason for undergoing pain (or venturing it), there is one against it.

Obs. There is therefore no necessity for men to torture their inventions in finding out arguments to justify themselves in the pursuits after worldly advantages and injoyments, provided that neither

w There iderar is rard λόγον όρθεν μεταλαμεθενομεν. "There are forme pleasures which we claim by the dictates of right reason." SIMPL. Recte facit, animo quando obsequitur suo: quod emnes homines facere oportet, dum id modo stat bono. "He does right, who follows the dictates of his own mind, as all men cought to do, if they do it in a proper manner." PLAUT.

thefe

there is no reason why we should not desire them, and a direct one, why we should; viz. because they

are injoyments.

XIV. To conclude this fection, The way to happiness and the practice of truth incur the one into the other. For no being can be styled happy, that is not ultimately so: because if all his pains exceed all his pleasures, he is so far from being happy, that he is a being unhappy or miserable, in proportion to that excess. Now by prop. XI. nothing can produce the ultimate happiness of any being, which interferes with truth: and therefore whatever doth produce that, must be something which is consistent and coincident with this.

Two things then (but such as are met together, and embrace each other), which are to be religiously regarded in all our conduct, are truth (of which in the preceding sect.) and bappiness (that is, such pleasures, as company, or follow the prac-

tice

<sup>\*</sup> Habebit philosophus amplas opes; fed nulli detractas, &c. "A "philosopher would have large possessions, but then he would "not have them taken from others, &c." Sen. Here he seems to confess the folly of the Stoics, who denied themselves many pleasures, that were honest and almost necessary; living in tubs, feeding upon raw herbs and water, going about in a sordid garment, with a rough beard, staff and satchel, &c.

Y Quid rectum sit, apparet: quid expediat, obscurum est: ita tamen, ut—dubitare non possimus, quin ea maxime conducant, quæ sunt rectissima. "It is very evident what right is; but it is very difficult to say what is expedient; but yet there can be no doubt, but that those things which are most right, are most conducive to our happiness." Cic.

tice of truth, or are not inconsistent with it: of which I have been treating in this). And as that religion, which arises from the distinction between moral good and evil, was called natural, because grounded upon truth and the natures of things: so perhaps may that too, which proposes happiness for its end, in as much as it proceeds upon that difference, which there is between true pleasure and pain, which are physical (or natural) good and evil. And since both these unite so amicably, and are at last the same, here is one religion which may be called natural upon two accounts.

# SECT. III. Of Reason, and the ways of discovering truth.

Y manner of thinking, and an objection formerly z made, oblige me in the next place to say something concerning the means of knowing, what is true: whether there are any, that are sure, and which one may safely rely upon. For if there be not, all that I have written is an amusement to no purpose. Besides, as this will lead me to speak of reason, &c. some truths may here (as some did in the former section) fall in our way, which may be prositable upon many occasions; and what has been already afferted, will also be further confirmed,

I. An intelligent being, such as is mentioned before a, must have some immediate objects of his understand-

F 3

ing s

The last objection, p. 43.

<sup>3</sup> Sect. I. prop. I.

70 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. III. ing; or at least a capacity of baving such. For if there be no object of his intellect, he is intelligent of nothing, or not intelligent. And if there are no immediate objects, there can be none at all: because every object must be such (an object) either in itself immediately; or by the intervention of another, which is immediate: or of several, one of which must at least be immediate.

II. An intelligent being among the immediate objetts of his mind may have some, that are abstratt and general. I shall not at present inquire, how he comes by them (it matters not bow), fince this must be true, if there is any fuch thing as a rational being. For that reason is something different from the knowledge of particulars may appear from hence; because it is not confined to particular things or cases. What is reason in one instance, is so in another. What is reasonable with respect to Quinctius, is so in respect of Nævius b. Reason is performd in species. A rational being therefore must have some of these species (I mean specific and abstract ideas) to work with; or some superior method, such as perhaps some higher order of reasoners may have, but we have not.

The knowledge of a particular *idea* is only the particular knowledge of that *idea* or thing: there it ends. But *reason* is something universal, a kind of general instrument, applicable to particular

things

Duis boc flatuit, quod aquum fit in Quintium, id iniquum effe in Navium? "Who has decreed that what is equitable, with regard to Quintius, should be unjust, with respect to Nativus?" Cic.

things and cases as they occur. We reason about particulars, or from them; but not by them.

In fact we find within ourselves many logical, metaphysical, mathematical ideas, no one of which is limited to any particular, or individual thing: but they comprehend whole classes and kinds. And it is by the help of these that we reason, and demonstrate. So that we know from within ourselves, that intelligent beings not only may have such abstract ideas, as are mentiond in the proposition, but that some assually bave them: which is enough for my purpose.

III. Those ideas or objects, that are immediate, will be adequately and truly known to that mind, whose ideas they are. For ideas can be no further the ideas of any mind, than that mind has (or may have) a perception of them: and therefore that mind must perceive the whole of them; which is to know them adequately.

Again, these ideas being immediate, nothing (by the term) can intervene to increase, diminish, or any way alter them. And to say the mind does not know them truly, implies a contradiction: because it is the same as to say, that they are misrepresented; that is, that there are intervening and misrepresenting ideas.

And lastly, there cannot be an immediate perception of that, which is not; nor therefore of any immediate object otherwise, than as it is. We have indeed many times wrong notions, and misperceptions of things: but then these things are not the immediate objects. They are things, which are notified to us by the help of organs and media, which

which may be vitiated, or perhaps are defective at best and incapable of transmitting things as they are in themselves, and therefore occasion imperfect and false images. But then, even in this case, those images and ideas that are immediate to the percipient, are perceived as they are: and that is the very reason, why the originals, which they should exhibit truly, but do not, are not perceived as they are. In short, I only say the mind must know its own immediate ideas.

IV. What has been said of these ideas, which are immediate, may be said also of those relations or respects, which any of those ideas bear immediately each to other: they must be known immediately and truly. For if the relation be immediate, the ideas cannot subsist without it; it is of their nature: and therefore they cannot be known adequately, but this must be known too. They are in this respect like the ideas of whole and part. The one cannot be without the other: nor either of them not discover that relation, by which the one must be always bigger and the other less.

To fay no more, we may fatisfy ourselves of the truth of this, as well as of the foregoing propositions, from the experiences of our own minds; where we find many relations, that are immediately seen, and of which it is not in our power to doubt c. We are conscious of a knowledge, that consists

That question in Plato, Ti αν τις έχοι τεκμήριον αποδίξαι, εξ τροίο νον έτας όντη σπαρόντι, σότερον καθ εύδομεν, κή σπαντα α διαγρόμεθα όνειροίτομεν, κτλ. "If any one should affirm, that all our st thoughts are only mere dreams, and that we are now asleep; what

confifts in the *intuition* of these relations. Such is the evidence of those truths, which are usually called *axioms*, and perhaps of some short demonstrations.

V. Those relations or respects, which are not immediate, or apparent at the first view, may many times be discovered by intermediate relations; and with equal vertainty. If the ratio of B to D does not instantly shew itself; yet if the ratio of B to C does, and that of C to D from hence the ratio of B to D is known also. And if the mean quantities were ever so many, the same thing would follow; provided the reason of every quantity to that, which sollows next in the series, be known. For the truth of this I vouch the mathematicians as I might all, that know any science, for the truth of the proposition in general. For thus theorems and derivative truths are obtaind.

VI. If a proposition be true, it is always so in all the instances and uses, to which it is applicable. For otherwise it must be both true and false. Therefore

VII. By the help of truths already known more may be discoverd. For

1. Those inferences, which arise presently from the application of general truths to the particular

things

<sup>&</sup>quot;what demonstrative proof could be brought to the contra"ry?" may have place among the velitations of philosophers: but a man can fcarce propose it seriously to himself. If he doth, the answer will attend it.

d = a. c = e. f = a e.

E V. Tacq. El. Geom 1. 5. p. 3. n. XII. But the thing appears from the bare inspection of these quantities: b, ab, aeb, aeib, aeib, &c.

74 The Religion of Nature. Sect. III. things and cases containd under them, must be just. Ex. gr. The whole is bigger than a part: therefore A (some particular thing) is more than half A. Forit is plain that A is containd in the idea of whole, as half A is in that of part. So that if the antecedent proposition be true, the consequent, which is included in it, follows immediately, and must also be true. The former cannot be true, unless the other be so too. What agrees to the genus, species, definition, whole, must agree to the species, individuals, thing defined, the part. The existence of an effect infers directly that of a cause; of one correlate that of the other; and so on. And what is said here holds true (by the preceding proposition) not only in respect of axioms and first truths, but also and equally of theorems and other general truths, when they are once known. These may be capable of the like applications: and the truth of fuch confequences, as are made by virtue of them, will always be as evident as that of those theorems themselves.

2. All those conclusions, which are derived through mean propositions, that are true, and by just inferences, will be as true as those, from which they are derived. My meaning is this: every just confequence is founded in some known truth, by virtue of which one thing follows from another, after the manner of steps in an algebraic operation: and if inferences are so founded, and just, the things inferred must be true, if they are made from true premisses.

Let this be the form of an argument. M = P: S = M: ergo S = P. Here if S = M be false, nothing is concluded at all: because the middle proposition

position is in truth not S = M, but perhaps S = Ma, which is foreign to the purpose. If S=M be true, but M = P false, then the conclusion will indeed be a right conclusion from those premisses: but they cannot shew, that S=P, because the first proposition if it was exprest according to truth would be Me = P, which is another thing, and has no place in the argument. But if these two propositions are both true, M=P, S=M, then it will not only be rightly concluded, but also true, that S=P. For the fecond or middle proposition does fo connect the other two, by taking in due manner a term from each of them (or to speak with the logicians, by feparately comparing the predicate or major term of the conclusion with the medium in the first proposition, and the subject or minor term with it in the fecond), that if the first and fecond are true, the third must be so likewise: all being indeed no more than this, P = M = S, For here the inference is just by what goes before, being founded in some such truth as this, and refulting immediately from the application of it, Qua eidem æqualia sunt, & inter se sunt æqualia; or Quæ conveniunt in eodem tertio, etiam inter se conveniunt; or the like h. Now if an inference thus made is justifiable, another made after the same manner, when the truth discoverd by it is made one of the premisses, must be so too; and so must another after that; and so on. And if the last, and all the intermediate inferences be as right, as the first is sup-

posed

h If men in their illations, or in comparing their ideas, do many times not actually make use of such maxims; yet the thing is really the same. For what these maxims express, the mind sees without taking notice of the words.

76 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. III. posed to be, it is no matter to what length the process is carried. All the parts of it being locked together by truth, the last result is derived through such a succession of mean propositions, as render its title to our affent not worse by being long.

Since all the forms of true fyllogisms may be proved to conclude rightly, all the advances made in the fyllogistic method toward the discovery or confirmation of truth, are so many instances and proofs of what is here afferted. So also are the performances of the mathematicians. From some self-evident truths, and a few easie theorems, which they set out with at first, to what immense lengths, and through what a train of propositions have they propagated knowledge! How numerous are their theorems and discoveries now, so far once out of human ken!

I do not enter so far into the province of the logicians as to take notice of the difference there is between the analytic and synthetic methods of coming at truth, or proving it; whether it is better to begin the disquisition from the subject, or from the attribute. If by the use of proper media any thing can be shewd to be, or not to be, I care not from what term the demonstration or argument takes its rise. Either way propositions may beget their like, and more truth be brought into the world.

VIII. That power, which any intelligent being has of surveying his own ideas, and comparing them; of forming to himself out of those, that are immediate and abstract, such general and fundamental truths, as

be can be fure of i; and of making such inferences and conclusions as are agreeable to them, or to any other truth, after it comes to be known; in order to find out more truth, prove or disprove some assertion, resolve some question, determin what is fit to be done upon occasion, &c. the case or thing under consideration being first fairly stated and prepared, is what I mean by the faculty of reason, or what intitles him to the epithet rational. Or in short, Reason is a faculty of making such inferences and conclusions, as are mentiond under the preceding proposition, from any thing known, or given.

The Supreme being has no doubt a direct and perfect intuition of things, with their natures and relations, lying as it were all before Him, and pervious to His eye: or at least we may fafely say, that He is not obliged to make use of our operose methods by ideas and inferences; but knows things in a manner infinitely above all our conceptions. And as to superior finite natures, what other means of attaining to the knowledge of things they may have, is a thing not to be told by me; or how far they may excell us in this way of finding truth. I have an eye here chiefly to our own circumstances. Reason must be understood, when it is ascribed to God, to be the Divine reason; when to other beings above us, to be their reason; and in all of

them

i Under the word reason I comprehend the intuition of the truth of axioms. For certainly to discern the respect, which one term bears to another, and from thence to conclude the proposition necessarily true, is an act of reason, tho performs quick, or perhaps all at once.

78 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. III. them to transcend ours, as much as their natures respectively do our nature <sup>k</sup>.

It cannot be amiss to note further, that tho a man, who truly uses his rational powers, has abstract and universal ideas, obtaind by reflexion; out of these frames to himself general truths, or apprehends the strength of such, and admits them. when they occur to him; by these, as by so many standards, measures and judges of things; and takes care to have the materials, which he makes use of in reasoning, to be rivetted and compacted together by them: yet by a babit of reasoning he may come to ferve himself of them, and apply them fo quick, that he himself shall scarce observe it. Nay, most men seem to reason by virtue of a habit acquired by conversation, practice in business. and examples of others, without knowing what it is, that gives the folidity even to their own just reasonings: just as men usually learn rules in arithmetic, govern their accounts by them all their days, and grow very ready and topping in the use of them, without ever knowing or troubling their heads about the demonstration of any one of them. But still the this be so, and men reason without

adverting

Let πany believed, according to Socrates ap. Luc. that δονν εχει πο μίγιος πε κόσμε που υποροχών σερίς πο Σακεφίπες η Χαιρεφώντας κέδα, παλικώτον ης που δύναμεν αυτώ, πες που εφόνιστικ, πες διασιαν αυτών, πες που εφόνιστικ, πες διασιαν αυτών, πες τον εφόνιστικ, πες τον εφόνιστι

adverting upon general ideas and abstract truths, or even being aware that there are any such, as it were by rule or a kind of rote; yet such there are, and upon them rests the weight of reason as its foundation.

This, by the way, helps us to detect the cause, why the generality of people are fo little under the dominion of reason: why they sacrifice it to their interests and passions so easily; are so obnoxious to prejudices, the influence of their company, and din of a party; so apt to change, tho the case remains the very same; so unable to judge of things, that are ever so little out of the way; and so conceited and positive in matters, that are doubtful. or perhaps to difcerning perfons manifeftly false. Their reasoning proceeds in that track, which they happen to be got into, and out of which they know not one step, but all is to them Terra incognita; being ignorant of the scientific part, and those universal, unalterable principles, upon which true reafoning depends, and to find which and the true use of them are required cool hours and an bonest application, beside many preparatives.

In the *next place* it must be noted, that one may reason truly from that, which is only probable, or even false 1. Because just inferences may be made from propositions of these kinds: *that is*, such inferences may be made as are founded in certain truths, tho those propositions themselves are not certainly true. But then what follows, or is concluded from thence, will be only probable, or false,

according

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Upon this account it is, that I add the word given at the end of my description of reason.

80 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. III. according to the quality of that proposition, or those propositions, from which the inference is made.

Again; it should be observed, that what I have faid of reasoning, chiefly belongs to it as it is an internal operation. When we are to present our reasonings to others, we must transfer our thoughts to them by fuch ways as we can. The case is to be stated in a manner suitable to their capacities; a fair narration of matters of fact, and their circumstances, to be made; many times persons and things to be described by proper diatyposes, and the like: all which are additional labor, and take up much room in discourses and books, and are performd by different authors, upon different subjects, and in different kinds of writing, with an infinite variety of methods and forms, according to mens different views and capacities; and many times not without a necessity of some condescensions, ascititious advantages, and even applications to the passions. But notwithstanding this, in strict reasoning nothing is required, but to lay steps in a due order, firmly connected, and exprest properly, without flourish "; and to arrive at truth by the shortest and clearest gradation we are able.

Once more; perhaps disputacious men may say I ascribe the investigation of truth to one faculty,

when

m Simplex & nuda veritas est luculentior; quia satis ornata per se est: adeoque ornamentis extrinsecus additis sucata corrumpitur: mendacium verò specie placet aliena, &c. "Pure and naked trush "is so much the clearer, because it has ornaments enough of "its own; and therefore, when it is dawbed over with exterinal additional ornaments, it is corrupted by them, so that a "lye is therefore pleasing, because it appears in the shape that "is not its own, &c." Lactant.

when it is in reality the joint business of several. For when we go about this work, we are forced to make use of subordinate powers, and even external helps; to draw diagrams, and put cases in our own imagination; to correct the images there, compound them, divide them, abstract from them: to turn over our memory, and see what has been enterd and remains in that register; even to consult books, and use pen and ink. In short, we assemble all fuch axioms, theorems, experiments and observations, as are already known, and appear capable. of ferving us, or present themselves upon the opening and analysis of the question, or case before us. And when the mind has thus made its tour, fetched in materials from every quarter, and fet them in its own view; then it contemplates, compares, and methodizes them; gives the first place to this, the fecond to that, and fo on; and when trials do not fucceed rightly, rejects some, adopts others, shifts their order, &c. till at last the series is so disposed, that the thing required comes up refolved, proved, or disproved by a just conclusion from proper premisses. Now in this process there seem to be many faculties concerned; in these acts of circumspection, recollection, invention, reflexion, comparing, methodizing, judging. But what if all this be so? I do not exclude the use of such subservient powers, or other helps, as are necessary to the exerting this faculty of reason; nor deny the mind matter to work upon. I may allow all the intellectual faculties their proper offices, and yet make reason to be what I have described it to be.

IX.

IX. There is such a thing as right reason: or, Truth may be discovered by reasoning ". The word reason has several acceptations. Sometimes it is used for that power mentiond in the last proposition; as when we fay, Man is a being indued with reason. And then the sense of this proposition must be this; that there is such a use to be made of this power, as is right, and will manifest truth. Sometimes it feems to be taken for those general truths, of which the mind possesses itself from the intimate know-'ledge of its own ideas, and by which it is governed in its illations and conclusions; as when we fay, Such a thing is agreeable to reason: for that is as much as to fay, it is agreeable to the faid general truths, and that authentic way of making deductions, which is founded in them. And then the fense of this proposition is, that there are such general truths, and fuch a right way of inferring. Again; fometimes it seems to stand only for some particular truth, as it is apprehended by the mind with

n That way, which some Sceptics take to prove the inexistence of truth, has nothing in it, unless it be a contradiction. If any thing, say they, is demonstrated to be true, how shall it be known, that that demonstration is true? Εἰ ἐξ ἀποδείξιας ζητηθώσεται φάλει, κῶς ὅτι καὶ απο ἀλαθές ἐς ις, καὶ ἄπος εἰς ἀπειεργ. "If "by another demonstration, how shall we know that this is "true? and so on for ever." Sext. ΕΜΡ. Nor do I well comprehend St Chrysostom's meaning, when he says, Τὸ λογισμοῖς ἀποδείχθες, κὰν ἀληθὲς ἔ, ἐδίπω ωληροφορίαν τῷ ψυχῷ ἀπαξίχει, καὶ ἀναθές τὰ το ἀπατάν. "That what is demonstrated by reasoning, tho it "may indeed be true, yet it does not afford sufficient proof or "conviction to the mind." For as no man truly believes any thing, unless he has a reason for believing it: so no reason can be stronger than demonstration.

the

the causes of it, or the manner of its derivation from other truth: that is, it differs not from truth except in this one respect, that it is considered not barely in itself, but as the effect and result of a process of reasoning; or it is truth with the arguments for our assent, and its evidences about it; as when it is said, that such or such an assertion is reason. And then the sense of the proposition is, that there are truths so to be apprehended by the mind. So all comes to this at last; truth (or there are truths, which) may be discovered, or found to be such, by reasoning.

If it were not so, our rational faculties, the noblest we have, would be vain.

Beside, that it is so, appears from the foregoing propositions and what we know within ourselves. 'Tis certain we have immediate and abstract ideas: the relations of these are adequately known to the mind, whose ideas they are: the propositions expressing these relations are evidently known to be true: and these truths must have the common privilege and property of all truths, to be true in all the particulars and uses, to which they are applicable. If then any things are notified to us by the help of our fenses, or present themselves by any other way or means, to which these truths may be immediately applied, or from whence deductions' may be made after the forementiond manner, new truths may be thus collected. And fince these new truths, and the numerous descendents, that may spring from their loins, may be used still in the same manner, and be as it were the feed of more truth, who can tell at what undefcried fields of knowledge even men may at length arrive? At least no body G 2

84. The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. III. body can doubt, but that much truth, and particularly of that kind, which is most useful to us in our conduct here, is discoverable by this method.

They, who oppugn the force and certainty of reason, and treat right reason as a Chimera, must argue against reason either with reason, or without reason. In the latter way they do nothing: and in the former they betray their own cause, and establish that, which they labor to dethrone. To prove there is no such thing as right reason by any good argument, is indeed impossible: because that would be to shew there is such a thing, by the manner of proving, that there is not.

And further, if this proposition be not true, there is no right reasoning in Euclid; nor can we be sure, that what is there demonstrated, is true. But to say this I am sure is absurd. Nor do I desire, that this proposition, which I here maintain, should be esteemed more certain than those demonstrated by him: and so certain it must be: because there can be no certainty in them, if this be not true.

The great objection against all this is taken from the many instances of false reasoning and ignorance, with which the practices, discourses, writings of mankind are too justly taxed. But, in answer to it, I would have it minded, that I do not say, men may not by virtue of their freedom break off their meditations and inquiries prematurely, before they have taken a sufficient survey of things; that they may not be prepossessed with inveterate errors, biassed by interest, or carried violently down with the stream of a sect or fashion, or dazled by some darling

darling notion or bright name o; that they may not be unprovided of a competent stock of pracognita and preparative knowledge; that (among other things) they may not be ignorant of the very nature of reasoning, and what it is that gives sinews to an inference, and makes it just; that they may not want philosophy, history, or other learning requifite to the understanding and stating of the question truly; that they may not have the confidence to pretend to abilities, which they have not, and boldly to judge of things, as if they were qualified, when they are not; that they may not be impotent in their elocution, and mifrepresent their own thoughts, by expressing themselves ill, even when within themselves they reason well; that many understandings may not be naturally gross, good heads often indisposed, and the ablest judges fometimes overfeen, through inadvertence or hafte: I fay none of these things. The contrary I confess is manifest: and it is in opposition to those errors, which appear in these cases under the name of reason, that we are forced to add the epithet right, and to fay right reason instead of reason only; to distinguish it from that, which wrongfully assumes that appellation. Nor, moreover, do I fay, that by reasoning the truth is to be discoverd in every case: that would imply an extent of knowledge, which we cannot pretend to. I only fay, that there is fuch a thing as right reason, and truth discoverable by it.

Gз

I might

<sup>•</sup> Haud alio fidei proniere lapfu, quam ubi false rei gravis autor existit. "Men being never more easily drawn into a wrong belief, than when the author of a falsity is a grave person." PLINY.

## 36 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. III.

I might add, that he, whose faculties are intire and found, and who by a proper exercise of his mind in scientific studies first opens and enlarges its capacity, and renders his intellectuals active and penetrating; takes care to furnish himself with fuch leading truths, as may be useful to him, and of which he is affured in his own breast; and in treating any subject keeps them still in his eye, so that his discourse may be agreeable to them: I say, fuch a one is not in much danger of concluding falsely. He must either determin rightly, or soon find, that the subject lies out of his reach. However he will be fenfible, that there are many things within his fphere, concerning which he may reason; and that there are truths to be found by this use of his faculties, in which he may fecurely acquiesce.

Thus that question supposed to be asked, p. 43. How shall a man know, what is true? is in part answerd. More shall be added by and by: only a proposition or two, which ought not to be omitted, must be first inserted.

X. To all according to right reason, and to all according to truth are in effect the same thing. For in which sense soever the word reason is taken, it will stand either for truth itself, or for that, which is instrumental in discovering and proving it to be such: and then, with respect to this latter sense, whoever is guided by that faculty, whose office consists in distinguishing and pointing out truth, must be a follower of truth, and act agreeably to it. For to be governed by any faculty or power is to act according to the genuin decisions and dictates of it.

That

That reason, which is *right* (by the meaning of the words) must conclude *rightly*: but this it cannot do, if the conclusion is not true, of truth.

That is (for so I would be understood), if the principles and premisses from whence it results are true <sup>p</sup>, and certainly known to be so, the conclusion may be taken as certain and absolute truth: but otherwise the truth obtaind at the end of the argument is but hypothetical, or only this, that such a thing is so, if such another, or such others are so or so.

XI. To be governed by reason is the general law imposed by the Author of nature q upon them, whose uppermost faculty is reason: as the distates of it in particular cases are the particular laws, to which they are subject. As there are beings, which have not so much as sense, and others that have no fa-

P That manner of demonstration, in which it has been pretended truth is deduced directly from that which is false, is only a way of shewing, that an affertion is true, because its contradictory is false; founded in that known rule, Contradictorian nec simul vera, nec simul falsa esse possibility, &c. "That contradictory propositions can neither be true at the same time, "nor false at the same time, &c."

1 Cujus [summi rectoris & domini] ad naturam apta ratio were illa & summa lex à philosophis dicitur. "The reason (of the fupreme lord and governor) which is accommodated to the nature of things, is, by philosophers, called the true and chief law." Cic. Νόμω αξίνοδις ὁ ὁρθὸς λόγω, ἀχ ὑπο τοῦ διῖνω ἀναικ ἀθαρτώς, ἀ χαρτιδίοις ἢ ςάλαις ἄψυχος, ἀψυχοις, ἀλλ ὑπ ἀθανότις ψύσιως ἄφθαρτω ἀ ἀθανότια διανοία τυπωθείς. "Right reason is an unerring law, not to be defaced by any mortal man, as if it were a lifeless thing written upon paper or pillars which must decay: but it proceeds from an immortal being, and is itself immortal, and engraven on an immortal foul." Ph. Jud. More to this purpose might easily be collected.

G 4

culty

culty above it; fo there may be some, who are indued with reason, but have nothing higher than that. It is suricient at present to suppose there may be such. And then if reason be the uppermost faculty, it has a right to controll the rest by being such. As in sensitive animals sense commands gravitation and mechanical motions in those instances, for which their senses are given, and carries them out into spontaneous acts: so in rational animals the gradation requires, that reason should command sense.

It is plain, that reason is of a commanding nature ': it injoins this, condemns that, only allows some other things, and will be paramount (in an old word τὸ ήγεμονικὸν \*) if it is at all. Now a being, who has fuch a determining and governing power so placed in his nature, as to be effential to him, is a being certainly framed to be governed by that power. It feems to be as much defigned by nature, or rather the Author of nature, that rational animals should use their reason, and steer by it: as it is by the shipwright, that the pilot should direct the vessel by the use of the rudder he has fitted to it. The rudder would not be there, if it was not to be used: nor would reason be implanted in any nature only to be not cultivated and neglected. And it is certain, it cannot be used, but it must command: fuch is its nature.

It

T Λέγ@ isir eizar Θεί. " Reason is the image of God." PH. Jud.

<sup>\*</sup> Το προμονικόν κỳ κυσμένον τῆς ψυχῶς μέρ. " The governing " part of the foul." Μ. ΑΝΤΟΝ. Or as it is in Plutarch, τῆς ψυχᾶς ἀνώταθον μές. " the supreme part of the soul." Principatus, " the principal part," in Tully. Summus in anima gradus, " the first quality in the soul." Tertul.

It is not in one's power deliberately to resolve not to be governed by reason. For (here the same way of arguing may be used, that was lately) if he could do this, he must either have some reason for making that resolution, or none. If he has none, it is a resolution, that stands upon no foundation, and therefore in course falls: and if he has some reason for it, he is governed by reason. This demonstrates that reason must govern.

XII. If a rational being, as fuch, is under an obligation to obey reason, and this obedience, or practice of reason, coincides with the observation of truth, these things plainly follow.

1. That what is faid feet. I. prop. IV. must be true with respect to such a being for this further cause; because to him nothing can be right, that interferes with reason, and nothing can interfere with truth, but it must interfere with reason. Such a harmony there is between them. For whatever is known to be true, reason either finds it, or allows it to be fuch. Nothing can be taken for true by a rational being, if he has a reason to the contrary. 2. That there is to a rational being fuch a thing as religion, which may also upon this further account properly be called natural. For certainly to obey the law, which the Author of his being has given him, is religion: and to obey the law, which He has given or reveald to him by making it to refult from the right use of his own natural faculties, must be to him his natural religion. 3. A careful observation of truth, the way to happiness, and the practice of reason are in the issue the same thing. For, of the two last, each falls in with the first, and therefore therefore each with other. And so, at last, natural religion is grounded upon this triple and strict alliance or union of truth, bappiness and reason; all in the same interest, and conspiring by the same methods, to advance and perfect human nature: and its truest definition is, The pursuit of bappiness by the practice of reason and truth.

Permit me here again to insert an observation obiter.

Obs. The xpjthpjov of right reason and truth, or that which is to be regarded in judging of right and truth is private: that is, every one must judge for himself. For since all reasoning is founded originally in the knowledge of one's own private ideas, by virtue of which he becomes conscious of fome first truths, that are undeniable; by which he governs his steps in his pursuits after more truths, &c. the criterion, or that by which he tries his own reasonings, and knows them to be right, must be the internal evidence he has already of certain truths, and the agreeableness of his inferences to them. One man can no more discern the objects of his own understanding, and their relations, by the faculties of another, than he can fee with another man's eyes, or one ship can be guided by the helm of another. They must be his own faculties and conscience, that must determin him. Therefore to demand another man's affent to any thing without conveying into his mind fuch reasons, as may produce a sense of the truth of it, is to erect a tyranny over his understanding, and to demand a tribute which it is not possible for him to pay't. It is true indeed.

t Religio cogi non potest, verbis potius quam verberibus res agenda est, ut sit voluntas. "Religion cannot be forced upon any "one.

indeed, tho'I cannot fee with another man's eyes, yet I may be affifted by another, who has better eyes, in finding an object and the circumstances of it; and fo men may be affifted in making their judgments of things. They may be informed of things, which they did not know before, and which yet require a place among those that are to be confiderd: and they may be directed what to advert principally upon; how to state the question; how to methodize their thoughts, and in general how to reason: especially if they want learning, or have only that part of it, which is little conversant in close reflexions, and doth not teach them to reafon, or (as the case too often is) teaches them not to reason. But still this is all in order to produce fuch a light in them, that by it they may fee and judge for themselves. An opinion, tho ever so true and certain to one man, cannot be transfused into another as true and certain by any other way, but by opening his understanding, and affisting him fo to order his conceptions, that he may find the reasonableness of it within himself.

To prevent mistakes I pray take notice here, that, tho I say men must judge for themselves, I do not say they must in all cases as according to their private and single judgments. In respect of such things, as are private, and concern themselves only, or such as are lest open and subject to every man's own sense, they may and ought; only preferving a due deference to them, who differ from them, and are known upon other occasions to have

more

<sup>&</sup>quot; one, it must be done by words and not by blows, that it may

<sup>&</sup>quot; be a thing of choice." LACTANT.

more knowledge and literature than themselves: but when a society is concerned, and hath determind any thing, it may be considered as one person, of which he, who dissents from the rest, is only perhaps a small particle; and then his judgment will be in a manner absorbed and drownd in that of the majority, or of them to whom the power of judging is intrusted. But I must not digress too far from the main business, the ways of coming at truth.

XIII. The reports of sense are not of equal authority with the clear demonstrations of reason, when they bappen to differ. It is true, the ideas caused by the impression of sensible objects are real ideas, and truly known to the mind as they are in themfelves; and the mind may use them, and reason truly upon them: that is, the mind may make a right use of the ideas which it finds in itself. But then whether these are the true ectypes of their originals, and drawn to the life, is many times a queftion; and many times it is evident they are not. For that which has been anticipated under pr. III. but properly belongs to this, must be acknowledged. They are conveyd through media and by instruments susceptive of different dispositions and alterations, and may confequently produce different representations: and these cannot all be right. But suppose those instruments and media to be as intire and pure, as when intirest and purest; yet still there may be in many respects an incapacity in the faculty to notify things just as they are. How mightily are the shape and fize of a visible object varied upon us according to its distance, and the fituafituation of the place, from whence the prospect is taken? Now these things cannot be said of the reports, or rather determinations of reason. For in pure reasoning we use our own ideas for themselves, and such as the mind knows them to be, not as representatives of things, that may be falsely exhibited. This internal reasoning may indeed be wrongly applied to external things, if we reason about them as being what they are not: but then this is the fault not of reason, but of sense, which reports the case wrong; or perhaps of the person, who has not been sufficiently industrious to inform himself.

That fame familiar instance of vision proves further, that reason may be applied to over-rule and correct sense. For when the pictures of objects are pricked out by the pencils of rays upon the retina of the eye, and do not give the true figure of those objects (as they not always do, being diversly projected, as the lines proceeding from the several points happen to fall upon that concave surface); this, tho it might impose upon a being, that has no faculty superior to sense, doth not impose upon our reason, which knows bow the appearance is alterd, and wby. To think the sun is not bigger, than it appears to the eye to be ", seems to be the last degree of stupidity. He must be a brute (so far

from

<sup>&</sup>quot; Tantulus ille—fol. " The fun—that small thing." Luck. Poor creature!

w Nec nimio solis major rota—Esse potest, nostris quam sensibus esse widetur. "The orb of the sun cannot be much bigger than "it appears to our senses." Luck. Epicurus autem posse putat etiam minorem esse quam wideatur, &c. "Epicurus thought it might be less than it appears, &c." Cic.

94 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. III. from being a philosopher), who does not know, that the same line (v. g. the diameter of the sun) at different distances subtends different angles at the eye. A small matter of reason may serve to consute sense in this and the like cases.

Obj. How can reason be more certain than sense, fince reason is founded in abstractions, which are originally taken from sensible objects? Ans. Perhaps the mind may, by being exercised at first about particular objects, by degrees find in itself this capacity of considering things by their species, making abstractions,  $\mathcal{C}_c$ . which it would not have done, had it never known any of these particulars. But then after it has found this capacity in itself, and attaind to the knowledge of abstract and general ideas, I do not fee why this capacity of reasoning by the help of them may not be used, upon this proficience, to censure and correct the advices of sense concerning even fuch particulars, as first gave occasion to the mind to exert this capacity and raise itself. Is it a new thing for a scholar to make such a progress in learning, as to be able afterward to teach the master, from whom he received his first rudiments? May not the modern philosophers correct the ancients, because these first shewd them the way, and led them into the study of nature? If we look impartially into the history of learning, and even of religion, we shall find that truth has generally advanced by degrees, and many times (very many; as if that was the method of introducing knowledge among men) rifen out of fable and error, which gave occasion to those inquiries, by which themselves were detected. Thus blind ignorance was succeeded by a twilight of sense: this brightend

brightend by degrees: at last the sun as it were rose upon some parts of the commonwealth of learning. and cleard up many things; and I believe many more will in time be cleard, which, whatever men think, are yet in their dark and uncultivated state. The understanding, tho it starts from particulars, in time makes a further progrefs, taking in generals, and fuch notions logical, metaphysical, &c. as never could possibly come in by the senses x. Beside, further, the capacity itself of admitting and considering general ideas was originally in the mind, and is not derived from without. The intelligences communicated by fense are only an occasion of using what it had before y. Just as a master may, by the exercises he sets, excite the superior capacity of his scholar.

In a word, no man doth, or can pretend to believe his fenses, when he has a reason against it: which is an irrefragable proof, that reason is above sense and controlls it. But,

XIV. The reports of sense may be taken for true, when there is no reason against it 2. Because when

" ceeds upon those general truths which we are convinced of, as soon as we begin to have any understanding, and con-

" firms and perfects them by reason. Cic.

" but not knowledge itself." SEN.

there

<sup>\*</sup> Natura etiam nullo docente professa ab iis, quorum, ex prima & inchoata intelligentia, genera cognovit, confirmat ipsa per se rationem, & persicit. "For nature, without any teaching, pro-

Y Semina nobis scientiæ dedit [natura] scientiam non dedit. "The "feeds or principles of knowledge are given us (by nature)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Si fani funt [fenfus], & valentes, & omnia removentur, quæ obstant & impediunt. " If (the senses) be sound and strong, " and if every thing be removed out of the way, that might " obstruct or hinder them." CIC.

96 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. III. there is no reason not to believe, that alone is a reason for believing them. And therefore,

XV. In this case to act according to them (i. e. as taking the informations of sense to be true) is to act according to reason and the great law of our nature.

Thus it appears that there are two ways, by which we may affure ourselves of the truth of many things 2; or at least may attain such a degree of certainty, as will be sufficient to determin our practice: by reason, and by sense under the government of reason: that is, when reason supports it, or at least doth not oppose it. By the former we discover speculative truths; by the latter, or both together, matters of fact.

XVI. Where certainty is not to be had b, probability must be substituted into the place of it: that is,

\* Socrates's faying, ap. Cic. nibil se scire, nist id ipsum, "that " he knew nothing but this," viz. that he knew nothing, favours of an affected humility, and must not be understood ffrictly. But they, who followd, went further (-omnes paneweteres: qui nihil cognosci, nihil percipi, nihil sciri posse dixerunt): (" -almost all the antients, who affirmd, that nothing could " be known, nothing perceived, nothing understood"): and particularly Arcefilas negabat esse quidquam quod sciri posset, ne illud quidem ipsum, quod Socrates sibi reliquisset. " Arcesilas de-" nied that any thing could be certainly known, not so much as " that, which Socrates referved to himself." And thus the abfurdity grew to a fize, that was monstrous. For no man can act, or even be alive, if he knows nothing at all. Befide, to know, that one knows no thing, is a contradiction: and not to know. that he knows even that, is not to know, whether he knows any thing or not; and that is to know for ought he knows.

b Nec scire sas est omnia. " Nor is it possible to know all

" things," Hor.

it must be considerd, which side of the question is the more probable.

Probability, or that, which in this case may incline one to believe any proposition to be true rather than false, or any thing to be rather than not to be, or the contrary, will generally shew itself upon the application of these and such like rules. 1. That may be reckond probable, which, in the estimation of reason, appears to be more agreeable to the constitution of nature. No body can certainly foretell, that fice-ace will come up upon two dies fairly thrown before ambs-ace: yet any one would choose to lay the former, because in nature there are twice as many chances for that as for the other. If a strolling wolf should light upon a lamb, it is not evidently known, that he will tear the lamb: but there is fuch a natural propension in that kind to do it, that no body would much question the event. (This instance might have been taken from amongst men, who are generally, as far as they can be, wolves one to another.) If a parent causes his child to be instructed in the foundations of useful learning, educates him virtuously, and gives him his first impulse and direction in the way to true happiness, he will be more likely to proceed and continue in it; than he would be to hit upon it, and continue in it too, if he was left to himself to be carried away by his own passions, or the influence of those people, into whose hands he might fall, the bias of the former lying towards. vice, and mifery in the end, and the plurality of the latter being either wicked or ignorant or both. So that the advantage in point of probability is on  $\mathbf{H}$ 

The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. III. the fide of good education c. When Herodotus writes, that the Egyptian priests reported the sun had within the compass of 11340 years twice risen where it now fets, and fet where it rifes d, what is fit to be believed concerning the truth of this relation (as of many others), is eafily difcernable by this rule. Herodotus, possibly delighting in teratical stories, might tell what he never heard: or the passage may be an interpolation; or it may be alterd in transcribing: or the priests, who pretended much to a knowledge of great antiquities, might out of mere vanity, to shew what children the Greeks were in respect of them, invent such a monftrous relation, and impose it upon them, whom they thought to have not much science among them: or it might be got into their memoirs before their time, who related it to Herodotus, and so pass upon posterity, as many other sictions and legends have done. These are such things, as are well known to have happend often. But that the diur-

רנוך לנער על פי יוור ממנה "Train up a child in the "way that he should go; and when he is old, he will not depart "from it." Proverbs. For ווכן הוא הדימור בימי הנערות הוא רפתוח על החול הלימור בימי הנערות הוא רפתוח על החול "learning in the days of youth, is like graving upon a stone, "—and learning, in the days of old age, is like marking upon the sand." 2ab. ven. Ou pure'r diagips to stor i stor to stor will but a very great advantage, or indeed all that can be, "to be accustomed to such and such things from our very youth." Arist.

d Tereficie έλεγαι εξ μθέων τον έλιον αναπείλαι ένθα το νύν καταδύεται ενθιύτεν δὶς επαντείλαι καὶ ένθεν νύν αναπέλλιι, ενθαϋτα δὶς καταδύναι. "That the fun had risen four times contrary to what it usually does, viz. risen twice where it now sets, and set

twice, where it now rifes."

nal

nal rotation of the earth about her axis should be inverted, is a phænomenon, that has never been known to happen by any body else, either before or fince; that is favourd by no observation; and that cannot be without great alteration in the mundane fystem, or those laws by which the motions of the planets, and of our earth among the rest, are governd. That this account then may be false is very confistent with the humor and circumstances of mankind: but that it should be true is very inconfiftent with those laws, by which the motions of the celestial bodies seem to be regulated, and tend to persevere in their present courses and directions. It is therefore in nature much more probable, that this account is false. The odds are on that fide. 2. When any observation hath hitherto constantly held true, or most commonly proved to be fo, it has by this acquired an established credit; the cause may be presumed to retain its former force; and the effect may be taken as probable, if in the case before us there doth not appear something particular, some reason for exception. No man can demonstrate, that the sun will rise again, yet every one doth, and must act, as if that was certain °: because we apprehend no decay in the causes, which bring about this appearance, nor have any other reason to mistrust the event, or think it will be otherwise a few hours hence, than it has been hitherto. There is no apodictical argument to prove, that any particular man will die: but yet he must be more than mad, who can prefume upon im-

H 2 mortality

י עולם כמנהגו הולך. "The world goes on in its usual "course."

100 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. III. mortality here, when he finds fo many generations all gone to a man, and the same enemies, that have laid them proftrate, still pursuing their victories. These and such like, tho in strictness perhaps not certainties, are justly current for such. So great is their probability. There are other observations, which, tho not so infallible as those, deserve yet to be thought of, and to have a share in the direction of our judgments. Ex. gr. There have been men in the world and no doubt still are, who, having had opportunities of imposing falsities upon mankind, of cheating, or committing other wickedness, have yet in spite of temptation preserved their integrity and virtue: but, fince opportunity has so seldom faild to corrupt them who have been in possession of her, and men's interests and passions continue in general the fame, it is more probable her charms will still have the same power and effect, which they use to have; which whoever doth not mind, will be wofully obnoxious to be abused by frauds pious and impious s. Briefly, when there is no particular reason for the contrary, what has oftnest happend, may from experience most reasonably be expected to happen again. 3. When neither nature nor other observations point out the probable conjecture to us, we must be determind (if it be necessary for us to be determind at all) by the reports, and sense of them, whom we apprehend, judging with the best skill we have s,

Digitized by Google

f פתי יאמין לכל דבר. "A fool believes every thing that he hears." Proverbs. (which fure one may convert thus, המאמין לכל דבר פתי הוא, "He that believes every thing that he hears, is a fool.")

s Statuere enim, qui fit sapiens, wel maxime widetur esse sapientis. "It seems requisite that a man must be himself wise, in order to determine who is a wise man." Cic.

to be most knowing h and bonest. Of all these rules the first is that which deserves the principal regard: the other two are of use, when nature so utterly excludes us from her bosom, that no opportunity is allowed of making a judgment. Lasty, when nature, the frequent repetition of the same event, and the opinion of the best judges concur to make any thing probable, it is so in the highest degree.

It appears from what has been said concerning the nature and foundations of probability, that the force of it results from observation and reason together. For here the one is not sufficient without the other. Reason without observation wants matter to work upon: and observations are neither to be made justly by ourselves, nor to be rightly chosen out of those made by others, nor to be aptly applied, without the assistance of reason. Both together may support opinion and practice in the absence of knowledge and certainty. For those observations upon the nature of men and things,

h Non numero bæc judicantur, sed pondere, "these are to be "judged of, not by number, but by weight," as Tully speaks upon another occasion. Therefore I cannot without a degree of indignation find a fort of writers pleasing themselves with having discoverd some uncivilized nations, which have little or no knowledge of the Deity, &c. and then applying their observations to the service of atheism. As if ignorance could prove any thing, or alter its nature by being general!

1 Aristotle's known rule is "Ενδοξα, τα δουϊντα σάσιν, π τοις πλείσοις, π τοις τότοις, π τοις σασιν, π τοις σλείσοις, π τοις πλείσοις, π τοις σλείσοις, π τοις μαλ. λιςα γνωρίμοις κ iνδόξοις. "Those things are probable, which feem so to all men, or to most men, or to wise men: or which seem so to such as these, viz. to all, or to a great many, or to the most knowing and those of the best reputation." But it is not applicable to all cases.

H 3

which

102 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. III. which we have made ourselves, we know; and our own reasoning concerning them, and deductions from them we know: and from hence there cannot but arise in many cases an internal obligation to give our affent to this, rather than that; or to act one way, rather than another. And as to the observations of others, they may be so cautiously and skilfully selected, as to become almost our own; fince our own reason and experience may direct us in the choice and use of them. The remarks and advice of old men k, who have gone through variety of scenes, lived long enough to fee the confequences of their own and other peoples actings, and can now with freedom 1 look back and tell where they erred, are ordinarily fure to be preferred to those of young and raw actors. The gnomæ, apologues, Cc. of wise men, and such as have made it their business to be useful spies upon nature and mankind, national proverbs, and the like m, may be taken as maxims commonly true, Men in their feveral professions and arts, in which they have been educated, and exercised themselves

id fense in a small compass." PLUT.

all

k Δοκεί μοι χρίναι σας αὐτῶν [σρισθυτῶν] συνθάνεσθαι, ἄσπερ πινα ὁθὸν σροεληλυθοτων, ἢν χ ἡμᾶς ἐσως δεήσει σος εὐεσθαι, σοία τις ἐσε. " It feems best to enquire of old men, who have gone over " the way that you are to go, what fort of a way it is." PLATO.

<sup>1</sup> When Sophocles, now grown old, was asked, Πῶς ἐχεις σεψές σ' αρροδίσια, "What relish he had of women, he answerd, Ευφώμει, δ' ἀνθρωπες ἀσμετές αλα μέν τοι αυτό ἀπίφυγον, δισπέρ λυνλώνται τια καὶ ἀγριον δισπότων ἀποφυγών.—σαντάπασι γὰρ τῶν γε τοιμέτων ἀ πῷ γώρς σολλὸ εἰρώνη γίνεται καὶ ἐλευθερία. "Bequiet, Sir, 'I slee from them as gladly as I would run away from a mad ' or a cruel master.—there is great ease and freedom from all

fuch things when a man is grown old." PLATO, & al.

m 'Ει βωχεί σφυβίλατοι νᾶν συρείχοντα. " That contains fo-

all their days, must be supposed to have greater knowledge and experience, than others can usually have: and therefore, if through want of capacity or honesty they do not either lose, or belie their opportunities and experience, they are in respect of those things, to which they have been bred and inured, more to be relied upon. And, lastly, bistories written by credible and industrious authors. and red with judgment, may supply us with examples, parallel cases, and general remarks, profitable in forming our manners, and opinions too. And by the frequent perusal of them, and meditation upon them a dexterity in judging of dubious cases is acquired. Much of the temper of mankind, much of the nature and drift of their counfels, much of the course of Divine providence is visible in them.

To conclude; that we ought to follow probability, when certainty leaves us, is plain: because then it becomes the only light and guide we have. For unless it is better to wander and fluctuate in absolute uncertainty than to follow such a guide; unless it be reasonable to put out our candle, because we have not the light of the fun, it must be reasonable to direct our steps by probability, when we have nothing clearer to walk by. And if it be reasonable, we are obliged to do it by prop. XI. When there is nothing above probability, it doth govern: when there is nothing in the opposite scale, or nothing of equal weight, this in the course of nature must turn the beam. Tho a man, to refume the instance before, cannot demonstrate that sice-ace will come up before ambs-ace, he would find himself obliged (if he could be obliged to lay

H 4

at all) to lay on that fide: nor could he not choose to do it. Tho he would not be certain of the chance, he would be certain of his own obligation, and on which fide it lay.

Here then is another way of discovering, if not truth, yet what in practice may be supposed to be truth. That is, we may by this way discover, whether such propositions as these be true, I ought to do this, rather than that, or, to think so, rather than the contrary.

Obs. I have done now what I chiefly intended here. But, over and above that, we may almost from the premisses collect,

First, the principal causes of error, which I take to be fuch as these. 1. Want of faculties; when men pretend to judge of things above them. As fome (straying out of their proper element, and falling into the dark, where they find no ideas but their own dreams, come to) affert what they have no reason to affert: so others deny what there is the highest reason to believe, only because they cannot comprehend it. 2. Want of due reflexion upon those ideas we have, or may have: by which it comes to pass, that men are destitute of that knowledge, which is gaind by the contemplation of them, and their relations; misapply names, confusedly: and sometimes deal in a set of words and phrases, to which no ideas at all belong, and which have indeed no meaning. Of kin to this is, 3. Want of proper qualifications and wρηπαιδεύματα. As, when illiterate people invade the provinces of scholars; the half-letterd are forward, and arrogate to themselves what a modest, studious man dares not, not ", tho he knows more; and scholars, that have confined themselves to one fort of literature, lanch out into another: unfuccessfully all. 4. Not understanding in what the nature and force of a just consequence consists. Nothing more common than to hear people affert, that such a thing follows from fuch a thing; when it doth not follow: i. e. when fuch a consequence is founded in no axiom, no theorem, no truth that we know of. 5. Defects of memory and imagination. For men in reasoning make much use of these: memory is upon many occasions confulted, and sometimes draughts made upon the phantaly. If then they depend upon these, and these happen to be weak, clouded, perverted any way, things may be mifrepresented, and men led out of the way by mif-shapen apparitions. There ought to be therefore a little distrust of these faculties, and fuch proper helps ought to be used, as perhaps the best judgments want the most. 6. Attributing too much to fense. For as necessary as our fenses are to us, there are certainly many things, which fall not within their notice; many, which cannot be exhibited after the manner of senfible objects, and to which no images belong. Every one, who has but just faluted the mathematics and philosophy, must be convinced, that there are many things in nature, which feem abfurd to sense, and yet must be admitted. 7. Want of re-

tirement,

n Sicut duasta uir Sector, requests di aver eises (è Thucyd.) ita recta ingenia debilitat verecundia, perversa confirmat audacia.

"As ignorance carries impudence along with it (out of Thucydides) and reputation makes men lazy; so modesty weakens great genius's, and impudence confirms the obstinate."

PLIN. jun.

106 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. III. tirement, and the practice of thinking and reasoning by ourselves o. A rambling and irregular life must be attended with a loose and irregular head, ill-connected notions, and fortuitous conclusions. Truth is the offspring of filence, unbroken meditations, and thoughts often revised and corrected. 8. The strength of appetites, passions, prejudices. For by these the understanding may be corrupted, or over-born: or at least the operations of the mind must be much obstructed by the intrusion of such folicitors, as are no retainers to the rational powers, and yet strong, and turbulent. Among other prejudices there is one of a particular nature, which you must have observed to be one of the greatest causes of modern irreligion. Whilst some opinions and rites are carried to fuch an immoderate height, as exposes the absurdity of them to the view of almost every body but them who raise them, not only gentlemen of the belles lettres, but even men of common fense, many times see through them; and then out of indignation and an excessive renitence, not separating that which is true from that which is false, they come to deny both, and fall back into the contrary extreme, a contempt of all religion in general p. 9. Ill stating of a question;

when men either put it wrong themselves, or ac-

o "Oταν τι βυλόμιθα ἀκοιζει νοῦσαι, εἰς ἐρημίαν αποδιδεσίσκομεν, παταμύομεν τὰς ὁψεις, τὰ ὅτα ἐπιφεσίπομεν, ἀποταπλόμεθα ταῖε αἰσθάσει. "When we would confider a thing very exactly, "we retire into fome private place, we wink our eyes, and "ftop our ears, and renounce all our bodily fenses." Ph. Jud. P Aliis nullus est deorum respectus, aliis pudendus. "Some do "not worship the Gods at all, and others do it in a shameful "manner." PLIN. sen. The former part of this observation is in truth the effect of the latter.

cept it so put from others. A small addition or falsity slipped into the case will ferment, and spread itself: an artificial color may deceive one: an incumberd manner may perplex one. The question ought to be presented before its judge clean, and in its natural state, without disguise or distortion. To this last may be subjoined another cause, nearly allied to it; not fixing the sense of terms, and (which must often follow) not rightly understanding what it is, that is to be examined and resolved.

Secondly, the reason why the many are commonly in the wrong and so wretchedly misjudge things. The generality of people are not fufficiently prepared, by a proper education, to find truth by reasoning. And of them, who have liberal education, some are soon immersed and lost in pleafures, or at least in fashionable methods of living, rolling from one visit or company to another 4, and flying from nothing fo much as from themselves and the quiet retreats proper for meditation and reasoning: others become involved in business and the intricate affairs of life, which demand their attention, and ingross their time: others fall into a flothful neglect of their studies and difuse of what they have learnt, or want help and means to proceed, or only defign to deceive life and gratify themselves with the amusements and fenfual parts of learning: and others there are, whose misfortune it is to begin wrong, to begin with the conclusion; taking their opinions from

places,

<sup>9</sup> Pudet dicere frequentiam salutandi, &c. "I am ashamed to relate what sort of visits they make to each other, &c." JEROM.

places, where they have been bred, or accommodating them to their situation in the world, and the conditions of that imployment, by which they are to get their bread, before they have ever confiderd them; and then making the fubsequent bufiness of their lives to dispute for them, and maintain them, right or wrong. If fuch men happen to be in the right, it is luck, and part of their portion, not the effect of their improvements: and if they happen to be in the wrong, the more they study, and the more learning they get, the more they are confirmed in their errors; and having fet out with their backs upon truth, the further they go, the more they recede from it. Their know. ledge is a kind of negative quantity, so much worse or less than no knowledge. Of this fort there are many: and very few indeed (with respect to the bulk of mankind), whose determinations and tements were ever in the form of questions: there could not otherwise be so many sects and different denominations of men, as there are, upon the face of the earth. The fum of all in a few words is this: many qualifications are requifite in order to judge of some truths, and particularly those which are of greatest importance: proper learning and penetration, vacancy from business, a detachment from the interest of all parties, much fincerity and a perfect refignation to the government of reason and force of truth; which are things not to be reconciled with the usual ignorance, passions, tumultuary lives, and other circumstances which carry most men transverse.

SECT.

SECT. IV. Of the Obligations of imperfect Beings with respect to their power of acting.

HERE remains yet another question, supposed also to be proposed by an objector, which must not be forgot; and upon which I shall bestow this very short section. The question was this, If a man can find out truth, may be not want the power of assing agreeably to it?

I. Nothing is capable of no obligation. For to oblige nothing is the same as not to oblige.

II. So far as any being has no power, or opportunity of doing any thing, so far is that being incapable of any obligation to do it: or, no being is capable of any obligation to do that, which it has not power or opportunity to do. For that being, which has not the faculties or opportunity necessary to the doing of any thing, is in respect of that thing a being utterly unactive, no agent at all, and therefore as to that act nothing at all.

To require or command one to do any thing is to require him to apply a power superior to the resistence to be met with in doing it. To require him to apply such a power is the same as to require that his power of such a kind and degree be applied. But if he has no such power, then his power of that kind and degree is nothing: and it is nothing, that is required to be applied. Therefore nothing is required to be done. It is just the same, as if a man was commanded to do something with his third hand, when he has but two: which would

be the same as to bid him to do it with no hand, or not bid him do it.

Without more ado, it is a truth confest by every body, that no body is obliged to impossibilities.

From hence will follow, after the manner of corollaries, the two following propositions.

- III. Inanimate and unactive beings are capable of no obligation: nor merely sensitive of any obligation to act upon principles, or motives above sense.
- IV. The obligations of beings intelligent and active must be proportionable to their faculties, powers, opportunities; and not more.
- V. To endeavour may fitly express the use of all the opportunities and powers, that any intelligent and active, but imperset, being bath to att. For to endeavour is to do what one can: and this as every such being may do, wherever he stands in the scale of impersects, so none can do more. One may exert his endeavours with greater advantage or success, than another; yet still they are but endeavours.
- VI. The imputations of moral good and evil to beings capable of understanding and acting must be in proportion to their endeavours: or, their obligations reach, as far as their endeavours may. This follows again from what has been said: and so does this,
- VII. and lastly, They who are capable of discerning truth, the not all truths, and of acting conformably to it, the not always or in all cases, are nevertheless obliged to do these, as far as they are able: or,

it

it is the duty of such a being sincerely to endeavour to practise reason; not to contradict any truth, by word or deed; and in short, to treat every thing as being what it is.

Thus the general duties of rational beings, mentiond in or refulting from the preceding fections, are brought together, and finally fixt under the correction or limitation in this last proposition. This is the sum of their religion, from which no exemption or excuse lies. Every one can endeavour: every one can do what he can. But in order to that every one ought to be in earnest, and to exert himself beartily; not stifling his own conscience, not diffembling, suppressing, or neglecting his own powers.

And now needless to me seem those disputes about buman liberty, with which men have tired themselves and the world. The case is much the fame, as if a man should have some great reward or advantage offerd to him, if he would get up and go to fuch a place to accept it, or do fome certain thing for it, and he, instead of going or doing any thing, falls into a tedious disquisition about his own freedom; whether he has the power to stir, or whether he is not chaind to his feat, and necessitated to sit still. The short way of knowing this certainly is to try. If he can do nothing, no labor can be lost; but if he is capable of acting, and doth not act, the consequences and blame must be justly chargeable upon himself. And I am perfuaded, if men would be ferious, and put forth themselves , they would find by experience, that

r Τὰ χενς' ἐπισάμεθα, καὶ γιγνώσκομεν, Οὐκ ἐκπονεμεν δ' οἱ μὲν ἀγγίας ὖπο, κλ. "We know and understand what is good, but we do not labor after it; some out of laziness, &c." EURIP. their

their wills are not so universally and peremptorily determind by what occurs, nor predestination and sate so rigid, but that *much* is left to their own conduct. Up and try ".

Sure it is in a man's power to keep his hand from his mouth: if it is, it is also in his power to

\* ΓΝΟ "Fat" in Arabic is to die: and from hence the word fatum, "fate," feems to come (as many Latin words do from that and other Eastern languages), death, if any thing, being fatal and necessary. Yet it doth not follow, that therefore the time or manner of dying is unmoveably fixt. Ου σάντα καθαερίε είδι διαβρίδου είμαρμένω στερίχει, αλλ' δοα καθόλει. "Fate does not "contain in it all things clearly and distinctly, but only gene- ral things." Plut. Chrysppus ap. A. Gell. feems to explain himself much after the same manner. The ancients moreover feem many times to make sate conditional. Similis si cura suisset, Nec pater omnipotens Trojam, nec fata vetabant Stare, &c. "If the "same care had been taken, neither Jupiter nor sate would "have hinderd Troy from standing at this time, &c. Virg.

" Dimidium facti, qui capit, habet. Japere aude. "He that has made a good beginning, has half finished his work: take courage then enough to be wise." Hor. Aristotle goes further than that old adagial saying (ἀρχὶ ἐμισυ σαντός. "The beginning is half the work.") His words are, Δοιεί σλείον το διμισυ τῶ σαντὸς είναι τὸ ἀρχῶ. "The beginning is more than half the whole business."

forbear

forbear excess in eating and drinking. If he has the command of his own feet, so as to go either this way or that or no whither, as sure he has, it is in his power to abstain from ill company and vicious places. And so on w.

This suggests a very material thought: that forbearances, at least in all ordinary cases, are within our power \*; so that a man may if he will, forbear to do that, which contradicts truth: but where acting is required, that very often is not in his power. He may want abilities, or opportunities; and so may seem to contradict truth by his omission, which, if his infirmities and disadvantages were taken into the account, and the case was rightly stated, he would be found not to do.

SECT. V. Truths relating to the Deity. Of his existence, perfection, providence, &c.

HAVE shewn in what the nature of moral good and evil consists; viz. a conformity or disagreement to truth, and those things that are coincident with it, reason and bappiness: also, how truth is discoverd; by sense, or reason, or both. I shall now specify some of those truths, which are of greatest

I

importance

w Outh γαρ ετα κακάς συγίτακαι τῶν ψυχὰν ἐχότων, ῶςο ἀζωλείθω αὐτὰ σερόδεα σόδας, ὰ εθέγ Γεσθαι γλῶτ Γαν, κλ. "No living creatures are so badly constituted, as that their feet will move, and their tongues speak, whether they will or no." Plut. That in Tibullus, Cùm bene juravi, pes tamen ipso redit, "Tho I had directly fworn to the contrary, yet my feet would come back again," is a little poetic fally.

x "Oλως δι φῶσα ἀργία καὶ τῆς τυχάσης φορέξως ἐςτι νυμαρετέρω.

Δίοι ε φοιωσους, ε μοιχεύσεις, πτλ. "In general, the forbearing to do a thing is very easy: as thou shalt not kill, thou shalt not commit adultery." BAS.

The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V.

importance and influence, and require more reasoning to discover them; leaving the rest (common matters of fact) to the common ways of finding them. They respect principally either the Deity, or ourselves, or the rest of mankind. The first sortare the subject of this section.

I. Where there is a subordination of causes and effects, there must necessarily be a cause in nature prior to the rest, uncaused. Or thus, Where there is a series, in which the existence of one thing depends upon another, the existence of this again upon some other, and so upwards, as the case shall be, there must be some independent being, upon whom it doth originally

depend.

If Z (some body) be put into motion by Y, Y by X, and X by W, it is plain that X moves Y, and Y moves Z only as they are first moved, X by W, and Y by X: that Z, Y, X are moveds, 'or rather Z more Y more X, taken together, are one moved: that W stands here as the first mover, or author of the motion, unmoved by any other: that therefore without W there would be a moved without a mover, which is absurd 2: and lastly, that of what length soever the series may be, the case will be ever the same; i.e. if there be no First mover

unmoved\_

y  $\overline{Z+Y+X}$ , that is, Z, Y, and X added together.

<sup>2</sup> One might with the Erapistas, "flationary philosophers" (so called by Aristotle ap. Sext. Emp. in opposition to those philosophers who maintained that nothing continued fixed, but every thing was in motion) as well deny, that there is any such thing as motion, as fay there is motion without a mover; or, which is the same, a first mover.

<sup>2</sup> Η εῶτον μελαζάλλον. " Something that first causes any altera-"tion to be made in a thing." PLATO. 'APX' ESTÁTEME ANDESE.

<sup>&</sup>quot;The principle of all motion." Idem. 1105701 2081 "The first " mover." ARIST.

unmoved, there must be a moved without a mover.

Further, if W, whom we will suppose to be an intelligent being, and to have a power of beginning motion, hath this power originally in himself and independently of all others, then here not only the first mover in this series, but a First being and original cause is found. Because that, which has a power of beginning motion independent of any other, is a mover independent; and therefore is independent, or has an independent existence, since nothing can be a mover without being. But if W has not this power independently in himself, then he must receive it from some other, upon whom he depends, and whom we will call V. If then V has a power of conferring a faculty of producing motion originally and independently in himself, here will be a First, independent cause. And if it can be supposed, that he has it not thus, and that the series should rise too high for us to follow it; yet however we cannot but conclude, that there is some fuch cause, upon whom this train of beings and powers must depend, if we reason as in the former paragraph. For,

Universally, if Z be any effect whatsoever, proceeding from or depending upon Y as the cause of its existence, Y upon X, X upon W, it is manifest that the existence of all, Z, Y, X does originally come from W, which stands here as the Supreme cause, depending upon nothing: and that without it X could not be, and consequently neither Y, nor Z. Z, Y, X, being all effects (or dependents), or rather Z more Y more X one effect, without W there would be an effect without a

I 2

Digitized by Google

cause.

cause. Lastly, let this retrogression from effects to their causes be continued ever so far, the same thing will still recur, and without such a cause as is before mentioned the whole will be an effect without an efficient, or a dependent without any thing to depend upon; i.e. dependent, and not dependent.

Obj. The series may ascend infinitely, and for that reason have no first mover or cause. Ans. If a series of bodies moved can be supposed to be infinite,

b The greatest men among the ancients denied the possibility of fuch an ascent. Ours pag rod' on rude duvaror liva eie aneiger. " It is impossible for one thing to proceed from another and so " on for ever." ARIST. If there could be such a process, then all the parts of it but the last would be wirz, " intermediate " ones :" and then εἶπερ μαδίν ἐσι τὸ σοροτον, ὅλως αἶτιον εἰδεν ἐσι, wa. "if there be no first, there can be no cause at all." To suppose one thing moved by another, this by another, and so on in' anesor "infinitely," is to suppose ones isir addiraror uder pas ਬੱτος ਬੱτε κινών ές αι ਬੱτε κινέμενον, μικ έσης αρχάς της κινέσης, ... 2 "thing that is impossible; for nothing can either move or be " moved in this manner, without any beginning of motion." SIMPL. Not only those Arabian philosophers called Hebr. מדברים, Arab. אלמתכלמון, "the rational" (a feet who maintained that the world was eternal) but many of the elder Jews have agreed with the Greeks in this matter, and added arguments of their own. Of the former see Mor. nebok. & al. and par- . ticularly S. Kozri: where their first argument seems to be strong (and much the fame with the fourth in S. Emunoth). היה באו חולף איז לו ראשית הנה האישים הנמצאים, בזמן החולף ער העת הואת אין תכלית להם ומה שאין לו תכלית לא אל הפוטר "If there be any fuccession which has no beginning, then the number of those men, who existed during that whole succession down to the present time, " must be infinite, and that which is infinite cannot be " the effect of any other thing." For tho, as Muscatus observes, these reasonings of the Medabberim לא לרצון היו רהן המורהן, " rational philosophers, were not agree-" able to him;" yet most certainly let the feries of causes and effects be what it will, it is just as long downward as upward; and if they are infinite and inexhaustible one way,

finite, then taken together it will be equal to an infinite body moved; and this moved will not less require a mover than a finite body, but infinitely more. If I may not be permitted to place a first mover at the top of the series, because it is supposed to be infinite, and to have no beginning; yet still there must of necessity be some cause or author of the motion sufferent from all these bodies, because their being (by the supposition) no one body in the series, that moves the next, but only in consequence of its being moved first itself, there is no one of them that is not moved, and the whole can be considered together but as an infinite body moved, and which must therefore be moved by something.

The fame kind of answer holds good in respect of all effects and their causes in general. An infinite succession of effects will require an infinite efficient, or a cause infinitely effective. So far is it from requiring none.

Suppose a chain hung down out of the heavens from an unknown height, and tho every link

Aristotle himself, who afferts the eternity of motion, afferts also the necessity of a first and eternal mover.

\* Σειρὶν χρυσείνι ἐξ εἰσκόθεν—. "A golden chain hanging down "from heaven—." Η ο Μ. Aurea de cœlo—funis, "a golden rope reaching down from heaven." is mentiond too by Lucrețius.

of

of it gravitated toward the earth, and what it hung upon was not visible, yet it did not descend, but kept its fituation; and upon this a question should arise, What supported or kept up this chain: would it be a fufficient answer to say, that the first (or lowest) link hung upon the second (or that next above it), the second or rather the first and second together upon the third, and so on ad infinitum? For what holds up the whole? A chain of ten links would fall down, unless something able to bear it hinderd: one of twenty, if not staid by something of a yet greater strength, in proportion to the increase of weight: and therefore one of infinite links certainly, if not fustaind by something infinitely strong, and capable to bear up an infinite weight. And thus it is in a chain of causes and effects e tending, or as it were gravitating, towards fome end. The last (or lowest) depends, or (as one may say) is suspended upon the cause above it: this again, if it be not the first cause, is suspended as an effect upon fomething above it, &c. f. And if they should be

אי אפשר שישתלשל ענין מעלה ועלול אל כלתי ... "It is impossible that causes and effects can be con"nected with each other without end." S. IQQAR. Where more may be seen of this השתלשלות "concatenation," out of IBN SINAI, MAIM. &c.

The chain must be fastend στες μίον Ουλύμποιο, "to the top of Olympus." Invenietur pressius intuenti à summo Deo usque ad ultimam rerum fæcem—connexio: & bæc est Homeri catena aurea, quam pendere de cælo in terras Deum jussifie commemorat. "Whoever considers the thing closely, says Macrobius, will see "that there is a connexion of things from the supreme God to the lowest dregs that are—: and this is Homer's golden chain, "which he tells you God commanded to hang down from "heaven to the earth." This matter might be illustrated by other

be infinite, unless (agreeably to what has been said) there is some *cause* upon which all hang or depend, they would be but an infinite effect without an efficient: and to affert there is any such thing, would be as great an *absurdity*, as to say, that a sinite or *little* weight wants something to sustain it, but an infinite one or the *greatest* does not.

II. A Cause or Being, that has in nature no superior cause, and therefore (by the terms) is also unproduced, and independent, must be self-existent: i.e. existence must be essential to him; or, such is his nature, that he cannot but he s. For every being must either

other similitudes (even הקברה הקברה with the chain of the cabala" might serve for one): but I shall set down but one more: and in that indeed the motion is inverted, but the thing is the same taken either way. It occurs in Hbob. halleb. and afterward in Resp. bbokm. Suppose a row of blind men, of which the last laid his hand upon the shoulder of the man next before him, he on the shoulder of the next before him, and so on till the foremost grew to be quite out of sight; and some body asking, what guide this string of blind men had at the head of them, it should be answerd, that they had no guide, nor any head, but one held by another, and so went on, ad insin. would any rational creature accept this for a just answer? Is it not to say, that infinite blindness (or blindness, if it be infinite) supplies the place of sight, or of a guide?

Digitized by Google

either exist of itself, or not of itself: that which exists not of itself must derive its existence from some other, and so be dependent: but the Being mentiond in the proposition is supposed to be independent, and uncaused. Therefore He must exist, not this way, but the other. The root of His existence can be sought for no where, but in His own nature: to place it any where else is to make a cause superior to the Supreme.

III. There must be such a Being. For (beside what has been said already) if there was not at

"will be." So Philo explains it; Eirat ciputa, "Existence be-" longs to his very nature." So Abarbanel; אני אהיה בעבור שאהיה כי אין מציאותי תלוי בזולתי אלא בטמצי (I am, " because I am; for my existence does not depend upon any "thing without me, but is from myself:" adding moreover, that it shewd God to be, not like other beings, איפשרי אומציאות, " a being that might or might not have existed," but מצר עצמי אחוייב המציאות מצר עצמי whose existence flows " necessarily from himself," a Necessary being. And so R. L. b. Ger/h. יורה זה השם שהוא הנמצא אשר ימצא מעצמותו. "The very name (of God) shews this; for it signifies a being "that exists of itself, or from its own nature." I omit others, who write after the same manner, There have been even Heathens, who feemd to think, that fome fuch name as this belongd to the Deity, and for the same reason. For as AIAN Eheveh, "I " shall be," and thence הוה Jehowah, "He shall be," are used above, so Plutarch says, that in addressing to Him the second person Eli(תהוה, or חהוה) (Tehejeh or Teheveh) " Thou shalt " be," is σεὐτοτελής τοῦ Θεῖ σεροσαγόρευσις κỳ σεροσφώνησις, "the most "complete appellation or title of God:" and that by this compellation we give him αληθη και αφουδη κο μότην μότο στροσήμεσαν την που είναι σεροσαγέρευσιν. Ήμιν μέν γάρ όντως που είναι μεπεςιν είδεν" " the true, the certain, and the only title that is peculiar to the " felf-existent being; for self-existence does not belong to any " of us." It is to aidior no ayerntor now do Saptor, " that which is " eternal, which never had any beginning, and which is incor-"ruptible;" that is orrow or, " the being that truly exists." least

least one such Being, nothing could be at all h. For the universe could not produce itself; nor could any part of it produce itself, and then produce the rest: because this is supposing a thing to ast before it is.

IV. Such a Being, as is before described, must not only be eternal, but infinite. Eternal He must be, because there is no way, by which such a Being can either begin or cease to be, existence being of His essence. And infinite He must be, because He can be limited by no other as to his existence. For if there was any being able to limit Him, He must be inferior to that being. He must also in that case be dependent: because he must be beholden to that being for his being what He is, and that He is not confined within narrower limits. Beside, if His presence (whatever the manner of it is) was any where excluded, He would not be there: and if not there, He might be supposed to be not elsewhere: and thus he might be supposed not to be at all. But fuch a Being, as is described in the II, prop. cannot fo much as be supposed not to be.

V. Such

א Something must be מחוייב המציאות, "necessarily exi"ftent," otherwise לא יהיה דבר נמצא כלל, "there could
"be no beings at all:" every thing cannot be אפשר מציאור מציאור
"precarious or such as might not have existed, &c." Mor.
Neb. & al.

י This needs no demonstration. But there is a very old one in S. Emun. and after in Hhob. halleb. שנישה את עצטו אר עצטו אר עושה את עצטו קורם הויתו או מאחר משני דברים שעשה את עצטו קורם הויתו או שניהם אי אפשר וכוי הויתו ושניהם אי אפשר וכוי הויתו ושניהם אי אפשר וכוי הויתו ושניהם אי אפשר וכוי הויתו הויתו ושניהם אי אפשר וכוי הויתו ושניהם אי הויתו או הויתו או שניהם אי הויתו או שניהם או הויתו אויתו או הויתו או הויתו הויתו או הויתו אויתו או הויתו או הויתו

## 122 The Religion of Nature. Sect. V.

V. Such a Being is above all things, that fall under our cognizance: and therefore his manner of exifence is above all our conceptions. For He is a necessary existent: but nothing within our comprehension is of this kind. We know no being, but what we can imagine not to be without any contradiction or repugnance to nature: nor do we know of any beside this Supreme being himself. For with respect to Him indeed we know, by reasoning, that there must be One being who cannot be supposed not to be; just as certainly as we know there is any thing at all: tho we cannot know Him, and how he exists. Adequate ideas of eternity and

\* What relation or analogy there is between time (a flux of moments) and eternal (unchangeable) existence; how any being should not be older now, than he was 5000 years ago, &c. are fpeculations attended with insuperable difficulties. Nor are they at all cleard by that of Timeus ap. Plat. 'ne cor' distor caed by ma Tor idarizon zóo por ods alexros in servada, ouras as week caesiden par tor aiora od χείν συν κόσμο idaμικεγήθη; " As the heavens were " formerly made according to the eternal pattern of the world " in the intellectual mind, fo time was made with this world ac-" cording to the pattern of an age;" or that in Philo, 'Aia's araγεώροται τοῦ τουτε βίω κόσμε, ώς αἰσθυτώ χείνω. " An age is de-" fcribed to be the length of the intellectual world, as time is " the length of the visible world." Many philosophers therefore have thought themselves obliged to deny that God exists in time. Τό, τ' μτ, τό, τ' έςαι, χρόνε γεγονότ @ eidu, φέροντες λαιθάνομεν έπὶ τὰν ἀίδιον, εσίαν οὐκ όςθώς, κλ. " Past and future are " parts of that time which is made (with the world) and it " is very wrong to apply these to an eternal being." PLATO, "Εσιν ο Θεός, χρώ φαναι, κή έσικατ' κόθενα χρόνον άλλα κατά τον αίωνα परेंग बीर्याग्याण, मुझ्ने बीश्रवण्या मुझ्ने बीर्यग्रस्य स्वरण, मुझ्ने हैं ब्यूविष्टक्वण, धेर्वीष बेडाण, बेर्वे येडावृत्य वर्धकी प्रवर्षप्रकृत्य बीर्स्से बहु बीर वेग्रो प्रकृत्या पूर्व बीर्स व्यवस्थित्वस्य, स्रोत् "We must allow that God exists, tho not in any time, but in a "duration that has no succession, that is eternal and invari-" able; before which there was nothing, nor will there be and infinity are above us, us finites 1.

In inquiring after the causes of things, when we find (or suppose) this to be the cause of that, another thing to be the cause of this again, and so on, if we can proceed, it may always be demanded with respect to the last cause that we can compre-

" any after or later than it; and that he is a fingle being who " fills all eternity as if it were a fingle moment." Pבעד. המן וכו הומן וכו הומן וכו הומן וכו הומן וכו הומן וכו " (Jebovah) shall be exalted, there is no proportion betwixt it " and the present time." MAIM. מצוי בומן "He (God) "does not exist in time." Idem. Albo has a whole chapter to shew ה"יש אינו נופל תחת הומז, " that he, whose name is blef. "fed, cannot be compared (as to his duration) with the time "that now is." But then he owns, that their Rabbi's do not mean בשלוח, "time in general," or שחם, "mere בלתי נספר ומשוער והוא חמשך duration," or that שהיה קורם מציאות הגלגל וכוי "time which cannot be " reckond, and which is duration itself, and was before the " world was; but הומן הגלגל נקרא המשוער בתנועת הגלגל נקרא יסדר ומנים לא זמן בשלוח וכוי "that time which is reck-" ond by the motion of the world, and is called the order or "fuccession of time, and not absolute time." In short, they reckon (to use R. Gedal's words) שומן האמחי הוא נברא יוהמשך אינו קרוי ומן that time, properly fo called, is creat-" ed, and that duration is not called time." And so what they fay, doth not include all the present difficulty, time in their use of the word being confined to the duration of this world, which according to them is new. Yet-see b. 2. c. 19. N"N "UT שַיאמר עליו שיש לו יותר זמן היום מַמה שהיה לו בימי רויד וממה שהיה לו כשברא העולם " Bleffed be that " name (fehovah) it is not possible to affirm concerning him, " that he is older to-day, than he was in the days of David, " or than he was when he first created this world."

1 Olda μὶν Φολλα οὐκ ἐπισάμενο δὲ αὐτῶν τον τείπον.— ὅτι ἄταρχός ἐςιν [ὁ Θεὸς], τοὶ ἀγίνιντο, τοὶ ἀἰδιο, οἶσα τὸ δὲ Φῶς οὐκ οἶδα.

"There are a great many things that I understand, without 
"knowing the particular manner how they are fo.—I know 
that God is without beginning and unbegotten, but I know 
foot the manner how he is fo." So Çhrysostom.

hend,

hend, What is the cause of that? So that it is not possible for us to terminate our inquiries of this kind but in something, which is to us incomprebensible. And therefore the Supreme cause must certainly be such m. But tho it is impossible for us to have an adequate notion of his manner of existence, yet we may be sure that,

VI. He exists in a manner, which is perfett. For He, who exists of himself, depends in no regard upon any other, and (as being a Supreme cause) is the fountain of existence to other beings, must exist in the uppermost and best manner of existing. And not only so, but (since He is infinite and illimited) He must exist in the best manner illimitedly and infinitely. Now to exist thus is infinite goodness of existence; and to exist in a manner infinitely good is to be perfest.

VII. There can be but One fuch Being ". That is, as it appears by prop. III. that there must be at least one independent Being, such as is mentioned in prop. I. so now, that in reality there is but One ".

m Simonides had good reason still to double upon Hiero the number of days allowd for answering that question, Quid, aut quale sit Deus? "What or what sort of a being is God?" ap.Cic.

n Nec viget quidquam simile aut secundum, "Nor is there any being in the world like or any thing near to him." Hor.

o In Mor. neb. Maimonides having proved, that there must be some Being, who exists necessarily, or whose existence is necessary וצטו הווע שנים, "if we examine into his nature," proceeds from this necessity of existence to derive incorporeity, absolute simplicity, perfection, and particularly unity, ווע המשור בו השניות כלל לא דומה ולא הפך וכו "It is "impossible that the number two can be applied to that which "exists necessarily; there is nothing that can be compared to "it, nor no reverse of it."

Because

Because his manner of existence being perfect and illimited, that manner of being (if I may speak so) is exhausted by Him, or belongs solely to Him. If any other could partake with Him in it, He must want what that other had; be desicient and limited. Infinite and illimited inclose all.

If there could be two Beings each by himself absolutely persect, they must be either of the same, or of different natures. Of the same they cannot be; because thus, both being instinite, their existences would be coincident: that is, they would be but the same or one. Nor can they be of different natures: because if their natures were opposite or contrary the one to the other, being equal (infinite both and every where meeting the one with the other), the one would just destroy or be the negation of the other: and if they are supposed to be only different, not opposite, then if they differ as disparates, there must be some genus above them; which cannot be: and however they differ, they

P Therefore by Plato He is called 'O 4's, "the One."

Oeus, si perfectus est,—ut esse debet, non potest esse nisi unus, ut in eo sint omnia. "God, if He is a perfect being,—as He must be, can be but One, that all things may be in him." If there, could be more Gods than one, tantum singulis deerit, quantum in cateris suerit, "every one would want what the other had." LACTANT.

<sup>\*</sup> As light and darkness are. Δύο γὰς ἰξισάζοντα ἀλλύλοις κατ ἐναντίωσιν φθαρτικὰ ἔται σάντας τῆς ἀλλήλων συσάσεως. "For two "things that are equal, and directly contrary, destroy each to other entirely." BASIL. There can be no such law between them, as is said to be among the Heathen deities. Θεοῖσι δ' ἀδ' ἔχεινόμω. Ουδείς ἀπαντᾶν βελειται σεοθυμία Τῆ τῶ θέλοντω, κλ. "The law amongst the Gods is this, that when any one of them would have any thing, no other God contradicts what "he desires." Eurip.

ta6 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. can only be faid at most to be beings perfect in their respective kinds. But this is not to be absolutely perfect; it is only to be perfect in this or that respect: and to be only thus implies imperfection in other respects.

What has been here faid is methinks sufficient to ruin the Manichean cause and exclude the independent principle of evil. For if we cannot account for the existence of that evil, which we find by experience to be in the world, it is but one instance out of many of our ignorance. There may be reafons for it, tho we do not know them. And certainly no fuch experience must make us deny axioms or truths equally certain . There are, beside, fome things relating to this subject, which deserve our attention. For as to moral good and evil, they feem to depend upon ourselves t. If we do but endeavour, the most we can, to do what we ought, we shall not be guilty of not doing it (sect. IV.): and therefore it is our fault, and not to be charge 1 upon any other being ", if guilt and evil be introduced by our neglect, or abuse of our own liberty and powers w. Then as to physical evil; without i:

much

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Απόλωλεν κ αλήθω', έπεὶ σο δυσυχεῖς: " So that, becauf; things go ill with you, there must be an end of truth." EURIP.

t Ψυχνν ἔχεις αὐτεξέσιον— λ' γὰρ κατά γένεσιν ἀμαρτάνεις, ἔτε κατά τύχην φορνεύεις, κλ. "You have a foul that is absolutely " free:—you were not created a finner, nor do you commit whoredom by chance." Cyrll of Jerusalem.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Or airis et κύρι, τέταν τὰς ἀρχάς μὰ ζητήσης ἐτίρω δεν. "Do on the feek without you, for the causes of the things which are entirely in your own power." St Basil.

w Must God extinguish sun, moon, and stars, because some people worship them? Mishnah. Avis is exquire airia, Oeis arairio. "The fault lies in him who chooses to do the thing, God is not to blame." Max. Tyr.

much physical good would be lost, the one necessarily inferring the other \*. Some things feem to be evil, which would not appear to be such, if we could fee through the whole contexture of things y. There are not more evil than good things in the world, but furely more of the latter z. Many evils of this kind, as well as of the former, come by our own fault; some perhaps by way of punishment; fome of physic 2; and some as the means to happiness, not otherwise to be obtaind. And if there is a future state, that which seems to be wrong now may be rectified bereafter. To all which more may yet be added. As, that matter is not capable of perfection; and therefore where that is concernd. there must be imperfections, and consequently evils b. So that to ask, why God permits evil, is to ask, why he permits a material world, or such a being as man is c; indowd indeed with some noble

<sup>&</sup>quot; thirst, that makes the pleasure of drinking agreeable to the body." Max. Tyr. This observation might be extended a great way. If there was, v.g. no such thing as poverty, there could be no riches, or no great benefit by them; there would be scarce any arts or sciences, &c. "An γαρ ανίλης την ανιίας, πρ βία την σύσασι ανίλης απασαν, κλ. " Take away poverty, and " you destroy the whole state of life." St Chrysost.

y Τὰ μίρε προς αὐτό τὸ δλοι δεῖ σκοπεῖι, εἰ σύμθωνα καὶ ἀρμότγοιτα ἐκείτα. " The parts must be compared with the whole, if
we would see whether they are agreeable and sitted thereto"
(with more to this purpose). PLOTIN.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> V. Mor. nebok. 3.12.

a กองบลอัง ล่านี อองบี เลาอเมล่. " God has provided several " forts of physic." SIMPL.

b Kania βλάσημα τῆς ὅλης. " Evil is a bud that springs from matter." PLUT.

c To that question, Why are we not so made, ως μπδι βυλομεπως ὑμῖκ ὑπάρχειν τὸ ἀμαρτάνειν; " as to be incapable of com-" mitting

The Religion of Nature. Sect. V. 128 ble faculties, but incumberd at the fame time with bodily passions and propensions. Nay, I know not whether it be not to ask, why He permits any imperfect being; and that is, any being at all: which is a bold demand, and the answer to it lies perhaps too deep for us. If this world be defignd for a palæstra, where men d are to exercise their faculties and their virtues, and by that prepare themfelves for a superior state of (and who can say it is not?) there must be difficulties and temptations, occasions and opportunities for this exercise. Lastly, if there are evils, of which men know not the true origin; yet if they would but seriously reslect upon the many marks of reason, wisdom and goodnels every where to be observed in instances, which they do or may understand, they could scarce doubt but the same things prevaild in those, which they do not understand. If I should meet with a book. the author of which I found had disposed his matter in beautiful order, and treated his subjects with

reason

<sup>&</sup>quot; mitting fin?" St Basil answers, Because ἀρετὰ ἐκ συσαιρίστως κὰ τὰς ἀναθικικε γίνεται. " virtue is from our own choice, and not "from any necessity." And he who blames the Deity, because we are not impeccable, οὐδὰν ἔτορον ἃ τὰν ἄλογον ούσιν τῆς λογικῆς προτιμῆς, καὶ τὰν ἀκίνητον καὶ ἀνδρμητον τῆς προαιρετικῆς καὶ ἐμπτεκίντικ, " does the very same thing as he does, who prefers a creature not indued with reason to one that is, and a creature that " has not the power of moving itself, and is void of all appertites, to a free agent and one that can choose what he will do." Δληταὶ ἀριτῆς, " Champions for virtue," as Philo.

In Chrysoftom's stile, αρετῶς ἐπιμιλεῖσθαι, καὶ καθάπες ἀ και λαίσςα ἐπιλος ἀ και καὶ καθέπει ἀ και λαίσςα ἐπιλος ἀ και ἐπιλος ἀ και ἐπιλος ἀ και ἐπιλος ἀ και τὰ διατρον λαμπεὸν ἀναθάσασθαι δυνηθῶμεν τὰν σύρανον. "To be in." dustrious after virtue, and to strive in this present life, as in a place where exercises are to be performd; that, when we, go off the stage, we may be crownd with a crown of glory."

reason and exactness; but at last, as I red on, came to a few leaves written in a language which I did not know: in this case I should close the book with a full persuasion, that the same vein of good sense, which shewd itself in the former and much greater part of it, ran thro the other also: especially having arguments à pricri, which obliged me to believe, that the author of it all was the same person. This I should certainly do, rather than deny the force of those arguments, in order to assert two authors of the same book. But the evil principle has led me too far out of my way, therefore to return.

VIII. All other beings depend upon that Being mentiond in the foregoing propositions for their existence. For fince there can be but one perfect and independent being, the rest must be imperfect and dependent: and since there is nothing else, upon which they can, ultimately, depend beside Him, upon Him they must and do depend.

IX. He is therefore the Author of nature: nor can any thing be, or be done, but what He either causes (immediately, or mediately), or permits. All beings (by the last) depend upon Him for their existence: upon whom depends their existence, upon him also must depend the intrinsic manner of their existence, or the natures of these beings: and again upon whom depend their being and nature, upon Him depend the necessary effects and consequences of their being, and being such as they are in themselves. Then, as to the asts of such of them as may be free agents, and the effects of them, He is in-

120 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. deed not the Author of those; because by the terms and supposition they proceed from agents. who have no necessity imposed upon them by Him to act either this or that way. But yet however these free agents must depend upon Him as such: from Him they derive their power of acting; and it is He, who permits them to use their liberty: tho many times, through their own fault, they use it amiss. And, lastly, as to the nature of those relations, which lie between ideas or things really exifling, or which arise from facts already done and past, these result from the natures of the things themselves: all which the Supreme being either causes, or permits (as before). For fince things can be but in one manner at once, and their mutual relations, ratio's, agreements, difagreements, &c. are nothing but their manners of being with respect to each other, the natures of these relations will be determind by the natures of the things.

From hence now it appears, that whatever expresses the existences or non-existences of things, and their mutual relations as they are, is true by the constitution of nature: and if so, it must also be agreeable to His perfect comprehension of all truth. and to His will, who is at the head of it. Tho the act of A (some free agent) is the effect of his liberty, and can only be faid to be permitted by the Supreme being; yet when it is once done, the relation between the doer and the deed, the agreement there is between A and the idea of one who has committed such a fact, is a fixt relation. From thenceforward it will always be predicable of him, that he was the doer of it: and if any one should deny this, he would go counter to nature and that great

great Author of it, whose existence is now proved. And thus those arguments in sect. I. prop. IV. which turned only upon a supposition that there was such a Being, are here confirmed and made absolute.

X. The one supreme and perfect Being, upon whom the existence of all other beings and their powers originally depend, is that Being, whom I mean by the word GOD.

There are other truths still remaining in relation to the Deity, which we may know, and which are necessary to be known by us, if we would endeavour to demean ourselves toward Him according to truth and what He is. And they are such, as not only tend to rectify our opinions concerning His nature and attributes; but also may serve at the same time as further proofs of His existence, and an amplification of some things touched perhaps too lightly. As,

XI. GOD cannot be corporeal: or, there can be no corporeity in God. There are many things in matter utterly inconsistent with the nature of such a Being, as it has been demonstrated God must be.

Matter exists in parts, every one of which, by the term, is imperfect: but in a Being absolutely perfect there can be nothing that is imperfect.

These parts, tho they are many times kept closely united by some occult influence, are in truth so

Fei σωμά ist, φύσιε δὶ σώματ@ μεριζομίνη είε αλείω, είασον των μεριζομίνη είε αλείω, είασον των μεριζομίνη είε αλείω το είναι (f. είσαι) τῷ ελφ, " If it be made of matter, " and if it be the property of matter to be divided into a " multitude of parts, every fingle part will not be the fame as the whole," fays Plotinus, even of the foul.

2 many

many distinct bodies, which may, at least in our imagination, be disjoind or placed otherwise: nor can we have any idea of matter, which does not imply a natural discerpibility and susceptivity of various shapes and modifications: i. e. mutability seems to be essential to it. But God, existing in a manner that is perfect, exists in a manner that must be uniform, always one and the same, and in nature unchangeable.

Matter is incapable of atting, passive only, and stupid: which are defects, that can never be ascribed to him who is the First cause or Prime agent, the Supreme intellect, and altogether perfect.

Then, if He is corporeal, where ever there is a vacuum, He must be excluded, and so becomes a being bounded, finite, and as it were full of chasms.

Lastly, there is no matter or body, which may not be supposed not to be; whereas the idea of God, or that Being upon whom all others depend, involves in it existence.

XII. Neither infinite space, nor infinite duration, nor matter infinitely extended, or eternally existing, nor any, nor all of these taken together, can be God. For,

Space taken separately from the things, which possess and fill it, is but an empty scene or vacuum: and to say, that infinite space is God, or that God is infinite space, is to say that He is an infinite vacuum: than which nothing can be more absurd, or

8 Δίδαμται δὶ ὰς ὅτι μίγιθ۞ ἐδὸτ ἐτδίχεται ἔχαιν ταύτην ἐσίαν ἀλλὰ ἀμερὶς κωὶ ἀδιαίρετός ἐςτις. "It has been shewn before, that no bo- "dy can be of this nature; it must be something indivisible, and "whose parts cannot be separated from each other." ARIST.

blasphemous.

blasphemous. How can space, which is but a vast void, rather the negation of all things, than positively any thing, a kind of diffused nothing; how can this, I say, be the First cause, &c. or indeed any cause? What attributes beside penetrability and extension, what excellencies, what perfections is it capable of h?

As infinite space cannot be God, tho He be excluded from no place or space; so tho He is eternal, yet eternity or infinite duration itself is not God. For duration, abstracted from all durables, is nothing actually existing by itself: it is the duration of a being, not a being.

Infinite space and duration, taken together, cannot be God: because an interminable space of infinite duration is still nothing but eternal space; and that is at most but an eternal vacuum.

i Such things as these, how incongruous and wild soever they are, have bin affirmed; that God is infinite duration, space, &c. What can be meant by that, καλῶς ἀν λέγοινο ὁ αἰὸν Θιὸς, "God may be properly called eternity," in Photinus?

K 3 Since

## 134 The Religion of Nature. Sect. V.

Since it has been already proved, that corporeity is inconfishent with Divine perfection, tho matter should be infinitely extended, or there should be an infinite quantity of it, yet still where ever it is, it carries this inconsistence along with it.

If to matter be added infinite duration, neither does this alter the nature of it. This only supposes it to be eternally what it is, i. e. eternally incapable of Divine perfection.

And if to it you add the ideas of both infinite extension (or space) and duration too; yet still, so long as matter is matter, it must always and every where be incapable of Divinity.

Lastly, not the universe or sum total of finite beings, can be God. For if it is, then every thing is divine, every thing God, or of God; and so all things together must make but one being k. But the contrary to this we see, there being evidently many beings distinct, and separable one from another, and independent each of other. Nay, this distinction and separation of existence, beside what we see without us, we may even feel within ourselves. We are se-

Were not they, who converse with books, accustomed to fuch trials, it would be shocking to find Balbus in Cicero asserting, esse mundum deum, "that the world was God:" and yet in another place, that it is quasi communis deorum, atque hominum domus, aut urbs utrorumque, "as it were the common house of "the Gods and of men, or the city of both of them;" and deorum, hominumque causa factus, "was made for the sake of the "Gods and of men:" in another, providentia deorum mundum, & omnes mundi partes & initio constitutas esse, & omni tempore administrari, "that the world, and all the parts of the world, "were in the beginning made by the providence of the Gods, and were always governed by the same:" in another, mundum ipsum natura administrari, "that the world itself is governed by nature:" with other like inconsistences.

verally

verally conscious to ourselves of the individuation and distinction of our own minds from all other: nor is there any thing, of which we can be more certain. Were we all the same being, and had one mind, as in that case we must have, thoughts could not be private, or the peculiar thoughts of any one person; but they must be common acts of the whole mind. and there could be but one conscience common to us all 1. Beside, if all things conjunctly are God or the Perfect being (I dread the mention of fuch things, tho it be in order to refute them), how comes this remarkable instance of imperfection, among many others, to cleave to us, that we should not know even ourselves, and what we are "? In short, no collection of beings can be one being; and therefore not God. And the universe itself is but a collection of distinct beings ".

XIII.

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Ατοπον εἰ μἰα τὰ ὑμὰ [ψυχὰ] καὶ τὰ ὁτις ἀλλα ἐχςῦν γὰς ὁμῶ αἰσθανομίνε, καὶ ἀλλον αἰσ-ἐκτο θαι,—καὶ ὁλως ὁμοπαθεῖν ἡμᾶς το σκοὸς
ἀλλίκες, καὶ σκοὸς το σῶν. " It is abfurd that my (foul) and the
" foul of any other person should be one and the same; for
" then it must needs be, that when I perceived any thing, he
" would perceive it also,—and he and I and all the whole
" universe would be affected alike." PLOTIN. Here this author is clear, tho at some other times very dark.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Cur quidquam ignoraret animus bominis, si esset Deta (If the foul of man were a God, how could it be ignorant of any thing?" Cic.

n The system of Spinosa is so apparently salse, and sull of impieties and contradictions, that more needs not be said against it: tho much might be. What Velleius says in Cicero, is not only true, Si mundus est deus,—dei membra partim ardentia partim refrigerata dicenda sunt, "that if the world be God,—then the "members of God may be said to be some of them hot, and fome of them cold:" but if there is but one substance, one nature, one being, and this being is God, then all the sollies,

XIII. It is so far from being true that God is corporeal, that there could be no such thing as either matter or motion, if there was not some Superior being, upon whom they depended. Or, God is such a being, that without Him there could be neither matter nor motion. This must be true of matter: because it has been proved already, that there can be but one independent being; that he is incorporeal; and that the existence of all other beings must depend upon Him. But the fame thing may be proved otherwise. If matter (I mean the existence of it) does not depend upon fomething above it, it must be an independent being; and if an independent being, a necessary being; and then there could be no fuch thing as a vacuum: but all bodies must be perfetily folid; and, more than that, the whole world could be but one fuch body, five times as firm as brass, and incapable of all motion. For that being which exists necessarily does necessarily exist: that is, it cannot not exist. But in a vacuum matter does not exist.

Moreover, if *matter* be an independent, necesfary being, and exists of itself, this must be true of *every particle* of it: and if so, there could not only

madnesses, wickednesses that are in the world, are in God; then all things done and sufferd are both done and sufferd by Him; He is both cause and effect: He both willes and nilles, affirms and denies, loves and hates the same things at the same time, &c. That such gross Atheism as this should ever be sashionable! Atheism: for certainly when we inquire, whether there is a God, we do not inquire, whether we ourselves and all other things which are visible about us do exist: something different from them must be intended. Therefore to say, there is no God different from them, is to say, there is no God at all.

be

be no vacuum but every particle must be every where. For it could not be limited to occupy only a place of such certain dimensions by its own nature; since this consinement of existence within certain bounds implies non-existence in other places beyond those bounds, and is equal to a negation of existence; and when existence is essential to any being, a negation of existence cannot be so. Nor, in the next place, could its existence be limited by any thing esse, because it is supposed to have its existence only of itself; i. e. to have a principle of existence in itself, or to have an existence that is not dependent upon or obnoxious to any other.

And I may add still, if matter be self-existent, I do not see, not only how it comes to be restraind to a place of some certain capacity, but also how it comes to be limited in other respects; or why it should not exist in a manner that is in all respects perfect. So that thus it appears, matter must derive its existence from some other being, who causes it to be just what it is. And the being, who can do this, must be God.

It is to no purpose to object here, that one cannot conceive, how the existence of matter can be derived from another being. For God being above our conceptions, the manner in which He operates, and in which things depend upon him, must also be unconceivable. Reason discovers, that this visible world must owe its existence to some invisible Almighty being; i.e. it discovers this to be fact, and we must not deny facts because we know not bow they are effected. It is far from being new, that our faculties should disclose to us the existence

of

138 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. of things, and then drop us in our inquiry bow they are. Thus much for matter.

As for motion; without a First cause, such as has been described, there could be mone: and much less such motions as we see in the world. This may be immediately deduced from the foregoing paragraphs. For if matter itself could not be without such a cause, it is certain motion, which is an affection of matter, could never be.

But further, there could be no motion, unless either there be in matter itself a power of beginning it; or it is communicated from body to body in an infinite succession, or in a circle, and so has no beginning; or else is produced by some incorporeal being, or beings. Now as hardy as men are in advancing opinions that favor their vices, tho never fo repugnant to reason, I can hardly believe any one will affert, that a parcel of mere matter (let it be great or small, of any figure whatsoever, &c.) left altogether to itself, could ever of itself begin to move. If there is any fuch bold affertor, let him fix his eyes upon some lump of matter, ex. gr. a fione, piece of timber, or a clod (cleard of all animals), and peruse it well; and then ask himself seriously, whether it is possible for him in earnest to believe, that that stone, log, or clod, tho nothing corporeal or incorporeal should excite or meddle with it, might some time or other of itself begin to creep: However, to be short, a power of beginning motion is not in the idea of matter. It is passive, as we see, to the impressions of motion, and susceptive of it; but cannot produce it. On the contrary, it will always perfift uniformly in its present state, either of rest

rest or motion, if nothing stirs, diverts, accelerates, or stops it. Nor is there any thing in all physics better settled than that, which is called vis inertiae, or the inertia of matter.

The propagation of motion from body to body, without any First mover, or immaterial cause of motion, has been proved impossible, prop. I.

The supposition of a perpetual motion in a circle is begging the question. For if A moves B, B moves C, and so on to Z, and then Z moves A; this is the same as to say, that A moves A, by the intervention of B, C, D, - - - Z: that is, A moves itself, or can begin motion °.

It remains then, that all corporeal motions come originally from some mover incorporeal: which must be either that Supreme and self-existing spirit himself, who is God; or such, as will put us into the way how to find, that there is such a Being. Turn back to p. 114.

If we consider ourselves, and the voluntary motions begun by us, we may there see the thing exemplified. We move our bodies or some members of them, and by these move other things, as they

again

O What Censorinus charges upon many great men (but upon some of them surely unjustly) is to me unintelligible. He says, they believed semper bomines fuisse, &c. "mankind always eximised, &c." and then, Itaque & omnium, quæ in sempiterno issa mundo semper fuerunt, futuraque sunt, aiunt principium suisse nullum; sed orbem esse quemdam generantium, nascentiumque, in quo uniuscujusque geniti initium simul & sinis esse videatur. "They say that there was no beginning of all those things, which have existed in that world which was from eternity; but that there is a certain round of things generated and springing up, which round seems to be both the beginning and the end of every thing that is produced."

140 The Religion of Nature. Sect. V.

again do others; and know these motions to spring from the operations of our minds: but then we know also, that we have not an independent power of creating motion. If we had, it could not be so limited as our loco-motive faculties are, nor confined to small quantities and certain circumstances only: we should have had it from eternity, nor could we ever be deprived of it. So that we are necessitated to look up and acknowledge some Higher being, who is able not only to produce motion, but to impart a faculty of producing it.

And if the petty motions of us mortals afford arguments for the being of a God, much more may those greater motions we see in the world, and the phenomena attending them: I mean the motions of the planets and heavenly bodies. For these must be put into motion, either by one common mighty Mover, acting upon them immediately, or by causes and laws of His appointment; or by their respective movers, who, for reasons to which you can by this time be no stranger, must depend upon some Superior, that furnish them with the power of doing this. And granting it to be done either of these ways, we can be at no great distance from a demonstration of the existence of a Deity.

It may perhaps be faid, that the matter has not the power of moving itself, yet it hath an attractive force, by which it can move other parts of matter: so that all matter equally moves and is moved. But, allowing those things which are now usually ascribed to attraction, we shall still be necessitated to own some Superior being, whose influence mixes itself with matter, and operates upon it; or at least who, some way or other, imparts this a force.

force. For attraction, according to the true sense of the word, supposes one body to act upon another at a distance, or where it is not; but nothing can be an agent, where it is not at all. Matter can act only by contact, impelling contiguous bodies, when it is put into motion by fomething else, or refifting those which strike against it, when it is at rest. And this it does as matter; i. e. by being impenetrable to other matter: but attraction is not of the nature or idea of matter. So that what is called attraction, is fo called only because the same things happen, as if the parts of matter did mutually attract: but in truth this can only be an effect of fomething, which acts upon or by matter according to a certain law. The parts of matter feem not only to gravitate towards each other, but many of them to fly each other. Now these two contrary motions and feeming qualities cannot both proceed from matter quà matter: cannot both be of the nature of it: and therefore they must be owing to some external cause, or to some other being, which excites in them this, as it were love and difcord P.

Beside, as to the revolution of a planet about the sun, mere gravitation is not sufficient to produce that effect. It must be compounded with a motion of projection, to keep the planet from falling directly into the sun, and bring it about: and from

what

P So what we call attraction and aversion (centripetal and centrifugal forces) seem to have been called by Empedocles:

• ilia ? συγκρίνεται [τὰ σοιχεῖα], καὶ νεῖκ & διακρίνεται, "a kind " of friendship by which they (the elements) are united toge" ther; and a fort of discord, whereby they are separated form each other." Diog. L. (v. Emp.) V. Arist. Cic. & al.

what hand, I defire to know, comes this other motion (or direction)? Who impressed it?

What a vast field for contemplation is here opend! Such regions of matter about us, in which there is not the least particle that does not carry with it an argument of God's existence; not the least stick or straw, or other trisse that falls to the ground, but shews it; not the slightest motion produced, the least whisper of the air, but tells it.

XIV. The frame and constitution of the world, the aftonishing magnificence of it, the various phenomena and kinds of beings, the uniformity observed in the productions of things, the uses and ends for which they serve, &c. do all show that there is some Almighty designer, an infinite wisdom and power at the top of all these things: such marks there are of both 9. Or, God is that Being, without whom such a frame or constitution of the world, such a magnificence in it, &c. could not be. In order to prove to any one the grandness of this fabric of the world, one needs only to bid him consider the fun with that insupportable glory and lustre that surrounds it: to demonstrate the vast distance, magnitude, and beat of it: to represent to him the chorus of planets moving periodically, by uniform laws, in their feveral or-

9 So far is that from being true, Nequaquam—divinitus effecteatam Naturam mundi, quæ tantå eft prædita culpå, "That "the world could never be created by a divine Being, there are so many faults in it." Lucret. Men rashly (impiously) censure what they do not understand. Like that king of Castile, who fancied himself able to have contrived a better system of the world; because he knew not what the true system is, but took it to be as ascribed to him by R. Is. ab Sid, and other astronomers of those times.

bits

bits about it; affording a regular variety of aspects; guarded some of them by secondary planets, and as it were emulating the state of the sun; and probably all possess by proper inhabitants: to remind him of those surprising visits the comets make us; the large trains, or uncommon splendor, which attends them; the far country they come from; and the curiofity and horror they excite not only among us, but in the inhabitants of other planets. who also may be up to see the entry and progress of these ministers of fate : to direct his eye and contemplation, through those azure fields and vast regions above him, up to the fixt stars, that radiant numberless host of heaven; and to make him understand, how unlikely a thing it is, that they should be placed there only to adorn and bespangle a canopy over our heads (tho that would be a great piece of magnificence too), and much less to supply the places of so many glow-worms, by affording a feeble light to our earth, or even to all our fellow-planets: to convince him, that they are rather so many other suns, with their several regions and fets of planets about them: to shew him, by the help of glasses, still more and more of these fixt lights, and to beget in him an apprehension of their unaccountable numbers, and of those immense spaces, that lie retired beyond our utmost reach and even imagination: I fay, one needs but to do this,

solution Since they have, or may have great effects upon the several parts of the solar system, one may speak thus without falling into the superstition of the multitude, or meaning what is intended by that, Nunquam vælo spectatum impune cometen, "A comet is never seen in the heavens but for some punishment" (in Claudian) or the like.

and

The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. and explain to him fuch things as are now known almost to every body; and by it to shew that if the world be not infinite, it is infinito similis :; and therefore fure a magnificent structure, and the work of an infinite Architect. But if we could take a view of all the particulars containd within that astonishing compass, which we have thus bastily run over, how would wonders multiply upon us? Every corner, every part of the world is as it were made up of other worlds. If we look upon this our feat (I mean this earth), what scope is here for admiration? The great variety of mountains, hills, valleys, plains, rivers, feas, trees, plants! The many tribes of different animals, with which it is stocked! The multifarious inventions and works of one of these; that is, of us men, &c. And yet when all these (heaven and earth) are surveyed as nicely as they can be by the help of our unaffifted fenses, and even of telescopical glasses, by the affistance of good microscopes in very small parts of matter as many new wonders ' may perhaps be discoverd, as those already observed; new kingdoms of animals; new architecture and curiofity of work. So that as before our fenses and even conception fainted in those vast journeys we were obliged to take in confidering the expanse of the universe; so here again they fail us in our refearches into the principles and constituent parts of it. Both the beginnings and the ends of things, the least and the greatest, all conspire to baffle us: and which way ever we pro-

fecute

<sup>•</sup> Finitus, & infinito fimilis. " Finite, but very near to infi-

t Ποικίλη θαυματυργία. " Variety of furprising things." PLOTIN.

fecute our inquiries, we still fall in with fresh subjects of amazement, and fresh reasons to believe that there are indefinitely still more and more behind, that will for ever escape our eagerest pursuits and deepest penetration.

This mighty building is not only thus grand, and the appearances stupendous in it, but the manner in which things are effected is commonly unintelligible, and their causes too profound for us. There are indeed many things in nature, which we know; and some, of which we seem to know the causes: but, alas! how few are these with respect to the whole fum? And the causes which we asfign, what are they? Commonly fuch, as can only be expressed in general terms, whilst the bottoms of things remain unfathomable. Such, as have been collected from experience, but could fearcely be known beforehand, by any arguments à priori, to be capable of rendering fuch effects: and yet till causes are known after that manner, they are not thoroughly understood. Such, as feem disproportionate and too little, and are so insufficient and unfatisfactory, that one cannot but be inclined to think, that something immaterial and invisible must be immediately concerned. In short, we know many times, that fuch a thing will have fuch an effect, or perhaps that such an effect is produced by fuch a cause, but the manner bow we know not; or but grofly, and if fuch an bypothesis be true. It is impossible for us to come at the true principles of things, or to fee into the oeconomy of the finest part of nature and workings of the first springs. The causes that appear to us, are but effects of other causes: the vessels, of which the bodies of plants and T.

## 146 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V.

and animals consist, are made up of other, smaller vessels: the subtilest parts of matter, which we have any notion of (as animal spirits, or particles of light), have their parts, and may for ought we know be compound bodies: and as to the substances themselves of all these things, and their internal constitution, they are hid from our eyes. Our philosophy dwells in the surface of nature.

However, in the next place, we ourselves cannot but be witnesses, that there are stated methods, as so many set forms of proceeding, which things punctually and religiously keep to. The same causes, circumstanced in the same manner, have always the same success: all the species of animals, among us, are made according to one general idea; and so are those of plants also, and even minerals: no new ones are brought forth or arisen any where: and the old are preserved and continued by the old ways.

Lastly, it appears I think plainly enough in the parts and model of the world, that there is a contrivance and a respect to certain reasons and ends. How the fun is posited near the middle of our svstem for the more convenient dispensing of his benign influences to the planets moving about him; how the plain of the earth's aquator intersects that of her orbit, and makes a proper angle with it, in order to diversify the year, and create a useful variety of feasons, and many other things of this kind, tho a thousand times repeted, will always be pleafing meditations to good men and true scholars. Who can observe the vapors to ascend, especially from the sea, meet above in clouds, and fall again. after condensation, and not understand this to be a kind of distillation in order to clear the water of its

Digitized by Google

its groffer falts, and then by rains and dews to fupply the fountains and rivers with fresh and wholfom liquor; to nourish the vegetables below by showers, which descend in drops as from a watering-pot upon a garden, &c. who can view the structure of a plant or animal; the indefinite number of their fibres and fine vessels, the formation of larger vessels and the several members out of them, and the apt disposition of all these; the way laid out for the reception and distribution of nutriment; the effect this nutriment has in extending the veffels, bringing the vegetable or animal to its full growth and expansion, continuing the motion of the feveral fluids, repairing the decays of the body, and preferving life: who can take notice of the feveral faculties of animals, their arts of faving and providing for themselves, or the ways in which they are provided for; the uses of plants to animals, and of some animals to others, particularly to mankind; the care taken that the feveral species should be propagated out of their proper seeds (without confusion u), the strong inclinations implanted in animals for that purpose, their love of their young, and the like: I fay, who can do this, and not see a design, in such regular pieces, so nicely wrought, and so preserved? If there was but gne animal, and in that case it could not be doubted but that his eyes were made that he might fee

u If any one, fitting upon mount Ida, had feen the Greek army coming on in proper order [μετα' Φολλε κόσμε κ' τάξιας τοῖς Φεδίοις Φερσιεσαι], ("marching over the fields in rank and file"), he ought most certainly, notwithstanding what Sext. Empir. says, to have concluded, that there was some commander, under whose conduct they moved.

L 2

with

## 148 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V.

with them, his ears that he might bear with them and so on, through at least the most considerable parts of him; if it can much less be doubted, when the same things are repeted in the individuals of all the tribes of animals; if the like observations may be made with respect to vegetables, and other things: and if all these kinds of things, and therefore much more their particulars, upon and in the earth, waters, air, are unconceivably numerous (as most evidently they are), one cannot but be convinced from that, which is so very obvious to every understanding, and plainly runs through the nobler parts of the visible world, that not only they, but other things, even those that seem to be less noble, have their ends too, tho not so well understood.

And now fince we cannot suppose the parts of matter to have contrived this wonderful form of a world among themselves, and then by agreement to have taken their respective posts, and pursued constant ends by certain methods and measures concerted (because these are acts, of which they are not capable), there must be some other Being, whose wisdom and power are equal to such a mighty work, as is the structure and preservation of the world. There must be some almighty Mind, who models and adorns it; lays the causes of things so deep; prescribes them such uniform and steady laws; destines and adapts them to certain purposes; and makes one thing to fit and answer to another w.

That

w Tie o deμόζου τὸν μέχαιεχν σερίε τὸν πολεὸν, κỳ τὰν πολεὸν σερές τὸν μάχαιεχν, κτλ; " Who was it that fitted the fword to the feabbard, and the feabbard to the fword?" Arrian. Even such a thing as this doth not come by accident.

That such a beautiful scheme, such a just and geometrical arrangement of things, composed, of innumerable parts, and placed as the offices and uses and wants of the feveral beings require, through fuch an immense extent, should be the effect of chance only, is a conceit fo prodigiously absurd, that certainly no one can espouse it heartily, who understands the meaning of that word. Chance feems to be only a term, by which we express our ignorance of the cause of any thing. For when we fay any thing comes by chance, we do not mean, that it had no other cause; but only, that we do not know the true cause, which produced it, or interposed in such a manner, as to make that fall out which was not expected. Nor can I think, that any body has fuch an idea of chance, as to make it an agent or really existing and acting cause of any thing, and much less sure of all things. Whatever events or effects there are, they must proceed from some agent or cause, which is either free or not free (that is, necessary). If it be free, it wills what it produces: and therefore that which is produced is produced with design, not by chance. If it acts necessarily, the event must necesfarily be, and therefore it is not by accident. For that, which is by accident or chance only, might not have been; or it is an accident only, that it is. There can be therefore no fuch cause as chance. And to omit a great deal that might yet be faid, matter is indefinitely divisible, and the first particles (or atoms) of which it confifts must be small beyond all our apprehension; and the chances, that must all hit to produce one individual of any species of material beings (if only chance was concerned),  $L_3$ must

## 150 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V.

must consequently be indefinitely many: and if space be also indefinitely extended, and the number of those individuals (not to say of the species themfelves) which lie dispersed in it indefinite, the chances required to the production of them all, or of the universe, will be the rectangle of one indefinite quantity drawn into another. We may well call them infinite. And then to fay, that any thing cannot happen, unless infinite chances coincide, is the fame as to fay, there are infinite chances against the happening of it, or odds that it will not happen: and this again is the same as to say, it is impossible to happen; fince if there be a possibility that it may happen, the hazard is not infinite. The world therefore cannot be the child of chance \*. He must be little acquainted with the works of nature, who is not fenfible how delicate and fine they are: and the finer they are, the groffer were those of Epicurus,

The was convergentate, adviae inegCannopere anise fichiae, "a great writer, and exceeded all others in the quantity "of books." Diog. Laert. But that part of his physics is here meant, in which he treated of the origin of the world; or rather of infinite worlds; which makes his thought the groffer still. For infinite worlds require infinite chances infinitely rejected,

<sup>\*</sup> Hoc qui existimat sieri potuisse, non intelligo cur non idem putet, si innumerabiles unius & viginti formæ literarum,—aliquò conjiciantur, posse ex bis in terram excussis annales Ennis, ut deinceps legi possint, effici: quod nescio anne in uno quidem versu possit tantum valere fortuna. "He who thinks that this is possible to be, I don't see but he may as well think, that an infinite number of alphabets,—cast any where upon the ground at a venture, might come up the annals of Ennius, so as any one might read them; whereas I question whether chance is carpable of producing one verse of them." Cic. But alas, what are Ennius's annals to such a work as the world is!

If it should be objected, that many things seem to be useless, many births are monstrous, or the like, fuch answers as these may be made. The uses of fome things are known to some men, and not to others: the uses of some are known now, that were not known to any body formerly: the uses of many may be discoverd bereafter: and those of some other things may for ever remain unknown to all men, and yet be in nature; as much as those discoverd were before their discovery, or are now in respect of them who know them not. Things have not therefore no uses, because they are conceald from us. Nor is nature irregular, or without method, because there are some seeming deviations from the common rule. These are generally the effects of that influence, which free agents and various circumstances have upon natural productions; which may be deformed, or hurt by external impressions; heterogeneous matter introduced, or disagreeable and unnatural motions excited: and if the case could be truly put, it would no doubt appear, that nature proceeds as regularly (or the laws of nature have as regular an effect), when a monster is produced, as when the usual iffue in common cases. Under these circumstances the monster is the genuine issue: that is, in the same circumstances there would always be the same kind of production. And therefore if things are now and then misshaped, this infers no unsteadiness or mistake in nature. Befide, the magnificence of the world admits of some perturbations; not to say, requires some variety. The question is, Could all those things, which we do know to have uses and ends, and to the production of which fuch wonderful contrivance L 4 and

The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. and the combinations of so many things are required, be produced, and method and regularity be preferved so far as it is, if nothing but blind chance presided over all? Are not the innumerable instances of things, which are undeniably made with reference to certain ends, and of those which are propagated and repeted by the fame constant methods, enough to convince us, that there are ends proposed, and rules observed, even where we do not see them. And, lastly, if we should descend to particulars, what are those seemingly useless or monstrous productions in respect of the rest, that plainly declare the ends, for which they were intended, and that come into the world by the usual ways, with the usual perfection of their several kinds? If the comparison could be made, I verily believe these would be found to be almost infinituple of the other; which ought therefore to be reputed as nothing.

They, who content themselves with words, may ascribe the formation of the world to fate or nature, as well as to chance, or better. And yet fate, in the first place, is nothing but a series of events, considered as necessarily following in some certain order; or, of which it has always been true, that they would be in their determinate times and places. It is called indeed a series of causes: but then they are such causes as are also effects, all of them, if there is no First cause; and may be taken for such. So that in this description is nothing like such a cause, as is capable of giving this form to the world.

A series

z Series implexa causarum. "A series of causes connected with each other." SEN.

A feries of events is the same with events happening feriatim: which words declare nothing concerning the cause of that concatenation of events, or why it is. Time, place, manner, necessity are but circumstances of things that come to pass; not causes of their existence, or of their being as they are. On the contrary, some external and superior cause must be supposed to put the series in motion, to project the order, to connect the causes and effects, and to impose the necessity.

Then for nature, 1. If it be used for the intrinsic manner of existing; that constitution, make, or disposition, with which any thing is produced or born, and from which result those properties, powers, inclinations, passions, qualities, and manners, which are called natural (and sometimes nature), in opposition to such as are acquired, adventitious or forced (which use is common): then to say, that nature formed any thing, or gave it its manner of

a Seneca fays himself, that in this series God is prima omnium causa, ex qua cæteræ pendent, "the first of all the causes. " and upon him the rest depend." Indeed it is many times difficult to find out what the ancients meant by fate. Sometimes it feems to follow the motions of the beavenly bodies and their aspects. Of this kind of fate is that passage in Suetonius to be understood, where he says that Tiberius was addictus mathematicæ, persuasionisque plenus cuncta fato agi, "given to mathe-" matics, and fully perfuaded that all things were governed "by fate." Sometimes it is confounded with fortune. So in Lucian we find την τύχην στεκέξεσαν τα μεμοιραμένα, και α έξ αρxne indem inentalos, "fortune doing the things which are de-" termind by fate, and destined to every one from the begin-" ning." And fometimes it is the same with God: as when the Stoics fay, & To sivas Boor new ver new simapuerne new Dia, "that " God, and mind, and fate, and Jupiter, are all the same," ap. Diog. L. and the like elsewhere.

exi-

154 The Religion of Nature. Sect. V. existence, is to say, that it formed itself, or that the effect is the efficient b. Beside, how can manner (manner of existing) be the cause of existing, or properly do any thing. An agent is an acting being, some substance, not a manner of being. 2. If it be used in that other sense, by which it stands for the ideas of things, what they are in themselves, and what in their circumstances, causes, consequences, respects; or, in short, that which determines them to be of this or that kind (as when we fay, the nature of justice ' requires this or that; i. e. the idea of justice requires or supposes it: a crime is of fuch a nature; that is, bears fuch a respect to the law, and is attended with fuch circumstances, or the like): then none of these sens do an atheist any service. 2. If it be used for the world d (as, the laws of nature may be understood to be the laws of the world, by which it is governed, and the phænomena in it produced; after the same manner of speaking as when we say, the laws of England. France, &c.) then it stands for that very thing, the former and architect of which is the object of our inquiry; and therefore cannot be that architect itfelf. Under this fense may be comprehended that.

when

b As when Strato Lamps. according to Tully, docet omnia effe effecta naturâ, "teaches that all things are the effects of na-

c Vis & natura justitiæ. "The force and nature of justice."

d Almost as if it stood for nata, or res natæ; "all things" that are produced." (So fætura seems to be put sometimes for fætus, "the child in the womb.") Sunt, qui omnia naturæ nomine appellent;—corpora, & inane, quæque his accidant. "Some" persons use the word nature for every thing;—bodies and space, and all the properties of these." Cic.

when it denotes reality of existence, as when it is faid that fuch a thing is not in nature (not to be found in the world). 4. If it fignifies the forementiond laws themselves; or that course, in which things by virtue of these laws proceed (as when the effects of these laws are styled the works of nature): then, laws suppose some legislator, and are posterior to that of which they are the laws. There can be no laws of any nation, till the people are of which that nation confifts. 5. If it be used after the fame manner as the word babit frequently is; to which many things are ascribed (just as they are to nature), though it be nothing existing distinct from the babits, which particular men or beings contract: then nature is a kind of abstract notion, which can do nothing. Perhaps nature may be put for natures, all natures, after the manner of a collective noun; or it may be mentiond as an agent, only as we personify virtues and attributes, either for variety, or the shorter and more convenient expressing of things. Lastly, if it denotes the Author of nature, or God of the effect feeming, tho by a hard metonymy in this case, to be put for the efficient): then, to Him it is that I ascribe the forma-

tion

e Natura, inquit, hæc mihi præstat. Non intelligis te, cùm hoc dicis, mutare nomen Deo? Quid enim aliud est Natura, quàm Deus, & divina ratio, &c. "Nature, says he, gives me these things. Do you not see, when you say this, that you only put another name for God? For what else is nature, but God, and the divine reason, &c.?" Sen. When it is said, Necesse est mundum is sum natura administrari, "that the world must necessarily be governed by nature," ap. Cic. what sense are those words capable of, if by nature be not really meant God? For it must be something different from the world, and something able to govern it.

tion of the world, &c. To all which I must subjoin, that there is an unaccountable liberty taken in the use of this word: and that frequently it is used merely as a word, and nothing more, they who use it not knowing themselves, what they mean by it s. However, in no sense can it supersede the being of a Deity.

XV. Life, scrife, cogitation, and the faculties of our own minds shew the existence of some superior Being, from whom they are derived. Or, God is that Being, without whom neither could thefe be, any more than the things before mentiond. That they cannot flow from the nature of any matter about us as matter, or from any modification, fize, or motion of it, if it be not already apparent, may perhaps be proved more fully afterwards. And that our louls themselves are not self-existent, nor hold their faculties independently of all other beings, follows from pr. IV. and VII. Therefore we must necessarily be indebted for what we have of this kind to fome great Benefactor, who is the fountain of them. For fince we are conscious, that we have them, and yet have them not of ourselves, we must have them from forne other.

A man

f Alii naturam censent esse vim quandam sine ratione, cientem motus in corporibus necessarios, &c. "Some think nature to be a "certain power or sorce without reason, producing the necessary motions in bodies, &c." says Balbus in Cicero. What can this vis "power" be: vis "power" by itself, without the mention of any subject, in which it inheres; or of any cause, from whence it proceeds? A soul of the world, plastic nature, bylarchic principle, "and the like, are more intelligible than that.

A man has little reason, God knows, to fancy the suppositum of his life, sense, and cogitative faculties to be an independent being, when he confiders how transitory and uncertain at best his life and all his injoyments are; what he is, whence he came, and whither he is going s. The mind acts not, or in the most imperceptible manner in animalculo, or the feminal state of a man; only as a principle of vegetation in the state of an embryon; and as a sensitive soul in the state of infancy, at least for some time, in which we are rather below, than above, many other animals. By degrees indeed, with age and exercise and proper opportunities, it feems to open itself, finds its own talents, and ripen into a rational being. But then it reasons not without labor, and is forced to take many tedious steps in the pursuit of truth; finds all its powers subject to great ecliples and diminutions, in the time of fleep, indisposition, sickness, &c. and at best reaching but a few objects in respect of all, that are in the immensity of the universe; and, lastly, is obnoxious to many painful fensations and reflexions. Had the foul of man the principle of its own existence and faculties within itself, clear of all dependence, it could not be liable to all these limitations and defects, to all these alterations and removes from one state to another: it must certainly be constant to itself, and persist in an uniform manner of being.

There may be perhaps who will fay, that the foul, together with life, fense, &c. are propagated by traduction from parents to children, from them

to

נכו ז ולאן אתה הולך וכו "Search out from "whence you came, and whither you are going." P. Ab.

158 The Religion of Nature. Sect. V.

to their children again, and fo from eternity : and that therefore nothing can be collected from the nature of them as to the existence of a Deity. Ans. If there could be fuch a traduction, yet to suppose one traduced to come from another traduced, and fo ab aterno, without any further account of the original of mankind, or taking in any author of this traductive power, is the same as to suppose an infinite series of moveds without a mover, or of effests without a cause: the absurdity of which is shewn already prop. I. But concerning this matter I cannot but think, further, after the following manner. What is meant by tradux animæ ought to be clearly explaind: for it is not easy to conceive how thought, or thinking fubstances, can be propagated after the manner of branches, or in any manner that can be analogous to it, or even warrant a metaphorical use of that phrase i. It should also

h For I cannot think that any body will now stand by that way of introducing men sirst into the world, which is mentioned by Diodorus Sic. but afferted by Lucretius. Ubi quæque loci regio opportuna dabatur, Crescebant uteri terræ radicibus apti, &c. "Where the country was proper for it, there grew wombs out of the earth, fixed to it by their roots, &c."

i What by Tertullian in one place is called animæ ex Adam tradux, "a foul derived from Adam," in another is velut furculus quidam ex matrice Adam in propaginem deducta, "as it were "a shoot derived from the womb of Adam, that the race "might be continued," and equally unintelligible. Nor doth he explain himself better, when he consesses there to be duas species seminis, corporalem & animalem (al. corporis semen & animal, "two forts of seed, corporal and animal (or a seed of the soul, and another of the body"): or more fully, semen animale ex animæ distillatione, sicut & virus illud, corporale semen, ex carnis desectione, "an animal seed slowing from the "foul, as the bodily seed does from the body."

be

be told, whether this traduction be made from one or from both the parents. If from one, from which of them is it? And if from both, then the same tradux or branch must always proceed from two stocks: which is a thing, I presume, that can no where else be found, nor has any parallel in nature. And yet such a thing may much better be supposed of vines, or plants, than of thinking beings, who are simple and uncompounded substances k.

This opinion of the *traduction* of fouls feems to me to stand upon an unfound foundation. For I take it to be grounded chiefly on these two things: the *similitude* there is between the features, humors, and abilities of children and those of their parents <sup>1</sup>;

the father only, & genitalibus famina foveis commendata, "and "deliverd to the womb of the mother;" and all fouls from that of Adam. Definimus animam, Dei flatu natam, ex una redundantem. "We, fays he, define the foul to fpring from the breath of God, and all fouls to proceed from one:" and in another place, ex uno homine tota hac animarum redundantia agitur, "all the fouls that are, come originally from one man." But this doth not well consist with his principal argument for traduction, that children take after their parents. For beside what will here be said by and by, if there is a traduction of all men from one man, and traduction causes likeness; then every man must be like the first, and (consequently) every other.

1 Unde, oro te, similitudine animæ quoque parentibus de ingeniis respondemus,—si non ex animæ semine educimur? "Whence is it, "I beseech you, says the same author, that we are so like our "parents in the dispositions of our minds,—if we be not produced from the seed of the soul?" Then to confirm this, he argues like a father indeed, thus; in illo ipso voluptatis ultimo assu quo genitale virus expellitur, nonne aliquid de anima quoque sentimus exire? "Do we not in the act of generation perceive some part of our very souls to go out of us?" I am ashamed to transcribe more.

and

and the difficulty men find in forming the notion of a spirit m. For from hence they are apt to conclude, that there can be no other substance but matter: and that the soul resulting from some disposition of the body, or some part of it, or being some merely material appendix to it, must attend it, and come along with it from the parent or parents; and as there is a derivation of the one, so there must be also of the other at the same time.

. Now the former of these is not always true; as it ought to be, to make the argument valid. Nothing more common than to fee children differ from their parents, in their understandings, inclinations, shapes, complexions, and (I am sure) one from another. And this dissimilitude has as much force to prove there is not a traduction, as fimilitude, whenever that happens, can have to prove there is. Besides, it seems to me not hard to account for fome likeness without the help of traduction. It is visible the meat and drink men take, the air they breathe, the objects they see, the founds they hear, the company they keep, &c. will create changes in them, fometimes with respect to their intellectuals, fometimes to their passions and humors, and sometimes to their health and other circumstances of their bodies: and yet the original stamina and fundamental parts of the man remain still the same. If then the semina, out of which animals are produced, are (as I doubt not) animalcula already formed "; which being distributed about, especi-

m Therefore the faid father makes the foul to be corporeal.

This might feem to be favord by them who hold, that all fouls were created in the beginning (an opinion mentiond in Nable.

cially in some opportune places, are taken in with aliment, or perhaps the very air; being separated in the bodies of the males by strainers proper to every kind, and then lodged in their seminal vessels, do there receive fome kind of addition and influence; and being thence transferred into the wombs of the females, are there nourished more plentifully, and grow, till they become too big to be longer confined ": I say, if this be the case, why may not the nutriment received from the parents, being prepared by their vessels, and of the same kind with that with which they themselves are nourishd, be the same in great measure to the animalcula and embrya that it is to them, and consequently very much affimilate their young, without the derivation of any thing else from them? Many impresfions may be made upon the fatus, and many tinctures given to the fluids communicated to it from

Nabb. ab. & al. often), did not the fame authors derive the body מטרות חרות חום חום היה הורע אשר חהפך לדים ומשם יגדל מעט עד משפח הורע אשר חהפך לדים ומשם יגדל מעט עד מופריוני. "That his body is produced out of a "fmall feed, which is first converted into blood, and then increases by degrees, till all the members of it are complete."

" This account destroys that argument, upon which Censorinus says many of the old philosophers afferted the eternity of the world: quod negent omnino posse reperiri, avesne ante, an ova generata sint; cùm & ovum sine ave, & avis sine ovo gigni non posse. "Because they denied the possibility of finding out, "which is first generated, the birds or the eggs; because an egg cannot be produced without a bird, nor a bird without an egg." This question was once much agitated in the world, as may be seen by Macrobius and Plutarch; who calls it, τὸ ἀπορον καὶ σολλὰ σεργμαία τοῖς ζατατικοῖς σαρίχου—περίδλημα, "a "problem that cannot be solved, and which put the curious to great difficulties."

The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. the parents; and yet it, the animal itself, may not be originally begun in them, or traduced from them. This hypothesis (which has long been mine) fuggests a reason, why the child is sometimes more like the father, fometimes the mother: viz. because the vessels of the animalculum are disposed to receive a greater proportion of aliment fometimes from the one, sometimes from the other: or the fluids and spirits in one may ferment and operate more strongly than in the other, and so have a greater and more fignal effect. (Here it ought to be obferved, that tho what the animalculum receives from the father, is in quantity little in respect of all that nutriment, which it receives by the mother; yet the former, being the first accretion to the original stamina, adhering immediately, and being early in-

terwoven with them, may affect it more.)

Since there cannot be a proper traduction of the child (one mind, and one body) from both the two parents, all the similitude it bears to one of them must proceed from some such cause as I have asfigned, or at least not from traduction. For the child being fometimes like the father, and fometimes the mother, and the traduction either always from the father, or always from the mother, there must fometimes be similitude, where there is no traduction: and then if the child may resemble one of them. without it, why not the other too? The account I have given, appears, many times at least, to be true in plants, which raised from the same seed, but in different beds and soil, will differ. The different nutriment introduces fome diversity into the feed or original plant, and affimilates it in some measure to the rest raised in the same place.

The

The other thing, which I take to be one of the principal supports to this doctrine of traduction (a . fupposition, that the foul is merely material, or but the result of some disposition in matter) has been undertaken to be refuted hereafter. But I may premise this here: tho we can have no image of a spirit (because no being can be portraid or reprefented by an image, but what is material), yet we may have reason to affert the existence of such a subftance °. Matter is a thing, which we converse with, of which we know pretty well the nature, and properties; and fince we cannot find among them any that are cogitative, or fuch a thing as life, but feveral things inconsistent with them, we are under a necessity of confessing that there is some other species of substance beside that which is corporeal, and that our fouls are of that kind (or rather of one of those kinds, which are not merely corporeal: for there must be more than one), tho we can draw no image of it in our own minds. Nor is it at all furprifing, that we should not be able to do this: for how can the mind be the object of itself ? It may contemplate the body which it inhabits, may be conscious of its own acts, and reflect upon the ideas it finds: but of its own substance it can have no adequate notion, unless it could be as it were object and spectator both. Only that perfect Being, whose knowledge is infinite, can thus intimately know himself.

This is as much as Epicerus had to fay for his atoms: for they were only σώματα λόγφ Θεωρντά, κλ. "imaginary bodies." JUST. MART.

P Oὐ γὰρ & τῷ Θεωρεμένο τὸ Θεωρεῖν. " For the thing which is fpeculated upon, cannot fpeculate." PLOTIN.

## 164 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V.

They, who found the traduction of the foul upon this prefumption, that it is material, and attends the body as some part or affection of it, seem further to be most wofully mistaken upon this account: because the body itself is not propagated by traduction. It passes indeed through the bodies of the parents, who afford a transitory habitation and subsistence to it: but it cannot be formed by the parents, or grow out of any part of them. For all the vital and effential parts of it must be one coeval fystem, and formed at once in the first article of the nascent animalculum; since no one of these could be nourishd, or ever come to any thing, without the rest: on the contrary, if any one of them could prevent and be before the rest, it would soon wither and decay again for lack of nourishment received by proper vessels; as we see the limbs and organs of animals do, when the supply due from the animal œconomy is any way intercepted or obstructed. And fince an organized body, which requires to be thus fimultaneously made (fashiond as it were at one stroke) cannot be the effect of any natural and gradual process, I cannot but conclude, that there were animalcula of every tribe originally formed by the almighty Parent, to be the feed of all future generations of animals. Any other manner of production would be like that, which is usually called equivocal or spontaneous generation, and with great reason now generally exploded. And it is certain, that the analogy of nature in other instances, and microscopical observations do abet what I have faid ftrongly.

Lastly, if there is no race of men that hath been from eternity, there is no man who is not descend-

abs.

ed from two first parents: and then the souls of those two first parents could be traduced from no other. And that there is no fuch race (none that has been upon this earth from eternity), is apparent from the face of earthly things, and the biftory of mankind q, arts, and sciences. What is objected against this argument from fancied inundations, conflagrations, &c. 1 has no weight with me. Let us suppose some such great calamity to happen now. It must be either universal, or not. If universal, so that no body at all could be saved, then either there must never be any more men, or they must begin again in some first parents. If it was only topical, affecting some one tract of the globe, or if the tops of mountains more eminent, or rocks more firm remaind unaffected, or if there were any natural means left by which men might escape, considerable numbers must certainly survive: and then it cannot be imagined, that they should all be absolutely so ignorant of every thing, that no one should be able to give an account of fuch things as were common; no one able to write, or read, or even to recollect that there were fuch things as letters; none, that understood any trade;

M 3

none,

I Si nulla fuit genitalis origo terrai & cæli—Cur supra bellum Thebanum& sunera Trojæ Non alias alii quoque res cecinere poetæ? "If the earth and the heavens never had any beginning,— how comes it to pass that the poets never celebrated any other matters before the wars of Thebes and the destruction of Troy?" Lucret.

<sup>\*</sup> Πολλαί και κατα σολλα φθοσαί γεγόταστι αιθεσίται, και Ισοτται, συεί μὶτ και είδατι μίγισαι. "There hath been great defiruction "made of mankind many times and in many places, and will be fo again; the greatest of them have been by fire and "water." PLATO.

166 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. none, that could tell what kind of habitations they had, how they used to be clothed, how their meat drest, or even what their food was: nor can it be thought, that all books, arms, manufactures of every kind, ships, buildings, and all the product of human skill and industry now extant in the world should be so universally and utterly abolished, that no part, no vestigium of them should remain; not so much, as to give a hint toward the speedy restoration of necessary arts at least. The people escaping must sure have clothes on, and many necessaries about them, without which they could not escape, nor outlive such a dreadful scene. In short, no conflagration, no flood, no destruction can ferve the objectors purpose, to reduce mankind to that state, which by ancient memoirs and many undeniable symptoms we find them to have been in not many thousands of years since; I say, no destruction can serve his purpose, but such an one as makes thorough work, only sparing two or three couples, stript of every thing and the most stupid and verieft blocks to be picked out of the whole number: natural fools, or mere bonnines sylvestres would retain habits, and fall to their old way of living, as foon as they had the opportunity to do it. And suppose they never should have such an opportunity; yet neither would this serve him effectually: fince without some supernatural Power interposing, such a revolution could not be brought about, nor the naked creatures preserved, nor the earth reformed out of its ashes and ruins after such

a cal-

Trì αγεκμμάτει ε αμέσει, "Such as could not tell their letters, or diftinguish one found from another," as Plato speaks.

a calcination, or diffolution, such a total demolition of every thing. To this give me leave to add, that tho many inundations, great earthquakes, vulcano's and fiery eruptions have been in particular countries; yet there is no memory or testimony of any fuch thing, that has ever been univerfal t, except perhaps of one deluge: and as to that, if the genius of the language in which the relation is deliverd, and the manner of writing history in it were well understood, some labord and moliminous attempts to account for it might have been prevented. And beside that, the same record, which tells the thing was, tells also how immediately God was concernd in it; that some persons actually were faved; and that the people who then perishd, as well as they who furvived, all descended from two first parents: and if that authority be a sufficient proof of one part of the relation, it must be so of the reft.

with its faculties of cogitation, &c. depends upon a Superior being. And who can this be but the Supreme being, or God? Of whom I now proceed to affirm, in the next place, that,

XVI. Though His effence and manner of being is to us altogether incomprehensible, yet we may say with

t For what has been faid only in general, and presumptively, to serve a cause, signifies nothing: no more than that testimony in Arnobius, where he seems to allow, that there have been universal conflagrations. Quando mundus incensus in favillas & cineres dissolutus est? Non ante nos? "When, says he, was "the world so burned as to be reduced to dust and ashes?" Has it not been so formerly?"

assurance,

168 The Religion of Nature. Sect. V.

assurance, that He is free from all defects: or One;

from whom all defects must be removed.

This proposition hath in effect been proved already ". However I will take the liberty to inlarge a little further upon it here. As our minds are finite, they cannot without a contradiction comprehend what is infinite. And if they were inlarged to ever so great a capacity, yet so long as they retain their general nature, and continue to be of the same kind, they would by that be only renderd able to apprehend more and more finite ideas; out of which, howsoever increased or exalted, no positive idea of the perfection of God can ever be formed. For a Perfect being must be infinite, and perfectly One: and in such a nature there can be nothing finite, nor any composition of finites.

How should we comprehend the nature of the Supreme incorporeal being, or how He exists, when we comprehend not the nature of the most inferior spirits, nor have any conception even of matter itfelf divested of its accidents? How should we attain to an adequate knowledge of the Supreme author of the world, when we are utterly incapable of knowing the extent of the world itself, and the numberless undescried regions, with their several states and circumstances, containd in it, never to be frequented or visited by our philosophy; nor can turn ourselves any way, but we are still accosted with something above our understanding? If we cannot penetrate so far into effects, as to discover them and their nature thoroughly, it is not to be expected, that we should, that we can ever be admitted to see through the mysteries of His na-

u Prop. V, VI.

ture,

ture, who is the Cause, so far above them all. The Divine perfection then, and manner of being must be of a kind different from and above all that we can conceive.

However, notwithstanding our own defects, we may positively affirm there can be none in God: fince He is perfect, as we have feen, He cannot be defective or imperfect. This needs no further proof. But what follows from it. I would have to be well understood and rememberd: viz. that from Him must be removed want of life and activity, ignorance, impotence, acting inconfistently with reason and truth, and the like. Because these are defects; defect of knowledge, power, &c. These are defects and blemishes even in us. And the his perfection is above all our ideas, and of a different kind from the perfections of men or any finite beings; yet what would be a defect in them, would be much more fuch in Him, and can by no means be afcribed to Him ".

Though we understand not His manner of knowing things; yet ignorance being uniform and the same in every subject, we understand what is meant by that word, and can literally and truly deny that to belong to Him. The like may be said with respect to His power, or manner of operating, &c. And when we speak of the internal essential attributes of God positively, as that He is omniscient, omnipotent, eternal, &c. the intent is only to say, that there is no object of knowledge or

power,

If that in Terence had been (not a question, as it is there, but) an affirmation, Ego homuncio hoc non facerem, "I, poor mortal, would not have done such a thing," what a bitter reflexion had it been upon the heathen deity?

power, which He does not know or cannot do, He exists without beginning and end, &c. and thus we keep still within the limits allowed by the proposition x. That is, we may speak thus without pretending to comprehend His nature. And so,

XVII. We may consider God as operating in the production and government of the world, and may draw conclusions from His works, as they are called, notwithstanding any thing which has been said. Because this we can do without comprehending the manner of His existence. Nay, the contemplation of His works leads us into a necessity of owning, that there must be an incomprehensible Being at the head of them.

Though I do not comprehend the mode, in which the world depends upon Him and He influences and disposes things, because this enters into His nature, and the one cannot be understood without theother: yet if I see things, which I know cannot be felf-existent, and observe plainly an acconomy and design in the disposition of them, I may conclude that there is some Being, upon whom their existence doth depend, and by whom they are modeld; may call this Being GOD, or the Author and Governor of the world, &c. without contradisting myself or truth: as I hope it will appear from what has been said, and is going to be said in the next proposition.

XVIII.

<sup>\*</sup> Λίγομεν ὁ μά ἐςτιν ὁ δί ἐςτιν, ἐ λίγομεν. "We affirm what He is not, but we do not affirm what He is." PLOTIN.

י אין דרך להשיגו אלא ממעשיו י "There is no way to know what fort of being He is but by his works." Maim.

XVIII. God, who gives existence to the world, does also govern it by His providence. Concerning this grand question, Whether there is a Divine providence, or not, I use to think, for myself, after the following manner.

First, The world may be faid to be governed (at least cannot be said to be anotignt o, or left to fluctuate fortuitously), if there are laws, by which natural causes act, the several phanomena in it succeed regularly, and, in general, the constitution of things is preserved: if there are rules observed in the production of berbs, trees, and the like: if the feveral kinds of animals are, in proportion to their feveral degrees and stations in the animal kingdom, furnishd with faculties proper to direct and determin their actions; and when they act according to them, they may be faid to follow the law of their nature: if they are placed and provided for fuitably to their respective natures and wants z, or (which amounts to the fame thing) if their natures are adapted to their circumstances a: if, lastly, particular cases relating to rational beings are taken care of in such a manner, as will at last agree best with reason.

Secondly,

ביצי כנים בי ער ביצי כנים מקרני ראמים ער ביצי כנים "From the horns of the unicorns to the feet of the lice," as the Jews speak.

<sup>&</sup>quot;I shall not pretend here to meddle with particular cases relating to inanimate or irrational beings; such as are mentioned in Mor. nebok. (a leaf's falling from a tree, a spider's catching a slie, &c.) and which are there said to be אמרה במוך " by "mere accident." Tho it is hard to separate these many times from the cases of rational beings; as also to comprehend what אמרה במוך היי perfect accident, is.

## 172 The Religion of Nature. Sect. V.

Secondly, If there are fuch laws and provisions, they can come originally from no other being, but from Him who is the Author of nature. For those laws, which refult from the natures of things, their properties, and the use of their faculties, and may be faid to be written upon the things themselves, can be the laws of no other: nor can those things, whose very being depends upon God, exist under any condition repugnant to His will; and therefore can be subject to no laws or dispositions, which He would not have them be subject to; that is, which are not His. Beside, there is no other being capable of imposing laws, or any scheme of government upon the world; because there is no other, who is not himself part of the world, and whose own existence doth not depend upon Him.

Thirdly, By the providence of God I mean His governing the world by fuch laws, and making fuch provisions, as are mentioned above. So that if there are fuch, there is a Divine providence.

Lastly, It is not impossible, that there should be fuch: on the contrary, we have just reasons to believe there are. It would be an absurd affertion to say, that any thing is impossible to a being whose nature is infinitely above our comprehension, if the terms do not imply a contradiction: but we may with considence affert, that it is impossible for any thing, whose existence should not be regulated and determined by Him.

As to inanimate fubstances, we see the case to be really just as it was supposed before to be. The heavenly and greater bodies keep their stations, or persevere

persevere to go the same circuits over and over by a certain law. Little bodies or particles, of the fame kind, observe continually the same rules of attracting, repelling, &c. When there are any feeming variations in nature, they proceed only from the different circumstances and combinaons of things, acting all the while under their ancient laws. We are so far acquainted with the laws of gravitation and motion, that we are able to calculate their effects, and ferve ourselves of them, fupplying upon many occasions the defect of power in ourselves by mechanical powers, which never fail to answer according to the establishment. Briefly, we fee it so far from being impossible, that the inanimate world should be governd by laws, that all the parts of it are obnoxious to laws by them inviolable.

As to vegetables, we see also how they are determind by certain methods prescribed them. Each fort is produced from its proper feed; hath the same texture of fibres; is nourished by the same kind of juices out of the earth, digested and prepared by the same kind of vessels, &c. Trees receive annually their peculiar liveries, and bear their proper fruits: flowers are dreft, each family, in the same colors, or diversify their fashions after a certain manner proper to the kind, and breathe the same effences: and both these and all other kinds obferve their feasons; and feem to have their several professions and trades appointed them, by which they produce such food and manufactures (pardon the catachresis), as may satisfy the wants of animals. Being so very necessary, they, or at least the most useful, grow easily: being fixt in the earth, infensible.

174 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. infensible, and not made for society, they are generally ἀρρενοθήλεα: being liable to a great confumption both of them and their seeds, they yield great quantities of these, in order to repair and multiply their race, &c. So that here is evidently a regulation, by which the several orders are preserved, and the ends of them answerd according to their sirst establishment too.

Then as to animals, there are laws, which mut. mutand, are common to them with inanimate beings and vegetables, or at least fuch as resemble b their laws. The individuals of the several kinds of those, as of these, have the same (general) shape and members, to be managed after the fame manner: have the same vessels replenished with the same kinds of fluids, and furnishd with the same glands for the separation and distribution of such parts of them. as answer the same intentions in them all: are stimulated by the same appetites and uneasinesses to take in their food, continue their breed, &c. And whatever it is, that proceeds thus in a manner fo like to that of vegetables, according to fixt methods, and keeps in the fame general track as they do, may be faid to observe and be under some like rule or law, which either operates upon and limits it ab extra, or was given it with its nature. But there are, moreover, certain obligations refulting from the several degrees of reason and sense, or sense only, of which we cannot but be conscious in ourselves, and observe some faint indications in

the

b Pliny in his chapter De ordine naturæ in fatis, &c. " concerning the course and order of nature in the growth of
corn, &c." treats of trees in terms taken from animals.

the kinds below us, and which can be lookt upon as nothing less than laws, by which animals are to move and manage themselves: that is, otherwise exprest, by which the Author of their natures governs them. 'Tis true these laws may not impose an absolute necessity, nor be of the same rigor with those of inanimate and merely passive beings, because the beings which are subject to these (men at least) may be supposed in some measure free, and to act upon some kind of principles or motives : yet still they may have the nature of laws, tho they may be broken; and may make a part of that providence by which God administers the affairs of the world. Whatever advantages I obtain by my own free endeavours, and right use of those faculties and powers I have, I look upon them to be as much the effects of God's providence and government, as if they were given me immediately by Him, without my acting; fince all my faculties and abilities (whatever they are) depend upon Him, and are as it were instruments of His providence to me in respect of such things as may be procured by them c,

To finish this head: it is so far from being impossible, that the several tribes of animals should be so made and placed, as to find proper ways of supporting and defending themselves (I mean, so far as it is consistent with the general oeconomy of the world: for some cannot well subsist without the destruction of some others), that, on the contrary, we see men, beasts, birds, fishes, insects all have

organs

Therefore if those Effenes in Josephus, who are said in μλη Φιή ματαλιπείν τα ασόντα, "to leave all things to God," excluded human endeavours, they must be much in the wrong.

176 The Religion of Nature. Sect. V.

organs and faculties adapted to their respective circumstances and opportunities of finding their proper food or prey, &c. even to the astonishment of them who attend to the history of nature. If men, who seem to have more wants than any other kind, meet with difficulties in maintaining life, it is because they themselves, not contented with what is decent and convenient only, have by their luxuries and scandalous neglect of their reason made life expensive.

The world then being not left in a state of confusion or as a chaos, but reduced into order and metbodized for ages to come; the several species of beings having their offices and provinces assigned them; plants and animals subsistence set out for them; and as they go off, successors appointed to relieve them, and carry on the scheme, &c. that the possibility only of a general providence should be allowd, is certainly too modest a demand. We see, or may see, that in fast there is such a providence d.

The great difficulty is, how to account for that providence, which is called particular; or that, which respects (principally) particular men. For rational beings and free agents are capable of doing and deserving well, or ill. Some will make a

right

d Ut siquis in domum aliquam, aut in gymnasium, aut in forum wenerit, cùm videat omnium rerum rationem, medum, disciplinam, non possit ea sine causa sieri judicare, sed esse aliquem intelligat, qui præsit, & cui pareatur, &c. "In the same manner as if any one should come into a house or place of public exercise, or into any court of justice, and see every thing in exact order and according to strict discipline; such an one could not think that all those things were done without a cause, but he would immediately apprehend, that there was somebody at the head, whose commands were obeyd, &c." Cic.

right use of their faculties and opportunities, some will not: the vicious may, or may not repent, or repent and relapse: some fall into evil habits through inadvertence, bad examples, and the like, rather than any defign: and these want to be reclaimd: fome may be supposed to worship God and to crave His protection and bleffing,  $\mathcal{C}_c$  and then a proper answer to their prayers may be humbly expected. Hence many and great differences will arise, which will require from a governor fuitable incouragements, rewards, correptions, punishments; and that some should be protected and fortunate, others not, or less. Now the good or ill state of a man here, his fafety or danger, happiness or unhappiness depend upon many things, which seem to be scarce all capable of being determind by providence. They depend upon what he does bimfelf, and what naturally follows from his own behaviour: upon what is done by ethers, and may either touch him at the same time, or reach him afterward: upon the course of nature, which must affect him: and, in fine, upon many incidents, of which no account is to be given . As to what be does

N

The

e Little things have many times unforeseen and great effects: & contra. The bare sight of a sig, shewn in the senate-house at Rome, occasiond Carthage to be destroyd: quod non Trebia, aut Trasymenus, non Cannæ busto insignes Romani nominis persicere potuere; non castra Punica ad tertium lapidem wallata, portæque Collinæ adequitans ipse Hannibal. "Which neither the river Po, nor the lake Trasymenus, nor the city of Canna, fa. mous for the overthrow of almost the whole Roman nation, could do; no, nor the African camp intrenched for three miles round, nor Hannibal himself who ventured to the very gates of Rome." PLIN.

The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. does bimself, it is impossible for him, as things are in this maze of life, to know always what tends to happiness, and what not: or if he could know, that, which ought to be done, may not be within the compass of his powers. Then, if the actions of other men are free, how can they be determind to be only fuch, as may be either good or bad (as the case requires) for some other particular man; since fuch a determination feems inconsistent with liberty? Beside, numbers of men acting every one upon the foot of their own private freedom, and the feveral degrees of sense and ability which they respectively have, their acts, as they either conspire, or cross and obliquely impede, or perhaps directly meet and oppose each other, and have different effects upon men of different makes, or in different sircumstances, must cause a strange embarras, and intangle the plot f. And as to the course of nature, if a good man be passing by an infirm building, just in the article of falling, can it be expected, that God should suspend the force of gravitation till he

The whole story is thus related by the same author: Cate, being very sollicitous that Carthage should be utterly destroyd, produced one day in the senate-house a ripe sig, which was brought from thence, and, shewing it to the senators, asked them, how long they thought it was, since that sig was pluckd off the tree? They all agreed that it was very fresh; upon which he told them that it was pluckd at Carthage but three days before; so near, says he, is the enemy to our walls. And this was the occasion of the third Punic war, in which Carthage was utterly destroyd.

While every one pushes his own designs, they must interfere, and hinder one another. Ad summum succedere honoreme Certantes, iter infestum secre viai. "By striving to get to the highest dignity, they render the way very dangerous."

Lucret.

is

is gone by, in order to his deliverance; or can we think it would be increased, and the fall hastend, if a bad man was there, only that he might be caught, crushd, and made an example 8? If a man's fafety or prosperity should depend upon winds or rains, must new motions be imprest upon the atmosphere, and new directions given to the floating parts of it, by some extraordinary and new influence from God? Must clouds be so precipitated, or kept in suspence h, as the case of a particular man or two requires? To which add, that the differing and many times contrary interests of men are scarce to be reconciled. The wind, which carries one into the port, drives another back to feat and the rains, that are but just sufficient upon the bills, may drown the inhabitants of the valleys. In Thort, may we expect miracles \*: or can there be a ~ particular

<sup>3</sup> Or is it not more likely, σιπτέσης οἰκοδομίας, τὸν υποπισόντα ἐπόθαιςῖν, ὁ σοιὸς σοτ' ἀν ἢ " that when a house falls, he that it falls upon should be killed, what fort of a man soever he be (good or bad)" in Plotinus's words?

י Something more than this we meet with in Ong.'s paraphrafe, where it is faid, that upon Mofes's prayer, הוודו אינור א

In Lucian, The moderner of this Boplar and the interestional' of the views of the same of the failors pray for a north-wind, and some for a south-wind; the countryman wishes for wet weather, and the fuller for sun-shiny."

<sup>\*</sup> Some have talked to this purpose. So R. Albo says of some prophets and bhasidim, "holy men," אשרונה בעכירם, "that they can alter the course of nature, N 2 " or

- particular providence, a providence that fuits the feveral cases and prayers of individuals, without a continual repetition of them, and force frequently committed upon the laws of nature, and the freedom of intelligent agents? For my part, I verily believe there may. For,
- 1. It feems to me not impossible, that God should know what is to come: on the contrary, it is highly reasonable to think, that He does and must know things future. Whatever happens in the world, which does not come immediately from Him, must either be the effect of mechanical causes, or of the motions of living beings and free agents. For chance we have seen already is no cause. Now as to the former, it cannot be impossible for Him, upon whom the being and nature of every thing depends, and who therefore must intimately know all their powers and what effects they will have, to see through the whole train of causes and effects, and whatever will come to pass in that wey! nay, it

" or it will be alterd for them." So R. If. Abub. that the good or evil, which happens to a man in this world by way of reward or punishment, אין זה רק במעשה הנם והוא נם נסתר, "is not only אין זה רק במעשה הנם והוא נם נהגו של עולם "by plain miracles, but also by obscure marks; as any one may imagine, who sees the manner of the world." So Abarb. "I'll הוכולת האלהו הוא משנה השבעים בהשנחתו וכו "is that power of God which changes nature by his providence." And accordingly in Sed. tepb. we find this thanksgiving: משנה בשנה שברל וום עמנו שנה "We" praise thee—for thy wonders which we behold every day."

What Seneca fays of the Gods (in the heathen style), may be said of the true God. Nota est illi operis sui series: omniumque illirerum per manus suas ituvarum scientia in aperto semper est; nobis ex abdito subit, &c. "Known unto him is the whole course of

is impossible, that He should not do it. We ourselves, if we are fatisfied of the goodness of the materials of which a machine is made, and understand the force and determination of those powers by which it is moved, can tell what it will do, or what will be the effect of it. And as to those things which depend upon the voluntary motions of free agents, it is well known, that men (by whom learn how to judge of the rest) can only be free with respect to fuch things as are within their sphere; not great, God knows: and their freedom with respect to these can only consist in a liberty either to act, without any incumbent necessity, as their own reafon and judgment shall determin them; or to neglett their rational faculties, and not use them at all, but fuffer themselves to be carried away by the tendences and inclinations of the body, which left thus to itself acts in a manner mechanically. Now He, who knows what is in mens power, what not; knows the make of their bodies, and all the mechanism and propensions of them; knows the nature and extent of their understandings, and what will determin them this or that way; knows all the process of natural (or second) causes, and consequently how these may work upon them ": He, I fay, who knows all this, may know what men will do, if He can but know this one thing more, viz. whether they will use their rational faculties or not.

N 3

And

<sup>&</sup>quot; of his works; the knowledge of all those things, which are to pass through his hands, is clear to him, but obscure to

<sup>•</sup> us, டூ."

m 'Ο γαρ ζωοπλάσης θεός επίσαται τα εαυτέ καλώς δημικργήματα.

<sup>&</sup>quot;God, who formd all living creatures, understands his own works thoroughly." Ph. Jud.

182 The Religion of Nature. Sect. V.

And fince even we ourselves, mean and desective as we are, can in some measure conceive, how so much as this may be done, and seem to want but one step to finish the account, can we with any shew of reason deny to a Persett being this one article more, or think that He cannot do that too; especially if we call to mind, that this very power of using our own faculties is held of Him.

Observe what a sagacity there is in some men, not only in respect of physical causes and effects, but also of the future actings of mankind; and how very easie it is many times, if the persons concernd, their characters, and circumstances are given, to foresee what they will do: as also to foretel many general events, tho the intermediate transactions upon which they depend are not known . Consider how much more remarkable this penetration is in some men, than in others: confider further, that if there be any minds more perfect than the human, (and who can be so conceited of himfelf as to question this?) they must have it in a still more eminent degree, proportionable to the excellence of their natures: in the last place, do but al-, low (as you must) this power of discerning to be

n Iffa nostra voluntates in causarum ordine sunt, qui certus est Deo, ejusque prascientia continetur, &c. "Our wills themselves "may be looked upon as causes, the manner of which God certainly knows, and it is contained in his foreknowledge, &c." St. Aust.

<sup>•</sup> Etsi quem exitum acies babitura sit, divinare nemo potest; tamen belli exitum video, & c. "Tho no body can tell what may "happen to the warmy, yet I see what the event of the war will be, & c." And after, quem ego tam video animo, quam ea, quæ oculis cernimus, "I see it as plainly in my mind, as I "can see any thing with my eyes." Cic.

in God proportionable to His nature, as in lower beings it is proportionable to theirs, and then it becomes infinite; and then again, the future actions of free agents are at once all unlocked, and exposed to His view. For that knowledge is not infinite, which is limited to things past or present, or which come to pass necessarily.

After all, what has been faid is only a feeble attempt to shew, how far even we can go toward a conception of the manner, in which future things may be known: but as we have no adequate idea of an infinite and perfect Being, His powers, and among them His power of knowing, must infinitely pass all our understanding. It must be something different from and infinitely transcending all the modes of apprehending things, which we know any thing of P.

We know matters of fact by the help of our fenses, the strength of memory, impressions made upon phansy, or the report of others (tho that indeed is comprehended under senses. For that, which we know only by report, in proper speaking we only know the report of, or we have heard it); and all these ways do suppose those matters either to be present, or once to have been: but is it therefore impossible, that there should be any other ways of knowing? 'This is so far from being true, that, since God has no organs of sensation, nor such mean faculties as the best of ours are, and consequently cannot know things in the way which

ף ידיעהנין ידיעהנין אין זה ידיעה "His knowledge is not fuch a fort of a knowledge as ours is." Maim. It differs not הברב במין המציאה יברב ובמעט לבר אבל במין המציאה " only in degree, but in kind." Id.

N 4

WC

184 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. we knew them in, if He doth not know them by fome other way, He cannot know them at all, even tho they were present: and therefore there must be other ways, or at least another way of knowing even matters of fact. And fince the difficulty we find in determining, whether future matters of fact may be known, arises chiefly from this, that we in reality confider, without minding it, whether they may be known in cur way of knowing; it vanishes, when we recollect, that they are and must be known to God by some other way: and not only so, but this must be some way, that is perfect and worthy of Him. Future, or what to us is future, may be as truly the object of Divine knowledge, as present is of ours: nor can we q tell, what respect past, present, to come, have to the Divine mind, or wherein they differ. To deaf men there is no fuch thing as found, to blind no fuch thing as light or color: nor, when these things are defined and explaind to them in the best manner, which their circumstances admit, are they capable of knowing bow they are apprehended. So here, we cannot tell bow future things are known perhaps, any more than deaf or blind people what founds or colors are, and bow they are perceived; but yet there may be a way of knowing those, as well as there is of perceiving thefe. As they want a fifth fense to perceive founds or colors, of which they have no notion: fo perhaps we may want a fixth fense, or some faculty, of which future events may be the proper objects. Nor have we any more

reason

<sup>9</sup> Ignari, quid queat effe, Quid nequeat: "Who are ignorant" of what can be, and what cannot be:" to use Lucretius's words more properly.

reason to deny, that there is in nature fuch a sense or faculty, than the deaf or blind have to deny, that there is such a sense as that of bearing or seeing.

We can never conclude, that it is impossible for an infinitely perfect Being to know what a free agent will choose to do, till we can comprehend all the powers of such a Being, and that is till we ourselves are infinite and perfect. So far are we from being able to pronounce with any shew of reason, that it is impossible there should be such knowledge in God.

In the last place, this knowledge is not only not impossible, but that which has been already proved concerning the Deity and His persection doth necessarily infer, that nothing can be hid from Him. For if ignorance be an impersection, the ignorance of future acts and events must be so: and then if all impersections are to be denied of Him, this must.

There is indeed a common prejudice against the prescience (as it is usually called) of God; which suggests, that, if God foreknows things, He foreknows them infallibly or certainly: and if so, then they are certain; and if certain, then they are no longer matter of freedom. And thus prescience and freedom are inconsistent. But sure the nature of a thing is not changed by being known, or known before hand. For if it is known truly, it is known to be what it is; and therefore is not alterd by this. The truth is, God foresees, or rather sees the actions of free agents, because they will be; not that

they

r To attempt to comprehend the manner of God's knowing is the fame as to endeavour אָנהנו הוא הווא הוא יי to become what He is." Maim.

they will be, because He foresces them. If I see an object in a certain place, the veracity of my faculties supposed, it is certain that object is there: but yet it cannot be said, it is there because I see it there, or that my seeing it there is the cause of its being there: but because it is there, therefore I see it there. It is the object, that determins my sensation: and so in the other case, it is a future choice of the free agent, that determins the prescience, which yet may be infallibly true.

Let us put these two contradictory propositions, B (some particular man) will go to church next Sunday, and B will not go to church next Sunday; and let us suppose withall, that B is free, and that his going or not going depends merely upon his own will. In this case he may indeed do either, but yet he can do but one of these two things, either go, or not go; and one he must do. One of these propositions therefore is now true; but yet it is not the truth of that proposition, which forces him to do what is contained in it: on the contrary, the truth of the proposition arises from what he shall choose to do. And if that truth doth not force him, the foreknowledge of that truth will not. We

may

<sup>\*</sup> ידיערו בכה שיהיה לא יוציא הדבר האפשר משבעו "His knowledge of any thing that is future does not produce the thing that is possible in nature." Maim. Much might be inferted upon this subject (out of Abarb. particularly) which I shall omit.

t Sicut enim tu memoria tua non cogis sacia esse quæ præterierunt; sic Deus præscientia sua non cogit sacienda quæ sutura sunt. "As we do not force the things that are past to have been done by our remembering them; so God does not force the things that are suture to be done by his foreknowing them." St Aust.

may sure suppose B himself to know certainly before hand, which of the two he will choose to do, whether to go to church or not (I mean fo far as it depends upon his choice only): and if so, then here is B's own foreknowledge consistent with his freedom: and if we can but, further, suppose God to know as much in this respect as B does, there will be God's foreknowledge consistent with B's freedom.

In a word, it involves no contradiction to affert, that God certainly knows what any man will choose; and therefore that he should do this cannot be said to be impossible.

2. It is not impossible, that such laws of nature, and such a series of causes and effects may be originally designd, that not only general provisions may be made for the several species of beings, but even particular cases, at least many of them, may also be provided for without innovations or alterations in the course of nature ". It is true, this amounts to a prodigious scheme, in which all things to come are as it were comprehended under one

C

ΙÚ

(1)(1

gb

ЫÒ

iti

χţ

tic

137

view,

<sup>&</sup>quot;Things come to pass & κατα φυσικας ακολυθίας & κατα λόγον "according to their natural course, and according to rea"fon;" and even τα σμικεότος διι συντετάχθαι κα συνυφάνθας
νομίζου, "the most minute things, we ought to think, are duly
"regulated and connected with each other." PLOTIN. That
in Seneca looks something like this: Hoc dico, fulmina non mitti
a Jove, sed sic omnia disposita, ut ea etiam, quæ ab illo non fiunt,
tamen sine ratione non siant: quæ illius est.—Nam ets Jupiter illa
nunc non facit, fecit ut sierent. "I affirm this, that lightning does
"not come immediately from Jupiter himself; but every thing
is so orderd, that even those things, which are not done by
"Him, are notwithstanding not done without reason; which
"reason is his.—For tho Jupiter does not do these things at
"this time, yet He was the cause of their being done."

view, estimated, and laid together: but when I confider, what a mass of wonders the universe is in other regards; what a Being God is, incomprehenfibly great and perfect; that He cannot be ignorant of any thing, no not of the future wants and deportments of particular men; and that all things, which derive from Him as the First cause, must do this fo as to be confiftent one with another, and in such a manner, as to make one compact system, befitting fo great an Author: I fay, when I confider this, I cannot deny such an adjustment of things to be within His power ". The order of events, proceeding from the fettlement of nature, may be as compatible with the due and reasonable fuccess of my endeavours and prayers (as inconsiderable a part of the world as I am x), as with any other thing or phanomenon how great soever.

Perhaps my meaning may be made more intelligible thus. Suppose M (some man) certainly to foreknow some way or other that, when he should come to be upon his death-bed, L would petition for some particular legacy; in a manner so earnest and humble, and with such a good disposition, as would render it proper to grant his request: and upon this M makes his last will, by which he devises to L that which was to be asked, and then locks up the will; and all this many years before the death of M, and whilst L had yet no expecta-

tion

<sup>&</sup>quot; This seems to be what Eusebius means, when he says, that Divine providence does (among other things) τοις εκτὸς συμθαίστος τὸν δίασαν τάξις ἀποιέμεις, " appoint a proper course even to those things which we call accidental."

<sup>\*</sup> The yell a Sieman the iname mergene inader, "For I have learnt what a mere nothing I am," in Phile's words.

tion or thought of any fuch thing. When the time comes, the petition is made, and granted; not by making any new will, but by the old one already made, and without alteration: which legacy had, notwithstanding that, never been left, had the petition never been preferred. The grant may be called an effect of a future act, and depends as much upon it, as if it had been made after the act. So if it had been foreseen, that L would not so much as alk, and had therefore been left out of the will, this preterition would have been caused by his carriage, tho much later than the date of the will. In all this is nothing hard to be admitted, if M be allowd to foreknow the case y. And thus the prayers; which good men offer to the All-knowing God. and the neglects of others, may find fitting effects already forecasted in the course of nature. Which possibility may be extended to the labors of men, and their behaviour in general.

It is obvious to every one's observation, that in fast particular men are very commonly (at least in some measure) rewarded or punished by the general laws and methods of nature. The natural (tho not constant) attendents and consequences of virtue are peace, health, and felicity; of vice, loss of philosophical pleasures, a diseased body, debts, and difficulties. Now then, if B be virtuous and bappy, C vitious and at last miserable, laboring under a late and fruitless remorse; tho this comes to pass through the natural tendence of things, yet

Digitized by Google

these two cases, being supposed such as require, the one that B should be favord, the other that C should suffer for his wickedness, are as effectually provided for, as if God exerted his power in some peculiar way on this occasion.

2. It is not impossible, that men, whose natures and actions are foreknown, may be introduced into the world in such times, places, and other circumstances, as that their acts and behaviour may not only coincide with the general plan of things. but also answer many private cases too 2. The planets and bigger parts of the world we cannot but see are disposed into such places and otder, that they together make a noble system, without having their natural powers of attraction (or the force of that which is equivalent to attraction) or any of the laws of motion restraind or alterd. On the contrary, being rightly placed, they by the observation of thele become subservient to the main design. Now why may there not be in the Divine mind fomething like a projection of the future history of mankind, as well as of the order and motions and various aspects of the greater bodies of the world? And then why should it not be thought possible for men, as well as for them, by some secret law, tho of another kind, or rather by the prefidence and guidance of an unfeen governing power, to be

<sup>2</sup> If Plate had not been what he was. And therefore, with Lactarius's favor, he might have reason to thank God, quod Atheniensis [natus esset], & quod temporibus Socratis, "that He" was born at Athens, and in the days of Socrates." Just as M. Antoninus ascribes, gratefully, to the Gods to rione Anadamius, "Parmer, Makiner, "that he was acquainted with Apillonius "Maximus (his tutor) Apollonius and Rusticus."

brought

brought into their places in such a manner as that by the free use of their faculties, the conjunctions and oppositions of their interests and inclinations, the natural influence and weight of their several magnitudes and degrees of parts, power, wealth, &c. they may conspire to make out the scheme? And then again, since generals consist of particulars, and in this scheme are comprehended the actions and cases of particular men, they cannot be so situated respectively among the rest of their species as to be serviceable to the principal intention, and fall properly into the general diagram of affairs, unless they and their several actings and cases do in the main correspond one to another, and sit among themselves, or at least are not inconsistent.

Here is no implication of any contradiction or abfurdity in all this: and therefore it may at least be fairly fupposed. And if so, it will follow, that a particular providence may be compatible with the statural freedom of mens actions. Such a supposition is certainly not beyond the power of an almighty, perfect Being: it is moreover worthy of Him, and what they, who can dwell a while upon those words, and take their import, must believe.

The ancients I am perfuaded had some such thoughts as these. For they were generally fatalists, and yet do not seem to have thought, that they were not masters of their own actions 4.

<sup>\*</sup> Plate and the Stoics, ap. Plat. make face to be remarked advant rerespective, is if evantually it is and it face via all it elastic states and it the things, which are in our power, to belong to this contexion. So that some things are decreed, and some things not."

## 192 The Religion of Nature. Sect. V.

4. It is not impossible (for this is all that I contend for here), that many things, suitable to several cases, may be brought to pass by means of secret and fometimes sudden influences on our minds h, or the minds of other men, whose acts may affect us. For instance; if the case should require, that N should be deliverd from some threatening ruin. or from some misfortune, which would certainly befall him, if he should go such a way at such a time, as he intended: upon this occasion some new reasons may be presented to his mind, why he should not go at all, or not then, or not by that road; or he may forget to go. Or, if he is to be deliverd from some dangerous enemy, either some new turn given to his thoughts may divert him from going where the enemy will be, or the enemy may be after the same manner diverted from coming where be shall be, or his [the enemy's] resentment may be qualified, or fome proper method of defence may be suggested, or degree of resolution

b The Heathen were of this opinion: otherwife Homer could have had no opportunity of introducing their Deities as he doth. To d' int open't Sine Sed y λαυκοπις' A Shive 'Annd Tis a Sardroi reife opéras. "Minerva put it into their minds. But some God " alterd their minds;" and the like often. Plutarch explains these passages thus. Our draipsvra wois ["Ourpe] ron Stor, dana πινέντα την προαίρεσιν εδ ορμάς έργαζόμενον, αλλά φαντασίας όρμων drayer " (Homer) does not make God to destroy the will of "man, but only to move him to will; nor does he produce "the appetites themselves in men, but only causes such imagi-" nations as are capable of producing them." And afterwards the Gods are faid to help men, Tis Juxis to meraticor no me experied degais ries and parractais is existing experience, i revaretor amospiportes & isdates, "by exciting the powers and faculties of "the foul, by fome fecret principles, or imaginations or thoughts; " or on the contrary, by diverting or stopping them,"

and

and vigor excited. After the fame manner not only deliverances from dangers and troubles, but advantages and fuccesses may be conferred: or on the other fide, men may, by way of punishment for crimes committed, incur mischiefs and calamities. I fay, these things and such like may be. For fince the motions and actions of men, which depend upon their wills, do also depend upon their judgments, as these again do upon the present appearances or non-appearances of things in their minds; if a new prospect of things can be any way produced, the lights by which they are feen alterd, new forces and directions imprest upon the spirits, passions exalted or abated, the power of judging inlivend or debilitated, or the attention taken off, without any suspension or alteration of the standing laws of nature, then without that new volitions, designs, measures, or a cessation of thinking may also be produced, and thus many things prevented, that otherwise would be, and many brought about, that would not. But that this is far from being impossible, seems clear to me. For the operations of the mind following in great measure the present disposition of the body, some thoughts and designs, or absences of mind, may proceed from corporeal causes, acting according to the common laws of matter and motion themselves; and so the case may fall in with n. 2. or they may be occasiond by something faid or done by other men; and then the case may be brought under n. 3. or they may be caused by the fuggestion, and impulse, or other filent communications of some spiritual being; perhaps the Deity himself. For that such imperceptible influences and still whispers may be, none of us all

Digitized by Google

194 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. can politively deny: that is, we cannot know certainly, that there are no fuch things. On the contrary, I believe there are but few of them who have made observations upon themselves and their affairs, but must, when they reslect on life past and the various adventures and events in it, find many instances, in which their usual judgment and lense of things cannot but feem to themselves to have been over-ruled, they knew not by what, nor how c, nor why, (i. e. they have done things, which afterwards they wonder how they came to do); and that these actions have had consequences very remark. able in their history 4. I speak not here of men dementated with wine, or inchanted with fome temptation: the thing holds true of men even in their fober and more confidering feafons.

That there may be possibly such inspirations of new thoughts and counsels may perhaps further appear from this; that we so frequently find thoughts arising in our heads, into which we are led by no discourse, nothing we read, no clue of reasoning; but they surprise and come upon us

from

e Σοαλείς [ο μειεριτίσκ. ] ούν οίδ δινως, ομοί μεν το οδημαιον, Πτοιοδώς ο δι δοδημαιστον [εδλικα] ἐπίδοια, "The young man by 's mistake some way, I know not how, gave the poisond cup to 's me, and that which had no poison in it, to Ptecodorus," says Callidemidas, who designd the poison for Ptecodorus, in Lucian.

When Hannibal was in fight of Rome, non ausus est obsidere, the dared not besiege it. "St Jerom.—Sed religione quadam abstinuit, quod diversit, capiendae urbis modo non dari voluntatem, modo non dari fatultatem, ut testatur & Orosius. "But sorbore upon fome religious scruple, because he said that sometimes he had no mind, and at other times no power to take the city, as is related also by Orosius." Schol.

from we know not what quarter . If they proceeded from the mobility of spirits, straggling out of order, and fortuitous affections of the brain, or were of the nature of dreams, why are they not as wild, incoherent, and extravagant as they are? Not to add, that the world has generally acknowledged, and therefore feems to have experienced some affiftance and directions given to good men by the Deity; that men have been many times infatuated, and lost to themselves,  $\mathcal{C}c$ . If any one should object, that if men are thus over-ruled in their actings, then they are deprived of their liberty, &c. the answer is, that tho man is a free agent, he may not be free as to every thing. His freedom may be restraind, and he only accountable for those acts, in respect of which he is free.

If this then be the case, as it seems to be, that men's minds are susceptive of such insimuations and impressions, as frequently by ways unknown do affect them, and give them an inclination toward this or that, how many things may be brought to pass by these means without fixing and refixing the laws of nature: any more than they are unfixt, when one man alters the opinion of another by throwing a book, proper for that purpose, in his way? I fay, how many things may be brought about thus, not only in regard of ourselves, but other people, who may be concernd in our actions. either immediately, or in time through perhaps

many

e Non enim cuiquam in potestate est quid veniat in mentem. For it is not in any man's power, what shall come into his " mind." St Austin.

f They who call'd Simonides out from Scopas and his company, as if it were to speak with him, laved his life. The story known. O 2

many intermediate events? For the prosperity or improsperity of a man, or his fate here, does not intirely depend upon his own prudence or imprudence, but in great measure upon his fituation among the rest of mankind, and what they do. The natural effect of his management meeting with such things, as are the natural effects of the actions of other men, and being blended with them, the result may be something not intended or fore-seen.

5. There possibly may be, and most probably are beings invisible, and superior in nature to us, who may by other means be in many respects ministers of God's providence, and authors under Him of many events to particular men, without altering the laws of nature. For it implies no contradiction or absurdity to say there are such beings: on the contrary we have the greatest reason to think what has been intimated already; that such imperfect beings, as we are, are far below the top of the scale. The pictures of spiritual beings cannot be drawn in our imagination, as of corporeal; yet to the upper and reasoning part of the mind the idea of spiritual substance may perhaps be as clear as that of corporeity 8. For what penetrability is, must be known just as well as what impenetrability is: and so on.

.... And

They, who believe there is nothing but what they can handle or fee (οἱ ἐδὲν ἀλλο ὁιόμονοὶ εἶναι ἢ ἔ ἀν δύνωνται ἀπεὶξ ταῖν χεροῖν λαδίσθαι—ατᾶν δὶ τὸ ἀδρατον οὐα ἀποδοχόμονον ὡς ἀ ἐσἰας μέρει) " and do not allow any thing, that is invifible to have any " real existence;" are by Plato reckond to be void of all philosophy, ἀμύντοι, σαληροὶ, ἀντίτυποι, μάλ εὖ ἄμεσοι, " not so much as initiated, stupid, obstinate, and intirely illiterate."

And fince it has been proved (p. 137, 138), that all corporeal motions proceed originally from fomething incorporeal, it must be as certain, that there are incorporeal substances, as that there is motion. Beside, how can we tell but that there may be above us beings of greater powers, and more perfect intellects, and capable of mighty things, which yet may have corporeal vehicles as we have, but finer and invisible? Nay, who knows but that there may be even of these many orders, rifing in dignity of nature, and amplitude of pow-.er, one above another? It is no way below the philosophy of these times, which seems to delight in inlarging the capacities of matter, to affert the possibility of this. But however, my own defects sufficiently convince me, that I have no pretention to be one of the first rank, or that which is next under the All-perfect.

Now then, as we ourselves by the use of our powers do many times interpose and alter the course of things within our sphere from what it would be, if they were left intirely to the laws of motion and gravitation, without being faid to alter those laws; so may these superior beings likewise in respect of things within their spheres, much larger be fure, the least of them all, than ours is: only with this difference, that as their knowledge is more extensive, their intellects purer, their reason better, they may be much properer instruments of Divine providence with respect to us, than we can be with respect one to another, or to the animals below us. I cannot think indeed, that the power of these beings is so large, as to alter or suspend the general laws of the world; or that the world is like a bung-0 3

108 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. a bungling piece of clock-work, which requires to be oft fet backward or forward by them; or that they can at pleasure change their condition to ape us, or inferior beings; and confequently am not apt hastily to credit stories of portents, &cc. fuch as cannot be true, unless the natures of things and their manner of being be quite renversed: yet (I will repeat it again) as men may be so placed: as to become, even by the free exercise of their own powers, instruments of God's particular providence to other men (or animals); so may we well. suppose, that these bigber beings may be so distributed through the universe, and subject to such an economy (the I pretend not to tell what that is), as may render them also instruments of the same providence; and that they may, in proportion to their greater abilities, be capable, confifently with the laws of nature, some way or other, tho not in. our way, of influencing human affairs in proper places.

Lastly, what I have ventured to lay before you I would not have to be so understood, as if I peremptorily afferted things to be just in this manner, or pretended to impose my thoughts upon any body else: my design is only to shew, how I endeavour to help my own narrow conceptions. There must be other ways above my understanding h, by which such a Being as God is may take care of private cases without interrupting the order of the universe, or putting any of the parts of it out of their channels. We may be sure He re-

gards

h Ουχ ομοίας ανθεωπος αμύνεται κα Θεές. "God does not af"ford assistance in the same manner as man does." Ph. Jud.

gards every thing as being what it is; and that therefore His laws must be accommodated to the true genius's and capacities of those things, which are affected by them. The purely material part of the world is governd by fuch, as are fuited to the state of a being, which is infensible, passive only, and every where and always the same: and these seems to be simple and few, and to carry natural agents into one constant road. But intelligent, active, free beings must be under a government of another form. They must, truth requiring it, be considerd as beings, who may behave themselves as they ought, or not; as beings susceptive of pleasure and pain; as beings; who not only owe to God all that they are or have, but are (or may be) sensible of this, and to whom therefore it must be natural upon many occasions to supplicate Him for mercy, defence, direction, affiftance; lastly, as beings, whose cases admit great variety: and therefore that influence, by which He is present to them, must be different from that, by which gravitation and common phanomena are produced in matter. This feems to be as it were a public influence, the other private, answering private cases, and prayers; this to operate directly upon the body, the other more especially upon the mind, and upon the body by it, &c. But I forbear, lest I should go too far out of my depth: only adding in general, that God cannot put things so far out of His own power, as that He should not for ever govern transactions and events in His own world; nor can perfett knowledge and power ever want proper means to atchieve what is fit to be done. So that, tho what I have advanced should stand for nothing, there may 0 4 ftill

200 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V.

still be a particular providence notwithstanding the forementiond difficulty. And then, if there may be one, it will unavoidably follow, that there is one: because in the description of providence, p. 1712 nothing is supposed with respect to particular cases, but that they should be provided for in such a manner as will at last agree best with reason; and to allow, that this may be done, and yet say, that it is not done, implies a blasphemy that creates horror; it is to charge the Persett being with one of the greatest impersections, and to make Him not so much as a reasonable being.

I conclude then, that it is as certain, that there is a particular providence, as that God is a Being of perfect reason. For if men are treated according to reason, they must be treated according to what they are: the virtuous, the just, the compassionate, &c. as such, and the vitious, unjust, cruel, &c. according to what they are: and their several cases must be taken and considered as they are: which cannot be done without such a providence.

Against all this it has been, as one might well expect, objected of old, that things do not seem to be dealt according to reason, virtuous and good men very oft laboring under adversity, pains, persecutions, whilst vitious, wicked, cruel men prevail and flourish. But to this an answer (in which I shall

i Si curent [Dij] homines, benè bonis sit, malè malis: quod nunc abest. "If they (the Gods) had any regard for men, things "would go well with good men, and ill with bad men; but it "is otherwise now." Ap. Cic. The Jews, who call this case אַרוֹק וֹרְעַל לוֹ רְשׁעַן וּטוֹנ לִי כּינוֹ לוֹ רְשׁעַן וּטוֹנ לִי נִי לוֹ רִשׁעַן וּטוֹנ לוֹ נִי לוֹ נִי לוֹ רִשׁעַן וּטוֹנ לוֹ to the wicked," have written many things about it, to be seen

I shall a little further explain myself) is ready. It might be taken out of that, which has been given to the *Manichean* objection under prop. VII. But I shall here give one more direct: and let that and this be mutually assisting and supplements each to the other. 1. We are not always certain, who are good, who wicked k. If we trust to fame and reports, these may proceed, on the one hand, from partial friendship, or flattery; on the other, from ill-natured surmises and constructions of things, envy, or malice; and on either, from small matters aggrandized, from mistake, or from the unskilful relation even of truth itself. Opposite parties make a merit of blackening their adversaries 1, and

seen in their books: Mo. nebok. S. Iqquar. Men. bamma. Nabb. ab. &c. So have the Heathen philosophers too; Seneca, Plutarch, Plotinus, Simplicius, al. But the answers of neither are always just. God forbid that should be thought true, which is afferted by Glauco, ap. Plat. that the just, if they had Gyger's ring, would do as the unjust, and one work is it wit distant, dand aray xacoust . " that no man is just voluntarily, but is forced to " be fo." Or that in S. Hbafid. and Men. bamma. צדיק ורע לו צרוק בן רשע " Evil befalls the righteous, and the unrighteous inherit good." The reason assigned for this case in anotherplace is fomething better: כדי שלא יאמרו אם לא היה בשובה לא היה צדיק. "Wherefore let them not fay, that if "good does not befall fuch an one, then he is a wicked man." But the way of folving it in Nifbm. hhaiy. by דלנול הנשמות. " a revolution of fouls," or what the Cabbalists call "U, " transmigration," is worst of all.

Le Cadit & Ripheus, justissimus unus Qui fuit in Teucris, & fervantissimus æqui. Dis aliter visum. "Ripheus also was slain, "who was one of the most just men amongst the Trojans, and a very strict preserver of equity; but the Gods must be sub- mitted to." VIRG.

brightening

<sup>1</sup> Virtutes ipsas invertinus. "We turn even virtues into vices." Hor,

## 202 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V.

brightening their friends, undeservedly and unmeafurably: and to idle companions and gossips it is diversion, and what makes the principal part of their conversation m, to rehearse the characters of men, drest up out of their own dreams and inventions. And beside all this, the good or bad repute of men depends in great measure upon mean people, who carry their stories from family to family, and propagate them very fast: like little insects, which lay apace, and the less the faster. There are few, very few, who have the opportunity and the will and the ability to represent things truly ". Befide the matters of fact themselves there are many circumstances which, before sentence is passed, ought to be known and weighed, and yet scarce ever can be known, but to the person bimself who is concernd. He may have other views, and another fense of things, than his judges have: and what he understands, what he feels, what he intends, may be a fecret confined to his own brest. A man may through bodily indifpositions and faults in his conflitution, which it is not in his power to correct, be subject to starts and inadver-

tencies,

<sup>\*\*</sup> Oudd's γωρ δτοι κόθ τοῖι ἀνθερόποις, ὡς τὸ λαλιῖν τὰ ἀλλότεμα κὰ μόλις α ἐἀν τύχωσιν ὑπ εὐνρίας τινὸς ἢ μίσις ἐλπόμειοι, ὑρ ὧν κὰ τὰ κολλὰ ὰ ἀλάθεια. "There is nothing fo delightful to men, as prating about things that don't belong to them, especially if they are drawn into it by love or hat tred, and they are apt to conceal truth as they do most other things." Grec. Naz.

n Therefore, with Socrates in Plato, we ought not much to care what the multitude [οἱ Φολλοί] fay of us, αλλ' δ, τι ὁ ἐπαίων στοὲ τῶν δικαίων, καὶ ἀδικων, ὁ δῖς, καὶ αὐτὰ ὰ ἀλάθεια, "but what he fays who can diffinguish betwint the just and the unjust, the only one who is truth itself."

tencies, or obnoxious to fnares, which he cannot be aware of; or through want of information or proper helps he may labor under invincible errors, and act as in the dark: in which cases he may do things, which are in themselves wrong, and yet be innocent, or at least rather to be pitied, than censured with severity. Or perhaps the censurer, notwithstanding this kind of men talk as if they were infallible, may be mistaken himself in his opinion, and judge that to be wrong, which in truth is right. Nothing more common than this. Ignorant and superstitious wretches measure the actions of letterd and philosophical men by the tat-

• Or, v. v. he may judge that to be right, which is wrong? This feems to be pretty much the case in that enumeration of good men, who sufferd, ap. Cic. Cur duo Scipiones, fortissimos & optimos viros, in Hispania Pænus oppressit? Cur Maximus extulit filium consularem? Cur Marcellum Annibal interemit, &c. "How did it come to pass, that the Carthaginians overthrew "the two Scipio's in Spain, those brave and excellent men? " How came Maximus to bury his son, when he was fit to be " a consul? How came Hannibal to kill Marcellus? &c.". For here they are reckond boni, "good," only because they were fortes, "valiant;" that is, because they had been zealous and fuccessful instruments in conquering and destroying them; who happend to be so unfortunate as to be neighbours to the Romans, upon various pretences indeed, but in truth only to inlarge their own territories. Is this to be good? Doth it deferve fuch a particular observation, that F. Maximus buried a fon, after he had been Conful too? How doth it appear, that' Marcellus was a better man than Haunibal? Is it such a wonder, if they, who spend their lives in slaughter, should at length he slain themselves i If the margin permitted, more remarks might be made upon this catalogue: as also some upon that, which follows in the same place, of others, quibus improbis optime evenit, "who, the they were very bad men, yet had very good fortune."

tle

204 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. tle of their nurses or illiterate parents and companions, or by the fashion of the country: and people of differing religions judge and condemn each other by their own tenents; when both of them cannot be in the right, and it is well if either of them are. To which may be added, that the true characters of men must chiefly depend upon the unseen part of their lives; since the truest and best religion is most private, and the greatest wickedness endeavours to be so P. Some are modest, and hide their virtues: others hypocritical, and conceal their vices under shews of fanctity. good nature, or fomething that is specious. So that it is many times hard to discern, to which of the two forts, the good or the bad, a man ought to be aggregated. 2. It rarely happens, that we are competent judges of the good or bad fortune of other people q. That, which is disagreeable to one, is many times agreeable to another, or disagreeable in a less degree. The misery accruing from any infliction or bad circumstance of life is to be computed as in p. 53, 54: or according to the refistence and capacity of bearing it, which it meets

with.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Vitæ possecial celant, " that part of life which they keep " secret from the world" (in Lucr.) may be aptly applied to the wicked. Multi famam, conscientiam pauci verentur. " Many " are afraid of common report, but sew stand in awe of their " own consciences." PLINY jun.

Neg; mala wel bona, quæ vulgus putat: multi, qui conflictari adversis videntur, beati; ac pleriq; quanquam magnas per opes, miserrimi, &c. "We are not to judge things to be good or bad, from the opinion which the vulgar have of them; for abundance of people are happy, who have many difficulties to struggle with; and a great many men are very miserable, though they be very rich." TACITUS.

with. If one man can carry a weight of four or five hundred pounds as well as another can the weight of one hundred, by these different weights they will be equally loaded. And so the same poverty or difgrace, the same wounds, &c. do not give the same pain to all men. The apprehension of but a vein to be opend is worse to some, than the apparatus to an execution is to others: and a word may be more terrible and fenfible to tender natures, than a fword is to the senseless, or intrepid breed. The same may be said with respect to injoyments: men have different tasts, and the use of the same things does not beget equal pleasure in all. Beside, we scarce ever know the whole case. We do not see the inward stings and secret pains, which many of those men carry about them, whose external splendor and flourishing estate is so much admired by beholders ': nor perhaps fufficiently con-

Feliciorem tu Mecanatem putas, cui amoribus anxio, & morosæ uxoris quotidiana repudia destenti, somnus per symphoniarum cantum, ex longinquo bene resonantium, quæritur? Mero se licet sopiat,—; tam vigilabit in plumâ, quàm ille [Regulus] in cruce. —ut dubium [non] sit, an electione fati datâ, plures Reguli nasci, quam Mecanates velint. "Do you think Mecanas was very "happy, who was always sollicitous about intrigues, and com-" plaining of the refusals of an ill-natured wife, insomuch that " he could have no other fleep, but what was procured by the " agreeable found of fost music, at a distance. Those dozes " himself with wine,—he will be as restless in a bed of down, es as (Regulus) upon a gibbet.—So that there is no doubt, but " if fate would put it to men's choice, there would more men "choose to be born Regulus's than Mecanas's." SEN. Isti, quos pro felicibus aspicitis, si non qua occurrunt, sed qua latent, wideritis, miseri sunt. "Those men which you look upon to be happy, if you were to fee how different they are in private, " from what they are in public, you would think miserable." Idem.

fider

fider the filent pleasures of a lower fortune, arising from temperance, moderate desires, easy restexions, a consciousness of knowledge and truth; with other pleasures of the mind, much greater many times than those of the body. Before one can pronounce another happy or otherwise, he should know all the other's injoyments and all his sufferings. Many missortunes are compensated by some larger indowments, or extraordinary selicities in other respects. But suppose the pleasures of some, and the sufferings of some others, to be just they appear: still we know not the consequences

\* Archimedes, having found the way of folving a problem (examinandi, an corona aurea prorfus effet) ("viz. whether a crown was made of pure gold or no") ran in an ecftafy out of the bath, crying adjunct, "I have found out a folution;" but who ever heard of a man, that after a luxurious meal, or the injoyment of a woman, ran out thus, crying out Bicerua, or Inspirate, "I have glutted myself, I have injoyed her?" Plut.

t Fatis contraria fata rependens. "Balancing the loss deter"mind by one fate, with the prospect of good determind by
"another." Viro. See what Pliny writes of Agrippa, the other
great favorite and minister of Augustus, whom he reckons to be
the only instance of selicity among them who were called
Agrippa. Is quoq; adversa pedum valetudine, misera juventa, exercite evo inter arma mortesque,—inselici terris stirpe omni,—
preterea brevitate evi;—in tormentis adulteriorum conjugis, soceriq; pregravi servitio, laisse augurium preposteri natalis existimatur. "He also by a disease in his feet, by a miserable
"young time, having spent his years amongst arms and death,
"—all his relations miserable upon earth,—beside, his life
"very short,—it was the general opinion, that what his unna"tural birth foreboded was sulfilled in the torments he in"dured by his wise's adulteries, and the cruel bondage of his

υ 'Ορθάλμαν μὰν ἄμερος ἐΜε δ' ἐΜῶν ἀσιδίν. « The loss of his
 « (Homer's) eyes was compensated by the gift of sweet haremony." Hom.

" father-in-law."

of

of them w. The pleasures of those men may lead to miseries greater than those of the latter, and be in reality the greater misfortune: and, again, the fufferings of these may be preludes to succeeding advantages x. So that indeed we know not how to name these outward appearances of particular men, nor which to call bappiness, which the contrary; unless we knew the inward sense of the persons themselves, all their true circumstances, and what will be hereafter consequent upon their present success or adversity. 3. Men ought to be considered as members of families, nations, mankind, the universe, from which they cannot be separated: and then from the very condition of their being it will appear, that there must be great inequalities that the innocent cannot but be fometimes involved in general calamities or punishments, nor the guilty but share in public prosperities 2; and that the good of the whole fociety or kind is to be regarded preferably to the prefent pleasure of any individual, if they happen to clash . Lastly, if the

virtuous

W Zeno reckond he made a good voyage, when he was shipwracked. Dioc. L.

<sup>\*</sup> If a good man labors under poverty, fickness, or the like, sic sipadio to researches, farts & ideadarders, "it must end in "fomething that is good, either in his life-time or after death;" for how can he be neglected of God, who studies according to his poor abilities to be like Him? Plato.

Who blames a drama, because all the persons are not herees? PLOT.

<sup>&</sup>quot; We must judge of the world, "We must judge of the world, according to what it is as to the greatest part." ABARB. & pass.

Mip μir irea δλυ, καὶ ἐχ δλον μέρυς irea ἀπεργάζεται, υτλ.
 The part is made for the fake of the whole, and not the whole for the fake of the part." PLATO.

## 208 The RELIGION of NATURE, Sect. V.

virtuous man has undergone more in this life, than it would be reasonable he should suffer, if there was no other; yet those sufferings may not be unreasonable, if there is another. For they may be made up to him by fuch injoyments, as it would be reafonable for him to prefer, even with those previous mortifications, before the pleasures of this life with the loss of them. And moreover, sometimes the only way to the felicities of a better state may lie through dark and difficult passes, discipline to some men being necessary, to bring them to reflect, and to force them into fuch methods as may produce in them proper improvements; such, as otherwise and of themselves they would never have faln into. On the other fide, if vitious and wicked men do prosper and make a figure; yet it is possible their fufferings hereafter may be fuch, as that the excels of them above their past injoyments may be equal to the just multi of their villanies and wickedness. And further, their worldly pleasures (which must be supposed to be such as are not philosophical, or moderated and governd by reason and habits of virtue) being apt to fill the mind, and ingross the whole man, and by that means to exclude almost all right reflexions, with the proper applications of them, may be the very causes of their ruin; whilst they leave them under such defects at the end of their days, as we shall see afterward tend to unhappiness.

If what is objected be in many instances true, this only infers the necessity of a future state: that is, if good and bad men are not respectively treated according to reason in this life, they may yet be so treated, if this and another to follow be taken together

together into the account b. And perhaps it is (as I have been always apt to think) in order to convince us of the certainty of a future state, that instances of that kind have been so numerous. For he must not only be guilty of blasphemy, but reduced to the greatest absurdity, who, rather than he will own there is such a state, is forced to make God an unreasonable Being c: which I think amounts to a strong demonstration, that there is one. But of that more hereaster.

XIX. If we would behave ourselves as being what we cannot but be sensible we are, towards GOD as being what He is according to the foregoing propositions; or, if we would endeavour to behave ourselves towards him according to truth, we must observe these following and the like particulars.

1. We must not pretend to represent Him by any pisture or image what soever d. Because this is flatly

b Divine providence and the immortality of the foul must stand and fall together. Outroper in 1511 and land arangerra Stateper. "If you take away the one, the other will follow." Plut.

d Sure no body ever did in reality pretend to do this. According to Diog. L. the Egyptians fet up dγdλμαla, " fome ormanents," in their temples, τῷ μιὶ εἰδίται τὰν τῶ Θοῦ μορρὰν: for that very reason, because they did not know his shape; or, how to represent Him. Their images seem to have been symbols or hieroglyphics, expressing something of their sense or opinion concerning Him. For, as Maimonides observes, no man ever did or ever will worship an idol, made of metal, stone, or wood, as that Being who made heaven and earth.

P

210 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. to deny his incorporeity, incomprehensible nature, &c. °.

2. We ought to be fo far from doing this, that even the language we use, when we speak of Him, and especially of His positive nature and essential properties, ought not only to be chosen with the utmost care, but also to be understood in the sublimest sense: and the same is true with respect to our thoughts, mut. mutand f. Or thus: we must endeavour to think and speak of Him in the most reverent terms and most proper manner we are able g; keeping withal this general conclusion, and as it were habitual reflexion in our minds, that, tho we do the best we can, He is still something above all our conceptions; and defiring, that our faint expressions may be taken as aiming at a bigher and more proportionable meaning. To do otherwise implies not only, that His mode of existence and essential attributes are comprehensible by us, but also (which is more) that our words and phrases, taken from

e Non est dubium, quin religio nulla sit, ubicunq; simulachrum est. "Without doubt there can be no true religion, where "there are any images." LACT.

"by fuch representations as are agreeable to it's thoughts; wherefore it is but reasonable to think, that fins in our

"thoughts are not mere imaginations only, but works really done in the foul." St BASIL.

g Θεοπρεπώς ἀπανία τοῦντες. "Το think nothing but what is worthy of God." St Chrys.

among

f 'Ωι γὰρ ἔργοι σώματ@ τὸ σωματικῶς τι ἐπιτελίσω, ἔτω καὶ

ψυχῶς ἔργοι τὸ ταῖς ἐνιοίαις τὰς ἀρισκέσως φαντασίας τελυσκεργῶσας

ἐς θέλει, διὸ ἢ τὰς ἐνιοία ἀμαρτίας μὰ ἐς φαντασίας ἀπλῶς, ἀλλ' οῦς

ἐν ψυχῶ γιιόμεια δίκκιοι κρίνεισθαι. "For as, when any thing is
" done by the body, it is done groſsly, fo, when any thing is
" done by the foul, it is done according to its own will, and

among ourselves h and the objects of our faculties, are adequate expressions of them: contrary to truth.

To explain myself by a few instances. When we ascribe mercy to God, or implore His mercy, it must not be understood to be mercy like that, which is called compassion in us. For the this be a very distinguishing affection in human nature 1, to which we are made subject for good reasons, the constitution of the world and circumstances of our present state making it necessary for us to compassionate each the sufferings of another; yet it is accompanied with uneafiness, and must therefore not be ascribed strictly to God in that sense, in which it is pled when afcribed to ourselves. perhaps may not be amiss to call it Divine mercy, or the like, to distinguish it: and to shew, that we mean fomething, which, tho in our low way of speaking and by way of analogy we call it by the same name, is yet in the perfect nature of God very different. Or we may consider it in general as the manner, in which God respects poor suppliants and proper objects for their good. For certainly the respect or relation, which lies between God, confiderd as an unchangeable Being, and one

Ä

Ċ

n-

Œ

ø,

Ď,

Į.

ij

1,10

h We use them (and speak, as the Jews every where inculcate, ΣΤΑ 122 [10/7], "according to the language of men") only ἀπορία οἰκείας αξοκηγορίας—τὰ διόματα καρ ὑμίτ ἀγαπόμετα μεταφέροιδες, "for want of proper words,—we convert our fa-"vourite words into metaphors." Plot.

1 Mollissima corda Humano generi dare se natura satetur, Qua lachrymas dedit, hac nostri pars optima sensus.— separat hoc nos à grege mutorum, &c. "Nature confesses that she has given to mankind hearts that are very soft (and easy to be affected). She has given them tears, which are the best part of our senses—for these distinguish us from brute creatures." Juv.

that

212 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. that is humble and supplicates and endeavours to qualify himself for mercy, cannot be the same with that, which lies between the fame unchangeable God and one that is obstinate, and will not supplicate, or endeavour to qualify himself k: that is, the fame thing, or Being, cannot respect opposite and contradictory characters in the same manner; him who does behave himfelf as before, and him who does not. Therefore when we apply to the mercy of God, and beg of him to pity our infirmities and wants, the delign is not to move His affections, as good speakers move their auditors by the pathetic arts of rhetoric, or hearty beggars theirs by importunities and tears; but to express our own fense of ourselves and circumstances in fuch a manner, as may render us more capable of the emanations of Divine goodness, and fit to receive such instances of His beneficence, as to us may feem to be the effects of compassion, tho they proceed not from any alteration in the Deity. For it may be, and no doubt is agreeable to perfect reason always and without alteration, that he, who labors under a fense of his own defects, honestly uses his best endeavours to mend what is amiss. and (among other things) flies for relief to Him, upon whom his being and all that he has do depend, should have many things granted bim, which are not given to the careless, obdurate, unasking 1 part of mankind; the his expressions and

k The ratio of G to M+q is different from that of G to M-q: and yet G remains unalterd.

manner

<sup>1</sup> Πῶς ἀν δοία τῷ જલ્છેς τὰς ὁρμὰς αὐτιξεσία μὰ αἰτῶντι ὁ διδίναι σεφυκὸς Θεός; "Why should God, who is in his own nature be-"neficent, give any thing to a being whose appetites are in his "own power, if he does not ask it?" Hierocl.

manner of address, with all his care, are still inadequate, and below the Divine nature. In short, by our applications we cannot pretend to produce any alteration in the Deity, but by an alteration in ourselves we may alter the relation or respect lying between him and us.

As God is a pure, uncompounded Being, His attributes of mercy, justice, &c. cannot be as we conceive them: because in him they are one. Perhaps they may more properly be called together Divine reason: which, as it exerts itself upon this or that occasion, is by us variously denominated.

Here it must not be forgot, that mercy or mercies are many times taken for advantages or benefits injoyd by us: and then they are properly ascribed to God, from whom they proceed as the effects of His beneficence and providence.

When we speak of the knowledge of God, we must not mean, that He knows things in the way that we do: that any intention or operation of His mind is requisite to produce it: that He apprehends things by any impressions made upon Him: that He reasons by the help of ideas: or even that the knowledge, which in us is most intuitive and immediate, does in any degree come up to the mode in which He knows things. We must rather intend, in general, that there is nothing, of which He is, or can be ignorant: which has been said already; and is, I am asraid, as much as we can safely say.

When glory, bonor, praise m are given to God; or He is said to do any thing for His own glory, or

m Tõr des son vin Is in I παιν (Φ, αλλά μιῖζόν τι τι βίλτιαν. "Some-"thing greater and better, than praise, belongs to that which P 3 " is

we to propose the glory of His name in what we do; those words should not be taken as standing for that kind of glory and applause, which is so industriously sought, and capriciously a distributed among us mortals, and which I will take this opportunity to handle a little more largely, in order to give here a specimen of the world, and save that trouble in another place. Among us some are celebrated for small matters, either through the ignorance of the multitude, the partiality of a faction, the advantage of great friendships, the usual deference paid to men in eminent stations, or mere good luck o; and others for atchieving fuch things, as if they were duly weighed, and people were not imposed upon by false notions, first introduced in barbarous times, and fince polished and brought into fashion by historians, poets, and flatterers, would appear rather to be a difgrace to savages than any recommendation of rational and civilized natures. Strength, and courage, and beauty, and parts, and birth are followd with encomiums and honors, which, tho they may be the felicities and privileges of the possessions, cannot be their merit, who received them gratis, and contributed no-

thing

<sup>&</sup>quot; is perfectly good." Therefore i coic & rayabir, "God and perfect goodness" are above praise. Arist. Oi ric Suic inautives yaheisi sion, inin airis i ituires. "They who praise the Gods, make themselves ridiculous, for that is to equal them with ourselves." Andron. Rho.

n Cleon, only a songster [aidos], had a statue at Thebes, kept as sacred, when Pindar himself had none. See the story in Athenœus.

<sup>•</sup> What Seneca says of Alexander, is true of many an other heroe: pro wirtute erat felix temeritas, " that his successful " rashness was esteemed virtue."

thing p themselves toward the acquisition of them: whilst real virtue and industry (which, even when unsuccessful, or opprest by ill health or unkind fortune, give the truest title to praise) lie disregarded. Thirst after glory, when that is defired merely for its own fake, is founded in ambition and vanity 4: the thing itself is but a dream, and imagination; fince, according to the differing humors and fentiments of nations and ages, the fame thing may be either glorious or inglorious: the effect of it, confiderd still by itself, is neither more health, nor estate, nor knowledge, nor virtue to him who has it; or if that be any thing, it is but what must cease when the man ' dies: and, after all, as it lives but in the breath of the people, a little fly envy or a new turn of things extinguishes it 4, or perhaps it goes quite out of itself t. Men please themselves with notions of immortality, and fancy a perpetuity of fame fecured to themselves by books and testimonies of historians: but, alas! it is a stupid delusion, when they imagin themselves present,

P 4

and

P Tumes alto Drusorum sanguine, tanquam Feceris ipse aliquid, &c. "You puff yourself up, because you are of the noble blood of the Druss; as if you had done some (great) thing yourself." Juv.

<sup>9</sup> Gloria quantalibet quid erit, si gloria tantum est? "What "fignifies the highest degree of glory, if it be only mere glo-"ry?" Juv.

י מחר רימה ייום היום די ומחר בקבר היום חי ומחר רימה יידס. "To- day here, and to-morrow in the grave; now a man, and then a worm." S. Hhas.

<sup>\*</sup> Κτωμα σφαλεφότατον. "A very uncertain possession." Ph. Ju Do Even the great pyramid in Egypt, tho it still remains, hath not been able to preserve the true name of its builder; which is lost, one may justly wonder how.

216 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. and injoving that fame at the reading of their story after their death. And, beside, in reality the man is not known ever the more to posterity, because his name is transmitted to them: be doth not live, because his name does. When it is faid, 7. Cæsar subdued Gaul, beat Pompey, changed the Roman commonwealth into a monarchy, &c. it is the same thing, as to say, the conqueror of Pompey, &c. was Cefar: that is, Cefar and the conqueror of Pompey are the same thing; and Cæsar is as much known by the one designation as by the other. The amount then is only this: that the conqueror of Pompey conquerd Pompey; or some body conquerd Pompey; or rather, since Pompey is as little known now as Cæsar, some body conquerd some body ". Such a poor business is this boasted immortality ": and such, as has been here described, is the thing called glory among us! The notion of it may ferve to excite them, who having abilities to serve their country in time of real danger, or want, or to do some other good, have yet not philosophy enough to do this upon principles of virtue, or to fee through the glories of the world (just as we excite children by praising them; and as we fee many good inventions and improvements proceed from emulation and vani-

<sup>12</sup> Τα δνέματα τῶν σαλαι σολυυμτάτων τῶν τείπου τινα γλωσσώματα ἐςι. "The names of those, who in former times were " very much celebrated, are now some way or other become " quite obsolete." Μ. ΑΝΤΟΝ.

ty):

ty): but to discerning men this fame is mere air, and the next remove from nothing \*; what they despise, if not shun. I think there are two considerations, which may justify a defire of some glory or honor: and scarce more. When men have performd any virtuous actions, or such as sit easy upon their memories, it is a reasonable pleasure to have the testimony of the world added to that of their own consciences, that they have done well 7: and more than that, if the reputation acquired by any qualification or action may produce a man any real comfort or advantage (if it be only protection from the infolencies and injustice of mankind; or if it enables him to do by his authority more good to others), to have this privilege must be a great fatisfaction, and what a wife and good man may be allowd, as he has opportunity, to propose to himfelf. But then he proposes it no farther than it may be useful: and it can be no farther useful than he wants it. So that, upon the whole, glory, praise, and the like, are either mere vanity, or only valuable in proportion to our defetts and wants. If then those words are understood according to the import and value they have among men, how dares any one think, that the Supreme being can propose such a mean end to Himself as our praises? He can neither want, nor value them. Alexander,

<sup>\*</sup> Expende Hannibalem: quot libras in duce fummo Invenies? "Weigh Hannibal in the scales, and see how many pounds there remain of that great commander." Juv.

T Μίχει τῶθε εἰ Ἰπαινοι ἀνατοί εἰτη, εἰς ὅτο ἐν ἀπαἰνέμενς γνωρίζη ἵκας το τῶν λεγομένων σροσον ἰαυτῷ τὸ δὲ ὑπὶς τῶτο, ἀλλότειον, κλ. " Praifes may be borne, fo long as the perfon praifed "knows that all the things which are faid belong to him, but " all, that is beyond this is nothing to the purpose." LUCIAN.

according

The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. 218 according to his tafte of things, it may well be fupposed would have been proud to have heard that he should be the subject of some second Homer 2, in whose sheets his name might be inbalmed for ages to come; or to have been celebrated at Athens. the mother of so many wits and captains: but sure even be, with all his vanity, could not propose to himself as the end of all his fatigues and dangers only to be praifed by children, or rather by worms and insects, if they were capable of shewing some faint sense of his greatness 2. And yet how far short is this comparison! In conclusion therefore, tho men have been accustomed to speak of the Deity in terms taken from princes, and fuch things as they have, in their weakness, admired; tho these are now incorporated into the language of Divines; and tho, confidering what defects there are in our ways of thinking and fpeaking, we cannot well part with them all: yet we must remember to exalt the sense of them, or annex some mental qualification to the use of them. As, if God be said to do things for His own glory, the meaning I bumbly conceive must be, that the transcendent excellence of His nature may be collected from the form of the world and administration of things in it; where there occur such marks of inexpressible wisdom and power, that He needed not to have given us greater, had He only intended His own

As P saphon was celebrated by the birds, singing Μίγας θιὸς ψάφων, " P saphon is a great God." Μ. ΤΥR.

glory:

Managicas αὐτὸν ['Αχιλλία] ὅτι τοὶ ζῶν φίλε σιςῦ, τοὶ τολευσίσας μεγάλε πάρυν [ τυχε. "He esteemd him (Achilles) hap"py, because he had a faithful friend while living, and one
"that celebrated him highly after he was dead." Plut.

glory: or something to this purpose. Or if the glory of what we do, be ascribed to Him; by this must be signified, that no glory is due to us, who have no powers, but what originally depend upon Him; and that we desire therefore to acknowledge Him to be the true author of all that, which is laudable in us.

When we thank God for any deliverance or injoyment, this must not be so understood, as if He could value Himself upon our ceremonious acknowledgments, or wanted complements, or any return from us. It is rather a profession of the sense we have of our wants and defects, of the beneficence of His nature, and the greatness or seasonableness of the mercies received: an effort of a poor dependent being, who desires to own things, as far as he is able, to be what they are c; and especially to beget in himself such a disposition of mind, as he ought to have towards his Almighty benefactor.

When we are said to be fervants of God, or to ferve Him, or do Him fervice, these phrases are not to be taken as when one man is said to be servant of another, or to do him service. For here it implies the doing of something, which is useful and

beneficial

b Honoribus aucti—cùm diis gratias agimus, tum nibil nostræ laudi assumptum arbitramur. "When honors are heaped upon us—and we return thanks to the Gods, we do not then take any of the merit to ourselves." Cic. "Οτι ἀν ἀναθὸν κεὰνθρις εἰς Θεὸν ἀνάπεματε. "When you do any good thing, "ascribe it to God." A saying of Bias ap. Diog. L.

c Ei yaz eai µì surduida nar' aglar cord viro conisai,—dha' sucr tir nara suraur arerepair eixagislar sinaior dr sin. "For tho we cannot do the thing as it ought to be done—yet it is but just and fit that we offer up our thanksgiving, so far as is in our power." Chrys.

beneficial to the man who is ferved, and what he wants, or fancies he wants: but nothing of want can be supposed in God, nor can we any way be profitable or serviceable to Him. To serve Him therefore must rather be to worship or adore Him (of which fomething by and by). And thus that word in another language, of which our ferve is but the translation, is frequently used: as to serve a graven image d is to worship the image; but cannot fignify the doing of any thing, which may be ferviceable or useful to the dead stone. Or to serve God may be understood in a sense something like that: Serve the king of Babylon . For they were faid to ferve the king of Babylon, who ownd his authority, and lived according to his laws, tho they did nothing, nor had any thing perhaps, which could be particularly ferviceable to him: and fo they may be faid to ferve God, or to be His fervants, who live in a continual sense of His soveraign nature and power over them, and endeavour to conform themselves to the laws which He has imposed upon them f. In these senses we pray, that we may live to ferve Him: that is, we pray, that we may live to worship Him, and practise those

laws

של יאת פסיליהם היו עובדי פסל יאת פסיליהם היו עובדים מי who ferve images, are worshippers of images," & fim. paff.

Deut. xii. mention is made of the places, ששר עברו אשר עברו שווים, "where the nations ferved their images, &c." in the Chaldee paraphrase it is said יאלים "worshipped them;" and in the Septuagint it is said יאלים שיים "worshipped them" (in the ecclesiaftical sense) and the same in the Vulgar Latin.

י בבל ארן בבל (מלך בבל Serve the king of Babylon." f Plato applies the word ferve even to the laws themselves in that phrase, viz. לאבול היוני זייני לא to serve the laws."

laws of reason and virtue, to which rational natures are by Him subjected <sup>8</sup>.

Many more reflexions might be made upon epithets and ways of speaking, introduced by custom, from rude antiquity, or by necessity following from the narrowness either of men's minds, or their language. It is plain, that love, anger, bands, eyes, &c. when ascribed to God, cannot import such bodily parts or passions as are found in us. Even the pronouns, my, thy, bis (as His people, His house, &c.) require much temper in the use of them h.

3. We shall find ourselves bound to worship Him, in the best manner we can. For by worshipping Him I mean nothing but owning Him to be what He is, and ourselves to be what we are, by some more folemn and proper act: that is, by addressing ourselves as His dependents to Him as the Supreme canse, and Governor of the world, with acknowledgments of what we injoy, petitions for what we really want, or He knows to be convenient for us, and

<sup>\*</sup> Exercise είδι εξω ειλωδισπότε γιώμες σαρίχοντες. "We give "no more to Him, than to one whom we freely acknowledge" to have the dominion over us." Ph. Jud.

ה משכיל יביז ה "The wife will understand.

i Care must be taken how we pray, lest we should ask what may be hurtful to us. Οὐκοῦν δοκεῖ Φολλᾶς Φρομηθείας γε Φεροδεῖος βαι, ἔπως μὲ λέση τις αὐτὸν εὐχόμεν μεγάλα κακά, δοκῶν δ'ἀγαθά, "for there seems to be need of great prudence, lest a man, by not rightly understanding himself, should ask for such things as he imagins to be good for him, but which are indeed great evils." Plato. Evertere domos totas, optantibus ipsis, Di faciles, &c. "the Gods who are ready (to grant men's petitions) have overthrown whole houses, at the request of the owners, &c." is a Poet's observation. The author of S. Hbas.

The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. and the like. As if, ex. gr. I should in some humble and composed manner k pray to that Almighty being, upon whom depends the existence of the world. and by whose providence I have been preserved to this moment, and injoyd many undeserved advantages, that He would graciously accept my grateful sense and acknowledgments of all His beneficence toward me: that be would deliver me from the evil consequences of all my transgressions and follies: that He would indue me with such dispositions and powers, as may carry me innocently and safely through all future trials; and may inable me upon all occasions to behave myself conformably to the laws of reason, piously, and wisely: that He would suffer no being to injure me, no miffortune to befall me, nor me to burt myself by any error or misconduct of my own: that He would vouch-Jafe me clear and destinct perceptions of things; with so much health and prosperity, as may be good for me : that I may at least pass my time in peace, with contentment, and tranquillity of mind: and that, baving faitbfully discharged my duty to my family and friends, and endeavourd to improve myself in virtuous babits and useful knowledge, I may at last make a decent and bappy exit, and then find myself in some better state. Not to do this, or fomething like it, will certainly fall among those criminal omissions mentiond sect. I.

prop.

S. Hhaf. adds, that we should not pray for that אשא אש איי ארין געשה כפו הפבע העשינו ראוי , or ילשוה סי משינו ראוי העשה של ישה הקב"ה נס בשנוי עולם " which is not possible to " be done, ar which cannot be done according to the course of " nature, ar which is not sit to be done, ar that the holy Being (God) should work a miracle and alter the world."

צינו לכשה לבי למעלה. " With my eyes downward, and my heart lifted up."

prop. V. For never to acknowledge the injoyments and privileges we have received, and hold of God, is in effect to deny that we receive them from Him; not to apply to Him for what we want is to deny, either our wants, or His power of helping us; and so on: all contrary to truth.

It must ever be ownd, that no worship can be proportionable to the Divine nature and perfections; but yet that we are obliged to do what we can : therefore I added those words in the best man. ner we can. And it must be acknowledged further, that those words do not oblige us to be alweys at our devotions neither ". For as in the worship of God we own Him to be what He is, so must we do this as not denying ourselves to be what we are: beings not capable of bearing contional intention of mind; beings, that are incompassed with many wants, which by the constitution of our nature require to be supplied, not without care and activity joind to our prayers; beings, that are made for many bormless injoyments; beings, that have many offices to perform one for another; and beings, in whom, all things considerd, it would

be

יהתפלה ענף מטחטף מן ההשנחה. "Prayer is a branch of providence shading us." Albo. כל מאמין Albo. בל מאמין "He that believes in providence, must believe that prayer is profitable to him." Id.

m Like those 'Azosuntai' "wakeful people" at Confiantinople particularly, who continued divine service night and day without intermission. Or the Messalians perhaps (1') Ψ). Εὐχίται), "(praying people") who placed (or pretended to place) all religion in prayer, μότη σχολάζειν τῷ εκροσουχῷ εκροσουχος εκροσουχος

224 The Religion of Nature. Sect. V.

be less respect to be constantly in the formal act of devotion, than it is to address ourselves to Him with prepared minds, at certain times, or upon certain occasions. To be always thus ingaged, if it could be, would be to make God what He is not: since it seems to suppose, that He wants it and we merit of Him by it; or that He is bound to give what we ask, without our endeavouring; or, at least, that He is a Being obnoxious to importunity and teasing. For these reasons I have also in the explication of my meaning inserted that limitation, by some solemn and proper ass.

Tho every man knows best his own opportunities and circumstances, and therefore may be most able to judge for himself, how he may best perform this duty; yet in general it may be faid, that to the doing of it folemnly and in the best manner we can these things are required: an intent mind ", proper times and places, a proper form of words, and a proper posture. For if the mind be absent, or attends not to what is said, it is not the man that prays: this is only as it were the noise of a machine, which is put into motion indeed, but without any consciousness of its own act. To repeat one's prayers with moving lips, but alienated thoughts, is not to pray in the best manner we can: because it is not in a manner agreeable to what we are, or to truth. For this is to do it only as speaking, and not as thinking beings.

Upon

תפלה שאינה בכוונה אינה תפלה "If a prayer is not performd with earneftness, it is no prayer." MAIM. "A prayer suspended in the mind." S. Hhas. and the like every where.

Upon this account it will be certain, that all times and places cannot be equally proper °. Some times are ingrossed by the business of life, and some places lie exposed to interruptions. Those of retreat and filence ought to be sought, and, as far as fairly it may be, contrived. And for this further reason, because the farther we are removed from the notice of others, the clearer we stand of all oftentation: that is, the more we do it upon the score of truth and duty; and this is again, the more truly and dutifully we do it.

Our next care is a proper form of words. All prayer must either be vocal, or mental. Now even that which is called mental can scarce be made without words p, or something equivalent s. (I believe,

P 'O μὲν λόγ@ ἐρμηνεὐς διανοίας πεὸς ἀνθερόπες ὰ δὲ διάνοια γίνεται τῷ λόγῳ τὰ σεὸς τὸν θεόν. "Words are the interpreters of our thoughts to men, and we also make known our thoughts to God by words." Ph. Jud.

q Cogitation itself, according to Plato, is a kind of speech of the mind For he calls τὸ διανοῦσθαι (cogitation) "or think-" ing," λόγοι, ὂι αὐτὰ πρὸς αὐτὰ τὶ ψυχὰ διεξίρχεται, περὶ ῶι ἀι σκοπῆ, "the language by which the soul explains itself to itself,
 Q "when

This in general is true: notwithflanding which I do not deny but there may be occasions, when εδεν κωνύει τόπω, εδε εμπδίζει καιείς άλλα κεν γόνατα με κλίνης,—διάνοιαν δε μόγον επιδιέπ θερμέν, τὸ από απώρτισας τῶς εὐχῆς ἔξετε καὶ γυναϊκα ελακατικα κατίχυσαν καὶ ἐκεργῶσαν ἀναδλόψαι εἰς τὸν εἰςκιὸν τῷ διανοία, κὲ καιδιόαι μετὰ θερμότητω τὸν θόιζοντα εὐχὰς αποιείσθαι ἐκτενεῖς, κλ. " the place is no hindrance, nor the time any interruption.—" let him shew a fervent affection of mind, for this is the per- fection of prayer; and a woman, even whilst she is spinning or weaving, may in her thoughts look up to heaven and call upon God with fervency; and a man as he is going to market, and walking by himself, may pray very intentively." S. Chrys.

## 226 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V.

lieve, that even the deaf and dumb form to themselves some kind of language: I mean something, which supplies the room of language.) For thoughts in their naked state, devested of all words, and taken merely by themselves, are such subtle and fleeting things, as are scarce capable of making any appearance in the mind; at least of being detaind, compared together, and ranged into fentences. If a fentence may be so made up of sensible ideas as to fublist in the mind by the help of those images which remain in the phantafy, after the manner of a sentence exprest in pictures, or by bieroglyphics: yet fuch a fentence must be very imperfect, through the want of grammatical inflexions, particles, and other additions necessary to modify and connect the ideas, of which (particles,  $\mathcal{C}_{\ell}$ .) there can be no images '; and indeed little more than a fet of disjointed conceptions, scarce exhibiting any fense without the affiftance of language to fill up the blanks: and beside that, a prayer cannot be made out of fuch fentences as those. It is by the help of words, at least in great measure, that we even reafon and discourse within ourselves, as well as communicate our thoughts and discourse with others: and if any one observes himself well, he will find, that he thinks, as well as fpeaks in some language,

and

<sup>&</sup>quot; when it confiders any thing." And so Plotinus, "O & φωνη λόγ & μίμημα τῶ & ψυχή, "the vocal words are an imitation of 
" those of the foul."

Multa funt werba, quæ, quasi articuli, connectunt membra orationis, quæ formari similitudine nulla possumt. "There are many words (particles) which are like small joints, to connect the several sentences, which cannot be exhibited by any images." Cic.

and that in thinking he supposes and runs over filently and habitually those founds, which in speaking he actually makes. This is the cause why men can scarce write well in any language but their own: for whilst they think in their own, their style and fpeech, which is but the portraiture of their thoughts, must have the turn and genius of their own language, to what language foever the particular words belong. In short, words seem to be as it were bodies or vehicles to the fense or meaning, which is the spiritual part, and which without the other can hardly be fixt in the mind. Let any man try ingenuously, whether he can think over but that short prayer in Plato, Ta wer isha, xth. abstracted quite from those and all other words. One may apply his mind to the words of a prayer pronounced by another, and by taking them in make them bis own; or he may be as it were his own reader, and pronounce them bimself; or he may lay before him a prayer in writing, and so carry his eyes and his mind together through it; or he may go over a form of words imprinted on his memory; or he may put words together in his mind ex tempore: but still in all these ways words and language are used. And since to think over a fet of words cannot be a more adequate manner of

Q 2 addressing

<sup>•</sup> תפלה בלא כונה כגוף בלא נשמה "A prayer, with-"out the intention of the mind, is like a body without a foul." NAHH. AB.

t Alcib. 2. The words of the Poet in Plato are these: "O"
"Jupiter, our king, give us those things that are good for us,

whether we ask for them or no; and command those things that are hurtful to be kept from us, though we pray for

<sup>&</sup>quot; them."

addressing to God (who neither speaks, nor thinks like us) than to speak it over and think too; and moreover, since the very sound of the words affects us, and, when the form is ready prepared, and the mind freed from the labor of composing, doth really help attention ": I say, since this is the case, it must be better, when we have opportunity, to pronounce a prayer ", than only to think it over. But then it should be spoken no louder (I mean when we pray privately), than just to make it audible to ourselves ". It is not upon God's account that we speak, since he would know even our thoughts:

" דכור ארכן חוא בכונה וכר "When a man fpeaks dif"tinctly, it is always with intenseness." Abarb. That in S.

Hhared. quoted out of ממ"ק" the lefter book of precepts," explains this thus: מולה כאלו מונה
"דקרק בכל מלה ומלה כאלו מונה
"He will consider every word exactly, as if he was "looking over his debts."

"—Ut eos [deos,] semper pura—mente & voce veneremur.
"—That we may always worship them" (the Gods, in the style of the Heathers) "with a pure—mind, and with pure "words." Cic. "Ω τῶν ἀλλων ζώων ὑπὸ σῦ, Δίσποτα, αρείτθονες, γεγόναμεν, τύτω τὰν σὲ εὐλογεῖν μεγαλειότατα αρέπει. "That as "thou, O Lord, hast made us better than other creatures, so it becomes us the more to praise thy greatness;" says Solsmon in his prayer ap. Joseph.

\* This we find often among the Dinim "orders" of the לפיעי. הברכות כולן צריך שישמיע לאזניו מהשהוא אומר. הברכות כולן צריך שישמיע לאזניו מהשהוא אומר. "It is neceffary in all our prayers, that we fo fpeak as to be "heard by ourfelves." Maim. And R. Elaz. Azquari, having cited this paffage, adds אל השמים שאם רוב הפוסקים שאם "In general the judges agree in this, that if he does not hear his own felf, he is guilty (of a "crime.") Maimonides in another place expresses himself thus: לא יתפלל בלבו [לבר] אלא מחתך הדברים בשפחיו לא יתפלל בלבו [לבר] אלא מחתך הדברים בשפחיו הוא יום לאוניו בלחש האונים לאוניו בלחש" his mind, but pronounce the words distinctly with his lips. "and

thoughts: but it is upon our own account, and to make our adorations, tho imperfect at the best, as compleat as we are able. (Which, by the way, is an answer to them, who object against prayer the impertinence of talking to God.) This being premised, and it being found that we must make use of words, it cannot be denied that we ought to use the best and properest we can. This cannot be done in extemporaneous effusions: and therefore there must be forms premeditated; the best, that we are capable of making or procuring, if we would worship God to the best of our capacity. As a prayer ought to have all the marks of seriousness and being in earnest, it ought to be the plainest, and at the same time is perhaps the bardest of all compositions. It ought to take in a general view of what we have injoyd, what we want, what we have done, &c. and every thing ought to be exprest with method, in phrases that are grave and pointing, and with fuch a true eloquence, as ingages all our attention, and represents our deepest sense, without affectation or needless repetitions. These considerations have caused me many times to wonder at those men, who dispute against pre-conceived forms of prayer. They, who talk so much of the spirit of prayer, feem to know but little of it.

As to the *posture*, that is best, which best expresses our humility, reverence, and earnestness,

" presence could appear to him." Or hhaiy.

 $Q_3$ 

and

<sup>&</sup>quot; and whisper so as to hear himself." (That word 717, on" ly," I inserted from Shulbh. aruk.) The same occurs in Or
bhadash, & pass.

יהמתפלל—יחשוב כאילו שכינה כנגדו וכן' י He " that prays—should think about it as much as if the divine " presence could appear to him " Or blair

and affects us most. The perhaps some regard is to be paid to the customs of the place where we are; or of our own country to which we have been most used. Several nations may denote the same thing by different gestures: and we may take these, as we do their words; i. e. as having that signification which they put upon them.

Tho I have not hitherto mentiond it, there ought to be also a public worship of the Deity. For a man may be considerd as a member of a society, and as such he ought to worship God (if he has the opportunity of doing it: if there are proper prayers used publicly, which he may resort to; and his health, &c. permit). Or the society may be confiderd as one body, that has common interests and concerns, and as such is obliged to worship the Deity, and offer one common prayer. Befide, there are many, who know not of themselves, bow to pray; perhaps cannot so much as read. These too must be taken as they are, and consequently fome time and place appointed, where they may have fuitable prayers red to them, and be guided in their devotions. And further, toward the keeping mankind in order, it is necessary there should be some religion profest, and even establishd; which cannot be without some public worship. And were it not for that sense of virtue, which is principally preserved (so far as it is preferved) by national forms and babits of religion, men would foon lose it all, run wild, prey upon one another, and do what else the worst of savages do.

But how does this *public* worship, it may be demanded, comport with that retreat and *privacy* recom-

넒

32

N

£

<u>::0</u>

42

. SSA

ď

Ŋ,

J.

3

j.

or.

ಜ್ಕ

al.

Ú.

h

İ

z.

ű.

Į,

ď

recommended above? Ans. I spoke there of prayer in general, to which those circumstances give a great advantage: but then they are recommended no farther, than they can be had, and the nature of the prayer admits of them. Excuse a short reflexion here, which if it be not directly for the purpose, is not altogether foreign to it. Tho he who reads the form of public prayer reads it to all at the same time, that all may unite in one common act, which otherwise they could not do: yet ftill every particular person, who minds the prayers at all, has a separate perception of the words in his mind, and there he offers them, or the sense containd under them, with more or less application and ardor. And fince no man can be faid to pray any further than he does this: and it cannot be known to any body in the congregation beside himself, how far he doth do it; his prayer is in reality as private, as if he was inclosed within a thousand walls. So that, though there are reasons for a public worship, yet I will venture to affirm, that all true prayer is private: and the true seat of it being in the mind, toward the interesting of whose powers all the circumstances of worship are mainly defigned to contribute, it may be faid upon that account to be always made in the most retired and undiscerned of all retreats 2: nor can more be said in respect of a worship, which by the terms is in other respects public. A man may be present in a congregation, and either pray the same prayer in which others feem to join, or some other,

Γ,

<sup>2</sup> Er vi elem olor reg. " In a private retirement, as in a temple." PLOTIN.

Q 4 or

232 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. V. or none at all \*, for ought any body there can tell besides himself.

I am not insensible how much I may expose myself by these things to the laughter of some, who are utter strangers to all this language. What a stir is here, say they, about praying? Who ever observed, that they who pray are more successful or happy, than they are who do not? Ans. All observations of this kind must be very subricous and uncertain. We neither know what other men are inwardly and really b, nor how they pray c, nor what to call success d. That, which is good for one, may be bad for another: and that, which seems good at present, may at length be evil, or intro-

\* S. Chrysoftom fays some are so unmindful of what they are about, that they know not so much as what they say them-selves. Εἰσίρχονται σολλὸι ἀ τῷ ἐκκλυσία,—καὶ ἰξίρχονται, καὶ ἐκ οἔ-δασι τὶ ἔπον· τὰ χείλυ κινεῖται, ὁδὶ ἀκοὶ ἐκ ἀκνέει. "A great many come to church,—and go home again, without so much as knowing what they have said. Their lips moved, but their words were not heard."

b The very Heathens thought, that the Gods would not hear the prayers of wicked men. Bias happening to be with some such in the same ship, when a great storm arose and they (being now frighted) began to invoke their deities, cries out, Italian, m) air surran inac is 3dds anioras, "Hold your tongues, they'll take no notice of us, while we sail here." D.L.

c C. Cestius' ap. Tac. says, principes quidem instar deorum esse: fed neque a diis nist justas supplicum preces audiri. "Princes indeed are like Gods, but the Gods themselves will not hear
the prayers of the supplicant, unless they be just."

d Sometimes σλόον δμίσυ σταντός, "half is better than the "whole:" that is, as Plato paraphrases those words of Hesiod, Τὸ άμισυ τῶ σταντὸς στολλάκις ἐςὶ σλίον, ὁπόταν ἢ τὸ μὰν ὅλον λαμαθάνεις ζημιῶδες, κλ. "Many times half is better than the whole, "and when it is so, to receive the whole is an injury to us."

duce

duce fomething which is so °. And as to the profperity of them, who endeavour to worship God in
a proper and reasonable manner, whatever it is,
perhaps it might be less, if they did not; or their
misfortunes might be greater: who can be certain
of the contrary? If these gentlemen have any way
of discovering it, I wish they would impart their
secret. In the mean time sure they cannot expect,
that even in the most imperfect sketch of natural
religion the worship of the Deity should be omitted:
that very thing, which hath been principally intended by the word religion s.

4. And lastly, to deliver what remains, summarily; Rational beings, or they, to whom reason is the great law of their nature, if they would behave themselves as above, should consider in earnest, what a mighty being He is, who by the constitution of their nature has laid them under an obligation of being governd by it, and whose laws the distates of right reason may be said to be. They ought to keep it well imprest upon their minds, that He is the be-

f Religio deorum cultu pio continetur. "Religion confifts in a "devout worshipping of the Gods." Cic. Qui omnia, quæ ad cultum deorum pertinerent, diligenter retractarent, & tanquam relegerent, sunt dicti religiosi, &c. "They are called religious "persons, because they are continually revolving and repeating over and over again the things that belong to the wor-

ship of the Gods." Id.

1

١,

ing

e Quid quod iste calculi candore laudatus dies originem mali habuit? Quam multos accepta afflixere imperia? quam multos bona perdidere, & ultimis mersere supplicits? "What if that day, "which came up lucky, should be the beginning of evil? How many, in great power, have been ruined by it? How many hath prosperity destroyd, and subjected them to the greatest punishments?" PLIN.

ing, upon whom their very existence depends: that it is He who superintends and administers the affairs of the world by His providence: that the effects of His power and influence are visible before their faces, and round about them, in all the phenomena of nature, not one of which could be without Him: that they are always in His presence: that He is a being of perfett reason: that, if it be reasonable, that the transgressors of reason should be punished, they will most certainly, one time or other, be punished, &c. And then, if they do this, it is easy to see what effect it must have upon all their thoughts, words, and astions.

By what is said here, no superstition is intended to be introduced: it is only the practice of reason and truth, which is required: and any thing, that is not inconsistent with them, may be freely done, tho under the inspection of our great Lawgiver himself.

SECT. VI. Truths respecting Mankind in general, antecedent to all human laws.

I N this and the following fections I shall proceed as in the foregoing.

I. Every man hath in himself a principle of individuation, which distinguishes and separates him from all

Particularly with respect to customary swearing; which, beside the ill consequences it has in making oaths cheap, &c. is a great instance of disregard and irreverence. For they, who use themselves to it do, at least, make the tremendous name of God to serve for an expletive only; and commonly to rude, passionate,

17

thz

Į.

for

ıı.

u:

t be

te m

ıal

ndel

hat

œ,

ver

all other men in such a manner, as may render him and them capable of distinct properties in things (or distinct subjects of property). That is, B and C are so distinguished, or exist so distinctly, that if there he any thing which B can call his, it will be for that reason not C's: and v. v. what is C's will for that reason not be B's. The proof of this I put upon every man's own conscience. Let us see then whether there is any thing, which one man may truly call his.

II. There are some things, to which (at least before the case is altered by voluntary subjection, compact, or the like) every individual man has, or may have, such a natural and immediate relation, that he only of all mankind can call them his.

The life, limbs, &cc. of B are as much his, as B is bimself b. It is impossible for C, or any other to see with the eyes of B: therefore they are eyes only to B: and when they cease to be bis eyes, they cease to be eyes at all. He then has the sole property in them, it being impossible in nature, that the eyes of B should ever be the eyes of C.

Further, the *labor* of B cannot be the labor of C: because it is the application of the organs and powers of B, not of C, to the effecting of something; and therefore the *labor* is as much B's, as the *limbs* and *faculties* made use of are his.

passionate, or debauched discourse (λόγον αναπλίεσμα ανοιέμενοι τὸ ἀγιώτατον καὶ θεῖον ὄνομα, "making use of the most holy "name of God, only to fill up the sentence with.") Ph. Jud.

h Oὐδιν ἄτας ἡμίτιερν ἐςιν, ὡς ἡμιῖς ἡμῖν αὐτοῖς. "Nothing is so much our own, as we ourselves are." XEN.

Again,

## 236 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VI.

Again, the effect or produce of the labor of B is not the effect of the labor of C: and therefore this effect or produce is B's, not C's; as much B's, as the labor was B's and not C's. Because, what the labor of B causes or produces, B produces by his labor; or it is the product of B by his labor: that is, it is B's product, not C's, or any other's. And if C should pretend to any property in that, which B only can truly call bis, he would act contrary to truth k.

Lastly, there may be many things, which B may truly call bis in some such sense, or upon some such account, as no other can: and to which C has no more right than D, nor D than F, &c. the property of which will therefore be in B. Because C has no more title than D, nor D than F, &c. and that, to which every one besides B has an equal title, no one besides B can have any title to at all 1, their pretences mutually balancing and destroying each other, whilst his only remains. And in this case a small matter, being opposed to nothing, will be strong enough to maintain the claim of B.

III.

i And therefore the produce of a man's labor is often still called his labor. So יגיע בין וויי, "ftrangers devour his "labor," and אויי, "thou shalt eat the labor of thine hands;" in Pfalm. & al. passim.—Iliadumque labor vesses. "—Garments which were the labor of the Trojan wo-" men." Virg.

k If B works for another man, who pays him for his work, or labor, that alters not the case. He may commute them for money, because they are bis.

<sup>1</sup> Tanquam Sparti illi poetarum, fic se invicem jugulant, ut nemo ex omnibus restet. "Like those Spartans mentiond by the Poets, "who cut one another's throats, so that not one of them all remaind," as Lactantius says in another case.

7

41

П

ī

7)

1

III. Whatever is inconsistent with the general peace and welfare (or good) of mankind, is inconfiftent with the laws of human nature, wrong, intolerable. Those maxims may be esteemd the natural and true laws of any particular fociety, which are most proper to procure the bappiness of it. Because bappiness is the end of fociety and laws: otherwise we might suppose unbappiness to be proposed as the right end of them; that is, unhappiness to be desirable, contrary to nature and truth. And what is faid of a particular society is not less true, when applied to the universal society of mankind. Now those things are most apt to produce happiness, which make the most men happy. And therefore those maxims or principles, which promote the general tranquillity and well being of mankind, if those words express the bappiness of mankind, must be the true laws of humanity, or the basis of them: and all such practices, as interfere with these, must also interfere with those. It is contradictory to say, that any thing can be a general law of buman nature, which tends only to favor the pleasures of some particulars to the prejudice of the rest, who partake of the fame common nature; and especially if these pleafures are of the lower and brutal kind. As a million of men are more than one; so in fixing the public laws of human nature, and what ought to be, or not to be, they must in reason be more regarded by a million of times: for here we consider men only as men.

It will be easy now to shew, that the transgression of these laws, conducing to the general good of the world, is wrong and morally evil. For if mankind

kind may be faid in general to be a rational animal, the general welfare of it must be the welfare of a rational nature: and therefore that, and the laws which advance it, must be founded in reason; nor can be opposed by any thing, but what is opposite to reason, and consequently to truth.

Let us suppose some rule, by which if all mankind would agree to govern themselves, it would be in general good for the world: that is, fuch a practice would be agreeable to the nature and circumstances of mankind. If all men should transgress this rule, what would be the consequence of fuch an universal revolt? A general evil, or something disagreeable to our nature and the truth of our circumstances: for of contrary practices there must be contrary effects; and contraries cannot both be agreeable to the fame thing. This then would be wrong by the terms. And as wrong it would be in any one man: because all the individuals have equal right to do it, one as much as another; and therefore all as much as any one. At least it is certain, that whoever should violate that rule, would contribute his share towards the introduction of universal disorder and misery: and would for his part deny human circumstances to be what they are, public happiness to be what it is, and the rule to be what it really is, as much as if all others conspired with him in this iniquity and madness.

With what face can any particular man put his own humor or unreasonable pleasure into the scale against such a weight of happiness as that of all the world? Does not he, who thus centers in himfelf, disregard the good of every body else, and intirely

tirely separates his injoyments and interests from those of the public; does not he, I say, strike himself out of the roll of mankind ? Ought he to be ownd as one of them? Ought he not rather to be repelled and treated as an alien and enemy to the common happiness and tranquillity of our species?

IV. Whatever is either reasonable or unreasonable in B with respect to C, would be just the same in C with respect to B, if the case was inverted. Because reason is universal, and respects cases, not persons. (See sect. III. pr. II.)

Cor. Hence it follows, that a good way to know what is right or wrong in relation to other men, is to confider what we should take things to be, were we in their circumstances p.

V. In a state of nature men are equal in respect of dominion q. I except for the present the case of pa-

m 'Aιθεωπόμορου Sueior. "A wild beast in the shape of a "man." Ph. Iud.

n Nec enim equus judex aliam de sua, aliam de aliena causa, sententiam fert. "A fair judge will not give a different sentence in his own cause, from that which he gives in the cause of another." Sen.

o'An' raura and ye ran airar pirane. "We must always understand the same things relating to the same things." Isoc.

"You must not אל חדין חברך ער שחניע למקומו. "You must not indige your companion, till you have put yourself in his place." P. Aboth. Eo loco nos constituamus, quo ille est, cui irascimur. "We ought to put ourselves in the place of him we are angry with." Sen.

4 He was a mere flatterer, who told Cyrus, Baσιλευς μεν ξμος γιο δοκείς σο φύσει σειφυκίναι εδεν απίοι α δ εν τῷ σμάνει φυόμεν τῶν μεν λιπίων αγαμών. "You feem to me to be born a king as much by " nature, as he who is born in the hive is the king of the bees." ΧΕΝ.

rents

240 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VI. rents and their children, and perhaps of some few other near relations. Here let me be understood to mean only those, between whom there is no family relation (or between whom all family relation is vanishd).

In a state, where no laws of society make any subordination or distinction, men can only be considered as men, or only as individuals of the same species, and equally sharing in one common definition. And since by virtue of this same definition B is the same to C, that C is to B; B has no more dominion over C than C reciprocally has over B: that is, they are in this regard equal.

Personal excellencies or defects can make no difference here: because, 1. Who must judge, on which fide the advantage lies? To fay B (or D, or any body else) has a right to judge to the disadvantage of C, is to suppose what is in question, a dominion over him; not to prove it. 2. Great natural or acquired indowments may be privileges to them who have them: but this does not deprive those, who have less, of their title to what they have; or, which is the same, give any one, who has greater abilities, a right to take it, or the use of it from them. If B has better eyes than C, it is well for him: but it does not follow from this, that C should not therefore see for himself, and use bis eyes, as freely as B may bis. C's eyes are accommodated by nature to his use, and so are B's to

his 💃

r Nibil est unum uni tam simile, tam par, quàm omnes inter nosmet ipsos sumus.—Quæcunq; est hominis definitio, una in omnes valet. "There is no one thing more like or equal to another, than we all are amongst ourselves.—Whatever definition we give of a man, the same will hold good of us all." Cic.

od

ır

Ji

İ

e s Of

•

龙

μ

his; and each has the fole property in his own: so their respective properties are equal. The case would be parallel to this, if B should happen to have better intellectual faculties than C. And further, if B should be stronger than C, he would not yet for that reason have any right to be his lord. For C's less degree of strength is as much his, as B's greater is bis: therefore C has as much right to his, and (which is the natural consequence) to use his, as B has to use his: that is, C has as much right to refift, as B has to impose or command, by virtue of his strength: and where the right (tho not the power) of resisting is equal to the right of commanding, the right of commanding or dominion is nothing. 3. Since strength and power are most apt to pretend a title to dominion s, it may be added further, that power and right, or a power of doing any thing, and right to do it, are quite different ideas: and therefore they may be separated, nor does one inferr the other. Lastly, if power, quà power, gives a right to dominion, it gives a right to every thing, that is obnoxious to it; and, then nothing can be done that is wrong. (For no body can do any thing which he has not the power to do.) But this is not only contrary to what has been proved in fect. I. but to affert it would be to advance a plain absurdity or contradiction rather.

R

For

<sup>\*</sup> When the Romans, in Livy, asked the Galls, Quodnam id jus esset, agrum à possessories petere, aut minari arma, "Where is the justice of demanding the lands of the owners or else threatening them with the sword;" they answerd, se in armis jus ferre, & omnia fortium virorum esse, "that their swords were their law, and that valiant men had a right to every thing." Like barbarians indeed!

242 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VI.

For then to oppose the man who has this power, as far as one can, or (which is the same) as far as one has the power to do it, would not be wrong: and yet so it must be, if he has a right to dominion, or to be not opposed. Moreover, that a man should have a right to any thing, merely because he has the power to take it, is a doctrine indeed, which may serve a few tyrants, or some banditti and rogues, but directly opposite to the peace and general good of mankind; and therefore to be exploded, by prop. III. It is also what the powerful themselves could not allow, if they would but imagine themselves to be in the state of the weak and more defenceles; and therefore unreasonable, by prop. IV to

VI. No man can have a right to begin to interrupt the bappiness of another. Because, in the first place, this supposes a dominion over him, and the most absolute too that can be. In the next, for B to begin to disturb the peace and happiness of C is what B would think unreasonable, if he was in C's case. In the last, since it is supposed, that C has never invaded the happiness of B, nor taken any thing from him, nor at all meddled with him, but the whole transaction begins originally from B (for all this is couchd in the word begin), C can have nothing that is B's; and therefore nothing, to

which

t Josephus, when he says, νόμον γε μεν αξιάθαι, κεί απας θερεν εν εχυρέτατον, κεί απας ανθερπαις, είκαν τοῦς δυναπαντερους, "that it is an established law, and it is the strongest amongst both beasts and amongst men, νία. to submit to them that "have the most power," can only mean, that necessity, or perhaps prudence, obliges to do this; not any law in the stricter sense of that word.

73

which C has not at least as good a title as B has; or, in other words, nothing, which C has not as much right to keep as B to claim. These two rights being then at least equal, and counterpossing each other, no alteration in the present state of things can follow from any superiority of right in B: and therefore it must of right remain as it is; and what C has must, for any right that B has to oppose this settlement, remain with C in his undisturbed possession. But the argument is still stronger on the side of C: because he seems to have such a property in his own happiness, as is mentiond in prop. II. such a one as no other can have ".

VII. The no man can have a right to begin to interrupt another man's happiness, or to burt him; yet every man has a right to defend himself and his against violence, to recover what is taken by force from him, and even to make reprisals, by all the means that truth and prudence permit \*. We have seen already, that there are some things, which a man may truly call his; and let us for the present only suppose, that there may be more. This premised, I proceed to make good the proposition.

To deny a man the privilege mentiond in it is to affert, contrary to truth, either that he bas not the

.

Digitized by Google

faculties

Societatis [inter bomines] arclissimum vinculum est magis arbitrari esse contra naturam, hominem homini detrahere, sui commodi causa, quam omnia incommoda subire, &c. "The strongest" bond of society amongst men is, to think that it is more contrary to nature, for one man to take away that which

<sup>&</sup>quot; belongs to another, to advantage himself, than it is to undergo all the inconveniences that can be, &c." Cic.

w All this is supposed to be in a state of nature and the absence of human laws.

faculties and powers, which he bas; or that the Author of nature has given them to him in vain. For to what end has he them, if he may not use them? And how may he use them, if not for his own preservation, when he is attackd, and like to be abused, or perhaps destroyd?

All animals have a principle of felf-preservation, which exerts itself many times with an uncontroulable impetuosity. Nature is uniform in this, and every where constant to itself. Even inanimate bodies, when they are acted upon, react. And one may be sure, that no position can have any foundation in nature, or be consistent with it and truth (those inseparable companions), which turns upon nature itself, and tends to its destruction.

Great part of the general happiness of mankind depends upon those means, by which the innocent may be saved from their cruel invaders: among which the opportunities they have of defending themselves may be reckond the chief. Therefore to debar men of the use of these opportunities, and the right of defending themselves against injurious treatment and violence must be inconsistent with the laws of nature by prop. III.

If a man has no right to defend himself and what is his, he can have no right to any thing (the contrary to which has been already in part, and will by and by be more amply proved); since that cannot be his right, which he may not maintain to be his right.

If a man has no right to defend himself against insults, &c. it must be because the aggressor has a right to assail the other, and usurp what is his: but this pretension has been prevented in the forego-

Digitized by Google

IJ

Žį.

Œ

හ

٧

ing proposition. And, more than that, it includes a great absurdity, to commence an injury, or to begin the violence, being in nature more than only to repell it. He, who begins, is the true cause of all that follows: and whatever falls upon him from the opposition made by the defending party, is but the effect of his own act: or, it is that violence, of which he is the author, reflected back upon himfelf. It is as when a man spits at heaven, and the spittle falls back upon his own face.

Since he, who begins to violate the happiness of another, does what is wrong, he, who endeavours to obviate or put a stop to that violence, does in that respect what is right, by the terms.

Lastly, fince every man is obliged to consult his own happiness, there can be no doubt but that he not only may, but even ought to defend it (fect. II. prop. IX); in fuch a manner I mean, as does not interfere with truth \*, or his own defign of being happy. He ought indeed not to act rashly, or do more than the end proposed requires: that is, he ought by a prudent carriage and wife forecast to flut up, if he can, the avenues by which he may be invaded; and when that cannot be done, to use arguments and perfualives, or perhaps withdraw out of the way of harm: but when these measures are ineffectual or impracticable, he must take such other as he can, and confront force with force. Otherwise he will fail in his duty to himself, and deny happiness to be happiness.

R 3

By

<sup>\*</sup> For eio alinar range coust, o artirolar range eller arlor coust range, range, range autoritus, " if he who does an act of injustice does an ill thing, he that returns the injustice, does a thing equally ill, tho it be by way of retaliation." MAX. Tyr.

## 246 The Religion of Nature. Sect. VI.

By the same means, that a man may defend what is his, he may certainly endeavour to recover what has been by any kind of violence or villainy taken from him. For it has been shewn already, that the power to take any thing from another gives no right to it. The right then to that, which has been taken from its owner against his will, remains still where it was: he may still truly call it his: and if it be bis, he may use it as bis: which if he who took it away, or any other, shall hinder him from doing, that man is even here the aggressor, and the owner does but defend himself and what is his. Besides, he, who uses any thing as bis, when it is bis, acts on the fide of truth: but that man, who opposes him in this, and consequently afferts a right to that, which is not his, acts contrary to truth. The former therefore does what cannot be amis: but what the latter does, is wrong by that fundamental propolition, sect. I. prop. IV.

Then further, if a man hath still a right to what is forceably or without his confent taken from him, he must have a right to the value of it. For the thing is to him what it is in value to him: and the right he has to it, may be considerd as a right to a thing of fuch a value. So that if the very thing which was taken be destroyd, or cannot be retrieved, the proprietor nevertheless retains his right to a thing of fuch a value to bim; and fomething must be had in lieu of it: that is, he has a right to make reprisals. Since every thing is to every man what it is in value to him, things of the same value to any one may be reckond as to him the fame, and to recover the equivalent the same as to recover the thing itself: for otherwise it is not an equivalent.

.

Į

1

K

ß

ĸ.

Ç.

ű

'n.

M.

lent. If the thing taken by way of reprifal should be to the man, from whom it is taken, of greater value than what he wrongfully took from the recoverer, he must charge himself with that loss. If injustice be done him, it is done by himself, the other has no more than what he has a right to. To which add, that as a man has a right to recover what is his, or the equivalent, from an invader; so he seems for the same reasons to have a right to an equivalent for the expense he is at in recovering his own, for the loss of time and quiet, and for the trouble, hazards, and dangers undergone: because all these are the effects of the invasion, and therefore to be added to the invader's account.

VIII. The first possession of a thing gives the possession a greater right to it, than any other man has, or can have, till be and all, that claim under him, are extinct. For, 1. till then no other man can be the first possession: which is more than nothing; since he comes into it by God's providence, and as it were donation. 2. That, which no man has yet any title to 7, the finder may take without the violation of any truth. He doth not deny that to be another man's, which is another man's: he doth not begin to interrupt the happiness of any body, &c. Therefore to possess himself of it is not wrong. So far from it, that, since every man is obliged to consult his own happiness (that is, his own interest and advantages, whenever he can do it without

the

Digitized by Google

I Nam propriæ telluris berum natura neq; illum, Nec me, nec quenquam statuit. "For nature did not make him, nor me, nor "any one else, the owner of any particular piece of land." Hor.

248 The Religion of Nature. Sect. VI. the violation of truth) not to act confenantly to this obligation is an omission that would be wrong. What he does therefore is right. And then if he does right in taking possession of it, he must from thence be the rightful possessor; or, it becomes his. 3. There are many things, which cannot be posfest without cultivation and the contrivance and labor of the first possessor. This has generally been the case of lands: and these are indeed more eminently meant by the word possessions. Now to deprive a man of the fruit of bis own cares and fweat, and to enter upon it, as if it was the effect of the intruder's pains and travel, is a most manifest violation of truth. It is afferting in fact that to be his, which cannot be his. See prop. II. 4. The contrary doctrine, viz. that prime occupancy gives no right, interferes with prop. III. for it must certainly be inconsistent with the peace and bappiness of mankind in general to be left in endless wars and struggles for that, which no man can ever have any right to. And yet thus it must be if that doctrine was true: because it has been demonstrated, that power confers no right; and therefore the first right to many things can only accrue from the first possession of them. 5. If B should endeavour by force (or fraud) to eject C out of the possession of any thing, which C injoys, and obtaind without expelling or disturbing any body, he would certainly do that, which he himself would judge unreasonable, were he in C's place. Therefore he acts, as if that was not reason with respect to C, which would be reason in respect of B; contrary to the nature of reason, and to prop. IV. 6. To endeavour to turn a man violently out of his posfes-

possessions is the same as to command him to leave them, upon pain of fuffering for non-obedience. But this is usurping a dominion, which he has no right to; and is contrary to prop. V. 7. No man can expell another out of his possession without beginning to interrupt his happiness: nor can any one do this without contravening the truth containd in prop. VI. This therefore secures the posseffor in his possession for ever: that is, it confirms his right to the thing possest. Lastly, the first posfeffor, of whom I have been speaking, has undoubtedly a right to defend bis person, and such other things as can only be bis, against the attempts of any aggressor (see prop. II.): therefore these no one can have a right to violate. And therefore again, if he cannot be forceably dispossest without violence offerd to these, no one has any right to disposses him. But this must be the case, where the possession does not quit his possession willingly. The right consequently must remain solely in him, unless he consents to quit it.

Œ

œ

**(**2

Œ

Œ

OÙ.

(T

N. The fuccessors of an invader, got into posfession wrongfully, may acquire a right in time z, by the failure of such, as might claim under him who had the right. For he, who happens to be in possession, when all these are extinct, is in the place of a prime occupant.

IX. A

<sup>2</sup> Τ de ατώσει, καὶ τὰς iδίας καὶ τὰς αοίνὰς δε ἐπεγένεται σολύς χρόν , αυρίας καὶ σατρῶας ἄπαντες εἶναι νομίζεσει. "They think, "that possessions, whether private or public, after they have "continued for a long time, are secure, and belong to the fa- "mily." Isock,

IX. A title to many things may be transferred by compatt or donation. If B has the fole right in lands, or goods, no body has any right to the difposal of them besides B: and he has a right. For disposing of them is but using them as bis. Therefore the act of B in exchanging them for some thing else, or bestowing them upon C, interferes not with truth: and so B does nothing that is wrong. Nor does C do any thing against truth, or that is wrong, in taking them: because he treats them as being what they are; as things, which come to him by the act of that person, in whom is lodged the sole power of disposing of them. Thus C gets the title innocently.

But in the case of compact the reason, on which this transaction stands, is more evident still. For the contractors are supposed to receive each from other the equivalent of that which they part with, or at least what is equivalent to them respectively, or perhaps by each party preserable. Thus neither of them is hurt: perhaps both advantaged. And so each of them treats the thing, which he receives upon the innocent exchange, as being what it is: better for him, and promoting his convenience and happiness. Indeed he, who receives the value of any thing, and what he likes as well, in effect has it still. His property is not diminished: the situation and matter of it is only alterd.

Man-

a To this may be reduced that title to things, which Tully mentions as conferred by some law (lege); and even those, which accrue conditione, "by covenant," or forte, "by lot." For I suppose the government to have a right of giving them thus.

Mankind could not well subsist without bartering one thing for another: therefore whatever tends to take away the benefit of this intercourse, is inconsistent with the general good of mankind, &c. If a man could find the necessaries of life without it, and by himself, he must at least want many of the comforts of it.

X. There is then such a thing as property, founded in nature and truth b: or, there are things, which one man only can, consistently with nature and truth, call his: by prop. II, VIII, IX. c.

Which must not give way to the opinions of strees, &c. The master was in the right, who corrected Cyrus for adjudging the great coat to the great boy, and the little one to the little. He was not in equation exercise, "a judge of the strees," but of the property. Ornnium, que in hominum docume disputatione versantur, nibil est prosectio præstabilius, quam plane intelligi nos adjustitiam esse natos, neque opinione, sed natura constitutum esse jus. "Of all the things that learned men dispute about, there is none better than this, that we should be those roughly convinced, that we were born to do what is right, and that right is not made by opinion but by nature." CIC.

c There is another way of acquiring a title mentiond: which is, by the right of war, as it is called. Sunt privata nulla naturâ: sel aut veteri occupatione, ut qui quondam in vacua venerunt; aut victoriâ, ut qui bello potiti sunt, &c. "Nothing belongs to particular persons by nature: but either by long possession, as when men, a long while since, came into lands which had no owners; or else by victory, as they who ensight them from war, &c." Cic. And so in Xenophon it is said to be an eternal law among men, that if a city be taken in war, the bodies and goods of the people in it are the conqueror's; and they may possess them as their own, not dadetel, "as belonging to others." But sure this wants limitations.

XI. Those

XI. Those things, which only one man can truly and properly call his, must remain his, till he agrees to part with them (if they are such, as he may part with) by compast or donation; or (which must be understood) till they fail, or death extinguishes him and his title together, and he delivers the lamp to his next man. Because no one can deprive him of them without his approbation, but the depriver must use them as his, when they are not his, in contradiction to truth. For,

XII. To have the property of any thing and to have the fole right of using and disposing of it are the same thing: they are equipollent expressions. For when it is said, that P has the property, or that such a thing is proper to P, it is not said, that P and Q or P and others have the property (proprium limits the thing to P only): and when any thing is said to be his, it is not said that part of it only is his. P has therefore the all or all-hood d of it, and consequently all the use of it. And then, since the all of it to him, or all that P can have of it, is but the use and disposal of it, he

d Aliodium, "Freehold."

<sup>\*</sup> Πολλακις εγέλασα διαθάκας αναγενώσκων λεγέσας όδείνα μεν έχεντα τὰν δεσποτείαν τῶν ἀγοῶν, ἃ τῆς οἰκίας, τὰν δὲ χρῶσιν ἄλλῶν. Πάντες γὰρ τὰν χρῶσιν ἔχομεν, δισποτείαν δὲ ἐδείς.— ἡ ἐκέντες, ἡ ἀκοντες ὰ τῷ τελευτῷ σαραχωράσομεν ἐτίσοις, τὰν χρῶσιν καςπωσάμενοι μόνον. " I " have often-times laughd, when I read any of those wills, in " which it is faid, let such or such an one be the real owner of the lands or houses; and let another person have the use of them; for the use is all that belongs to any of us, we are not " the real owners.— After death they go to others, whether we " will or no, when we have enjoyd the use only." S.Chrys.

Ŋ.

he who has this has the thing itself, and it is his '.

Laws indeed have introduced a way of speaking, by which the property and the usufrust are distinguished; but in truth the usufrustuary has a temporary or limited property; and the proprietary has a perpetual usufrust, either at present, or in reversion. Propriety without the use (if the use is never come to the proprietary) is an empty sound.

I have before upon some occasions taken it as granted, that he, who uses any thing as bis, when it is not bis, acts against truth, &c. but now I say further, that,

XIII. He, who uses or disposes of any thing, does by that declare it to be his. Because this is all, that

Titus mir quose idirect is mer nue col, remo d'à in diadon in nue nue coir air cir déges or magadamedororres, con conceptos dismoras romizimes a amendar i moros some appende mariant a magadator don diameter. "We are not by nature the real owners of any of "these things, but are invested by law or by succession with "the use of them for an uncertain time, and are therefore called temporary tenants; and when the time prescribed is "past, then they go to another, and he enjoys the same title." Luc.

f Qui te pascit ager, tuus est, "The field that maintains you, is your field, &sc." Horace, alluding to this truth. Πιες σαντός, "As to the matter of injuries," says Plato, " είς ποθω τοι- είνδε δι τι νόμιμον βιαίων σέςι τῶν ἀλλοτείων μαδίνα μαδίν είρειν μαδέ αγων "there is only some such general law as this for every "man, νία. that no man should plunder, or by violence take "any thing that belongs to another:" and then proceeds, μαδ "αν χρῆσθαι μαδίνι τῶν τῶ σέλας, ἐαν μὰ σείση τὸν κεκταμένεν, κτλ. "nor make any use of any thing that comes in their way, "without the leave of the owner." In Plutarch the thing is carried farther: where it is said, that a man passing by another man's door ought μὰ βλέπειν είσω, κλ. "ought not to look in;" according to a saying of Xenocrates, μαδίν διαφίρειν ἡ τὰς σόδας ἡ τὰς ὁρδαλμὰς εἰς αλλοτείαν οἰκίαν τιθίνει, " there is no difference betwixt looking in, and going into another man's house."

he,

he, whose it really is, can do. Borrowing and biring afford no objection to this. When the borrower or hirer uses the thing borrowd or hired, he uses what is bis own for the time allowd: and his doing so is only one of those ways, in which the true proprietary disposes of it.

XIV. To usurp or invade the property of another man is injustice: or, more fully, to take, detain, use, destroy, burt, or meddle with any thing that is his without his allowance, either by force or fraud or any other way, or even to attempt any of these, or assistent, who do, are acts of injustice. The contrary; to render and permit quietly to every one what is his, is justice. Def.

XV. He that would not violate truth, must avoid all injustice: or, all injustice is wrong and evil. It interferes with the truths here before laid down, and perhaps more. It denies men to be subjects capable of distinct properties: in some cases it denies them to have a property even in their own bodies, life, fame, and the like: the practice of it is incompatible with the peace and bappiness of mankind: it is what every man thinks unreasonable in bis own case, when the injury is done to himself:

钗

<sup>\*\*</sup> Furtum fit,---cum quis alienam rem invite domino contrectat.

"It is real theft—to meddle with any thing that belongs to another against his will." Just. inft.

<sup>&</sup>quot; On the contrary המשוד הינשה העשה, "We shall make justice, we shall make truth." A saying of היב"ל Rabbi Joshuah the son of Levi." And Cicero more than once uses the word verum "true" for justum "just," and veritas truth" for benitas "goodness" or probjtas "probity."

to take any thing from another only because I think I want it, or because I have power to take it, and will have it, without any title to it, is the highest pretence to dominion, and denial of our natural equality: it is setting up a right to begin to disturb the happiness of others: and lastly, it is to deny there is any such thing as property, contrary to truth.

Briefly, if there be any thing which P can truly and properly call bis, then, if T takes or uses it without the consent of P; he declares it to be bis (for if it was bis he could do no more) when it is not bis, and so acts a lye!: in which consists the idea and formal ratio of moral evil.

The very attempting any instance of injustice, or assisting others in such an attempt, since it is attempting and promoting what is wrong, is being in the wrong as much as one is able to be; or doing what one can to atchieve that which is evil: and to do this, by the terms, must be wrong and evil.

Even the defire of obtaining any thing unjustly is evil: because to desire to do evil, by the terms again, is an evil or criminal desire. If the act fol-

lows

Account to ord photo ord sires, to so addote por, some ister, dadote or, "that only your own, which really is so; and look upon that as another's, which really is so." Epictetus's words.
Justiciae primum munus est, ut ne cui quis noceat, nist lacessitus injuria; deinde, ut communibus pro communibus utatur, privatis ut suis. "The first property of justice is, that no man should do any hurt to another, unless provoked by some injury; after this, he is to make use of those things that are common, in common with others, and use the things that belong to himself as his own." Crc. This is to use things as being what they are.

256 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VI.

lows fuch a defire, it is the child and product of it: and the defire, if any thing renders the fulfilling of it impracticable, is the act obstructed in the begin-

ning, and stifled in the womb.

Let it be observed here by way of scholion concerning the thing called cavetousness, that there seem to be three sorts of it. One is this here mentiond: a desire of getting from others, tho it be unjustly. This is wrong and wicked. Another is an immense desire of heaping up what one can by just methods, but without any reasonable end proposed k, and only in order to keep, and as it were bury it m: and the more he accumulates, the more he craves n. This also intrenches upon truth, and seems to be a vice. But to covet to obtain what is another man's by just means, and with his consent, when it may contribute to the happiness of ourselves or families, and perhaps of the other person too, has nothing surely that looks unfriendly upon

1 Or only we's to destruit, " to be perpetually telling it

" over," as Anacharsis said of some Greeks. ATHEN.

m As that man, in Atheneus, indeavourd literally to do; of whom it is reported, that, being much in love with his money, before he died he swallowd as much of it as he could (καταπίστα τα όλιγας χρυσῖς αποθανίν, 46 he swallowd a great

" many pieces of gold and then died").

truth,

k Blepfias i δανειτώς, "the usurer," in Lucian, dies of hunger (λιμοῦ αθλιθο ελέγετο απεσαλημένας, "the miserable wretch is reported to have pined away till he died"). Ridiculous enough.

ה Of fuch it is, that Diogenes used to say, "Ομοίας τὰς φιλαργύμες τοῦς υδεφπικοῦς, κλ. " That covetous men were like men that " had the dropfy." Stob. The Mamsbilim, that is, " the " writers of proverbs," mentiond in Nahh. Ab. compare them החרום כי כל עור שישתה מהמים המלוחים כי כל עור שישתה מהמים לי " to thirsty people drinking salt water, the more " they drink, the drier they are."

truth, or is blameable in it. This, if it may be called covetousness, is a virtuous covetousness.

Œ

ti

Ċį

ľ

Œ

XVI. When a man cares not what sufferings be causes to others, and especially if he delights in other men's sufferings and makes them his sport, this is what I call cruelty. And not to be affected with the sufferings of other people, the they proceed not from us, but from others, or from causes in which we are not concernd, is unmercifulness. Mercy and bumanity are the reverse of these.

XVII. He, who religiously regards truth and nature, will not only be not unjust, but (more) not unmerciful, and much less cruel. Not to be affected with the afflictions of others, so far as we know them, and in proportion to the feveral degrees and circumstances of them, tho we are not the causes of them, is the same as to consider the afflicted as persons not in affliction; that is, as being not what they are, or (which is the fame) as being what they are not: and this contradicts matter of faEt.

One can scarce know the sufferings of another without having at least some image of them in his mind: nor can one have these images without being conscious of them, and as it were feeling them. Next to fuffering itself is to carry the representation of it about with one. So that he, who is not affected with the calamities of others, so far as they fall within his knowledge, may be faid to know and not to know; or at least to cancel his know-

ledge, and contradict his own confcience.

There

## 258 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VI.

There is fomething in buman nature • refulting from our very make and constitution, while it retains its genuin form, and is not alterd by vitious habits; not perverted by transports of revenge or fury, by ambition, company, or false philosophy p; nor opprest by stupidity and neglecting to observe what happens to others: I say, there is something, which renders us obnoxious to the pains of others, causes us to sympathize with them, and almost comprehends us in their case. It is grievous to see or hear (and almost to hear of) any man, or even any animal whatever, in torment. This compassion appears eminently in them, who upon other accounts are justly reckond amongst the best of men q; in some degree it appears in almost all; nay, even

• Properly called bumanity; because nothing of it appears in brutes. הבהמה אינה מקפרת וחוששת בצער חברתה, "for brutes have no concern or uneasiness at their companions being in pain." S. Hhas.

P When Seneca says, Clementiam—omnes boni præstabunt, mifericordiam autem vitabunt, "all good men should shew mild"ness, but avoid shewing pity," he seems only to quibble.
He has many other weak things upon this subject. That (sentence) succurret [sapiens] alienis lachrymis, non accedet, "a wise
"man will relieve a person in tears, but not cry himself,"
owns one use of tears: they obtain succour even from a Stoic.

9 'Arabel decodarous dropes." Good men are very apt to shed tears." They, who of all writers undertake to imitate nature most, oft introduce even their heroes weeping. (See how Homer represents Ulyses Od. c. 151,—2,—7,—8.) The tears of men are in truth very different from the cries and ejulations of children. They are filent streams, and flow from other causes; commonly some tender, or perhaps philosophical, reflexion. It is easy to see how hard hearts and dry eyes come to be sashionable. But for all that, it is certain the glandulæ. lacrymales, "the glands we use when we cry," are not made for nothing.

fome-

fometimes, when they more coolly attend to things, in those hardend and execrable monsters of cruelty themselves, who seem just to retain only the least tincture of humanity that can be. The Pherean tyrant, who had never wept over any of those murders he had caused among his own citizens, wept when he saw a tragedy but acted in the theatre :: the reason was, his attention was caught here, and he more observed the sufferings of Hecuba and Andromache, than ever he had those of the Phereans: and more impartially, being no otherwise concernd in them but as a common spectator. Upon this occasion the principle of compassion, implanted in human nature, appeard, overcame his habits of cruelty, broke through his petrifaction, and would shew that it could not be totally eradicated. It is therefore according to nature to be affected with the fufferings of other people: and the contrary is inbuman and unnatural.

Such are the circumstances of mankind, that we cannot (or but very few of us, God knows) make our way through this world without encountering dangers and suffering many evils: and therefore since it is for the good of such, as are so exposed or actually smarting under pain or trouble, to receive comfort and assistance from others, without which they must commonly continue to be miserable, or perish, it is for the common good and welfare of the majority at least of mankind, that they should compassionate and help each other. To

r Plut.

<sup>\*</sup> A generous nature pities even an enemy in diffres. Έποικτείου δί τι τ Δύςπτος Ιμπης, καίπερ διτα δυσμετά. " I always pity a " man in misery, altho he be my enemy." Soph.

S 2 do

do the RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VI do the contrary must therefore be contrary to nature and wrong by prop. III. And beside, it is by one's behaviour and actions to affirm, that the circumstances of men in this world are not what they are; or that peace, and health, and happiness, and the like, are not what they are.

Let a man fubstitute bimself into the room of some poor creature dejected with invincible poverty, distracted with difficulties, or groaning under the pangs of some disease, or the anguish of some hurt or wound, and without help abandond to want and pain. In this distress what reslexions can he imagine be should bave, if he found that every body neglected him, no body so much as pitying him, or vouchsafing to take notice of his calamitous and sad condition? It is certain, that what it would be reasonable or unreasonable for others to do in respect of bim, he must allow to be reasonable or unreasonable for him to do in respect of them, or deny a manifest truth in prop. IV.

If unmercifulness, as before defined, be wrong, no time need to be spent in proving that cruelty is so. For all that is culpable in unmercifulness, is containd in cruelty, with additions and aggravations. Cruelty not only denies due regard to the sufferings of others, but causes them; or perhaps delights in them, and (which is the most insolent and cruel of all cruelties) makes them a jest and subject of raillery. If the one be a desect of humanity, the other is diametrically opposite to it. If

the

t Est hominum naturæ, quam sequi debemus, maximè inimica crudelitas. "Cruelty is the most contrary that can be to human nature, which we ought to follow." CIC.

the one does no good, the other does much evil. And no man, how cruel foever in reality he was, has ever liked to be reckond a cruel man: fuch a confession of guilt does nature extort; so universally doth it reject, condemn, abhor this character.

sb

2

XVIII. The practice of justice and mercy is just as right, as injustice, unmercifulness, and cruelty are wrong. This follows from the nature of contraries. Beside, not to be just to a man is to be not just, or unjust to him: and so not to be merciful is to be unmerciful, or perhaps cruel.

Here I might end this section: but perhaps it may not be improper to be a little more particular. Therefore.

XIX. From the foregoing propositions may be deduced the beinousness of all such crimes, as murder, or even burting the person of another any bow, when our own necessary defence does not require it (it being not possible, that any thing should be more bis, than bis own person, life and limbs); robbing, stealing, cheating, betraying; defamation, detraction, defiling the bed of another man, et cat. with all the approaches and tendencies to them. For these are not only comprised within the definition of injustice, and are therefore violations of those truths, which are violated by that; but commonly, and fome of them always, come within the description of cruelty too. All which is evident at first fight with respect to murder, robbery, cheating, flandering, &c. especially if a man brings bimself into the case, and views himself in his own imagination as renderd scandalous by calumniators and lyers; stript  $S_3$ 

262 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VI. by thieves; ruind in his fortunes and undone by knaves; flruggling to no purpose, convulsed and agonizing under the knife of some truculent ruffian; or the like.

The fame is altogether as plain in the case of adultery ", when any one " infnares, and corrupts the wife of another; notwithstanding the protection it gains from false notions, great examples \*, and the commonness of the crime y. For (the nature of matrimony being for the present supposed to be such, as it will appear by and by to be) the adulterer denies the property a husband has in his wife by compact, the most express and facred that can possibly be made: he does that, which tends to subvert the peace of families, confounds relation, and is altogether inconfiftent with the order and tranquillity of the world, and therefore with the laws of human nature: he does what no man in his wits could think reasonable, or even tolerable, were he the person wrongd z: briefly, he impudently

<sup>&</sup>quot; Δεινόν μεν ο αλέπτης, ελλ' είχ ετα είς ο μοιχές. " A thief is a hor" rid creature, but not so bad as an adulterer." CHRYS.

w One of the Subjeffores alienorum matrimoniorum, "them "that lie in wait for other men's wives," as they are calld in Valerius Maximus.

<sup>\*</sup> Palam apparet, adhuc ætate Divi Hieronymi adulterium capite solere puniri: nunc magnatum lusus est. "It is very manisest"
that, in the time of St Jerom, adultery was punished with
death: but now it is the sport of great men." Scholiast on
St Jerom.

י For hence follows impunity, & c. משרבו מנאפים פסקו המרום המרום המרום המרום המרום המרום י Grow the overflowing of it, the adulterous " derive bitter waters." Mi∫on.

z Is, qui nullius non uxorem concupiscit,--idem uxorem suam aspici non vult: & sidei acerrimus exactor, est persidus: & mendacia

. 11

21

5

ά

œ

'n

ith

1131

扯

3

ż

الما

31

مخلق

اکائی 1

11

dently treats a woman as bis own woman (or wife 2), who is not bis, but another's, contrary to justice, truth and fall b. Nor is this simple injustice only, but injustice, for which no reparation can be made if the injured man thinks so; as he generally does (fee fect. II. prop. I. obf. 4.) injustice accompanied with the greatest cruelty; so complicated, as scarce any other can be. The bulband is for ever robbed of all that pleasure and satisfaction, which arises from the wife's fidelity and affection to him '; prefuming upon which he took her to be not only the partner of his bed, but the companion of his life, and sharer in all his fortunes d: and into the

dacia persequitur, ipse perjurus. "He who desires every other " man's wife---will not have his own lookd upon; and is very " strict with other men to keep their word, but breaks his " own; profecutes others for lying, and is perjured himself." SEN.

<sup>2</sup> MUN, The saure youarea. "His own wife."

b What a monster in nature must he be, who, as if it was meritorious to dare to act against all these, (to use Seneca's words again) satis justam causam putat amandi, quod aliena est [uxor]? "Who thinks it a sufficient reason to be in love with her, be-" cause she is another man's wife."

C Oudi γαρ क्रेन' इंग्डराण कांमकाँग, क्रंट क्रे σαμα μόνον διαφθείρεται της μοιχουομένας γυναικός, άλλ' οἱ δεῖ τάλαθες εἰποῖι, ἡ ψυχὰ ΦΘ τῶ σάματ@ sic addorciarir idilerai, didarnouiru marra roomer amospiperdai, xol μισείν τον ανθεα मु मैंनीον αν Αν Αν θεινόν, εί το μίσ 🗗 έπεθείανυτο έμφανέςς жтл. " For we may not only affirm, that the body of an adul-"terous woman is not all that is corrupted; but if we would " speak the truth, that her mind is more habitually alienated " (from her husband) than her body; for she is taught to have " an utter aversion and hatred to him, and it is no wonder if " she shews her hatred in public." PH. JUD.

d Marriage is zorraria adritos το βίε, - οίχειστίςα ή μείζαι των άλ. Xar [xourariar], " the partaking equally of every thing in life " --- more freely and familiarly, than in any other (socie-

" ty)." Isoca,

S 4

room

room of them succeed painful and destructive passions. The poor woman herself, tho she may be deluded f, and not see at present her guilt, or the consequences of it, usually pays dear for her security and want of guard, the husband becoming cold and averse to her, and she full of apprehensions and sears with a particular dread of his surther resentment. And their affairs, in this disjointed and distracted condition, are neglected; innocent children slighted, and left unprovided for, without so much as the comfort of any certain relations to pity them for the surface of

The adulterer may not be permitted to extenuate his crime by fuch impertinent fimile's and rakish talk, as are commonly used for that purpose \*.

When

e 'Aπαλδιζώον. "The foft creature." St BAS.

f Επασας, εξέδωψας, "over-persuaded and enticed," says the penitent woman in Sophocles. PLUT.

<sup>8</sup> Ψυχεβι σαεαγαλισμα—Γυνί κακί ξύνευτ . "A cold embrace"—to have a lewd woman for a wife." Soph.

h Quid enim salvi est mulieri, amissa pudicitia? "What esse can be safe, when the woman has lost her modesty?" Livr.

i Oi μπδιν κόικκκότες άθλιοι στάιδες μπδ ετίρω γίνα σροστεμεθεται δυνάμενοι, με τε τῷ τῶ γέμαντ , με τε τῷ τῶ μείχε. "The miser- able children, who have done no body any injury, will not be ownd by any relations, either of the married person or of

be ownd by any relations, either of the married person or o the adulterer." PH. JUD.

k Such as Ariftippus uses to Diogenes, ap. Athen. "Ace γι μά τι σοι άτοποι δοκει είναι Διογειλε οίκιαν οίκει», αι παρόπεροι είκαναν άλλοι; κ'ράς των τί δε ναῦν, αι παρόλοι συπλεθκασιν; κ'θλ πῶτο τον έτνε τός τον είναι το Τον μα το Τον

. [

P

1

œ

Ш

'n

z ri

Ġ,

When any one wrongs another of his property, he wrongs him of what it is to him, the proprietor: and the value must be set according to what he esteems it to be, not what the injurer, who perhaps has no taste of virtuous pleasures, may think it to (See p. 54, 55. obf. 3, 4.) Nor may these thefts be excused from their secrecy. For 1. the injustice of the fact is the same in itself, whether known, or not. In either case truth is denied: and a lye is as much a lye, when it is whisperd, as when it is proclaimd at the market-cross. 2. It has been shewd (sect. II.) that the rectitude of our actions and way to happiness are coincident; and that fuch acts, as are difagreeable to truth, and wrong in themselves, tend to make men ultimately unbappy 1. Things are fo orderd and disposed by the Author of nature, or fuch a constitution of things flows from him, that it must be so. And fince no retreat can be impervious to his eye, no corner so much out of the way, as not to be within his plan, no doubt there is to every wrong and vitious act a fuitable degree of unhappiness and punishment annext, which the criminal will be fure to meet with some time or other m. For his own fake therefore he ought not to depend upon the dark-

ferpent upon a rock, and of a ship in the sea, הבושה שעה שעה יוכר אחר שעה "which leave no track to be seen af"ter them;" and therefore she משל משר ביה של משר אחר אחר וה חוכל לומר לא ther mouth," and then thinks that אחר זה חוכל לומר לא see where mouth," after wards, What have I done a"miss?" See Qab. wenagi.

1 Nemo malus felix: minime corruptor, &c. "No bad man can be happy, to be sure no debauchee can, &c." Juv.

ness

m 'Araπόδρας @ γαρό θεί@ νόμ. "There is no escaping the divine law." PLOTIN.

ness of the deed. But lastly, it can hardly be, but that it must be discoverd. People generally rise in vice, grow impudent and vain and careless, and discover themselves: the opportunities contrived for it must be liable to observation: some considerts must be trusted, who may betray the secret, and upon any little distaste probably will do it: and beside, love is quick of apprehension?

It will be easily perceived from what has been faid, that if to murder, rob, &c. are unjust and crimes of a heinous nature, all those things which have any tendency toward them, or affinity with them, or any way countenance them, must be in their degree criminal q: because they are of the same complexion with that which they tend to, tho not of the same growth, nor matured into the gross act, or perhaps do not operate so presently, apparently, or certainly. Envy, malice, and the like, are conatus's toward the destruction or ruin of the person, who is the object of these unhappy passions. To throw dust upon a man's reputation by innuendo's, ironies, &c. may not indeed sully it all at once, as when dirt is thrown, or gross ca-

lumnies;

<sup>\*</sup> Kai γ de de σασαυτίκα κεύ ψες, υ ενερον όρθηση. "For, if you are hid for the prefent, you will be found out afterwards." Isock. Μαρτυρήσεστι— εκίνη κ. ο κύχνο ο Μεγαπίνθες "The bed, the lamp, will bear testimony, O Megapenthus." Lucian.

o 'Hoori με γαράπάντου αλαζούς ατον. " Pleasure is the aptest of any thing to boast." PLATO.

P Quid non fentit amor? "What is it that love can't fee?" OVID.

<sup>9 &#</sup>x27;Αγαθόν ε τό με adiasir, αλλά τό μεθι εθίλειν. "To be good is not only not to do an injury, but not fo much as to defire to do one." A gnome "faying" of Democrates.

י אבק לשון הרע " The dust of an ill tongue."

Ų,

1

ı

Ė

ſ:

d١

t

Ú

íŻ.

¢#

Iumnies; yet it infects the air, and may destroy it by a lingring poison. To expose another by the strength of a jesting talent, or harder temper of face, is to wound him, though it be in an invisible place. Many freedoms and reputed civilities of barbarian extract, and especially gallantries, that proceed not to consummate wickedness, nor perhaps are intended to be carried so far, may yet divert people's affections from their proper object, and debauch the mind. By stories or insinuations to sow the seeds of discord and quarrels between men is to murder, or hurt them, by another hand. Even for men to intermeddle in other people's affairs, as busy bodies and aλλοτοιοικίσκοποι do, is to assume a province, which is not theirs; to concern

יהמלבין פני חבירו ברבים אין לו חלק לעה"ה. "He, that puts his companion to fhame in public, shall have no portion in the next life." MAIM. & fim. pass. For, according to the Jewish doctors, he who does this breaks the fixth commandment. Abarb.

verecundiæ munimento tutius esset, in jus vocanti matronam corpus ejus attingere non permiserunt, ut inviolata manús alienæ tachu sola relinqueretur. "That the decent modesty of a matron might "the more securely be preserved, if any man sued her, he "was not allowd so much as to touch her, that her garment "might remain undefiled by the hands of any stranger." Val. Max. And it is told of P. Mænius, that tristi exemplo præcepit [filiæ suæ], ut non solum virginitatem illibatam, sed etiam oscula ad virum sincera perferret. "He gave it in charge "to his daughter with a severe threat, that she should carry "to her husband; not only her virginity untouchd, but her "kisses chast." Id.

" Quanto autem præssantior est animus corpore, tanto scelcratius corrumpitur. " By how much the mind is more excellent than the body, by so much is the corrupting of it a greater wickedness." St Austin.

them-

themselves with things, in which they are not concernd; to make that public, which in itself is private; and perhaps to rob the person, into whose business they intrude themselves, of his quiet, if of nothing else. For indeed this intermeddling looks like setting up a pretence to something further; like an unjust attack begun at a distance. All which declares what an enemy, and how irreconcilable to truth, this pragmatical humor is. And so on.

If these things are so, how guilty must they be, who are designedly the promoters or instruments of injustice and wickedness; such as mercenary-swearers, and false witnesses; traders in scandal; solicitors in vice; they who intend by their conversation to relax men's principles too much, and (as it seems) prepare them for knavery, lewdness, or any slagitious enterprize w.

There are other crimes, such as infidelity to friends or them who intrust us with any thing, ingratitude, all kinds of wilful perjury, and the like, which might have been mentiond in the proposition, being great instances of injustice: but because they are visibly such, and their nature cannot be mistaken, I comprise them in the et cæt. there. Any one may see, that he, who acts unsaithfully, acts against his promises and ingagements, and therefore denies and sins against truth; does what it can never be for the good of the world should become an universal practice; does what he would

not

Ψ Οὖτοι είτιι οι λοιμοὶ οι τὸ ἰδιοι κακὸι ἐπὶ πάντας ἄγειι φιλοιεικῦι-7ες, κλ. "These are the pestilent fellows, who labour to per-" suade every body to be guilty of the same crimes with themfelves." St Basil.

not have done to bimfelf; and wrongs the man, who depends upon him, of what he justly might expect. So the ungrateful man treats his benefactor as not being what he is. &c. And the falle swearer

pect. So the ungrateful man treats his benefactor as not being what he is, &c. And the false-swearer respects neither things, nor himself, nor the persons affected, nor mankind in general, nor God himself as being what they are. All this is obvious \*.

):<u>4</u>7

rete

i Bi

O W

id, Ï

gb

ĺΨ

ce. I into is. I

ĽŢ.

, lài

in Si

ii 127

ij:

164 164 II

نشال

'n.

T.

ή

3, 8

ş Vi

<u>د ان</u>

W

1:1**58** 1:15**8** 

0

SECT. VII. Truths respecting particular Societies of Men, or Governments.

alone out of all fociety. More things are necessary to fustain life, or at least to make it in any degree pleasant and defirable, than it is possible for any one man to make and provide for himself merely by his own labor and ingenuity. Meat, and drink, and clothing, and house, and that frugal furniture which is absolutely requisite, with a little necesfary physic, suppose many arts and trades, many heads, and many hands. If he could make a shift in time of bealth to live as a wild man under the protection of trees and rocks, feeding upon such fruits, herbs, roots, and other things, as the earth should afford, and happen to present to him; yet what could he do in fickness, or old age, when he would not be able to flir out, or receive her beneficence.

If

<sup>&</sup>quot; Omnes enim immemorem beneficii oderunt. " For every body hate a man that forgets the kindnesses that have been done to him." Cic. And the same may be said of the unsaith ful, perjured, &c.

270 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VII.

If he should take from the other fex such a help, as the common appetite might prompt him to seek, or he might happen to meet with in his walks; yet still if the bands are doubled, the wants are doubled too: nay more, additional wants, and great ones, attending the bearing and education of children.

If we could suppose all these difficulties surmounted, and a family grown up, and doing what a fingle family is capable of doing by itself; supporting themselves by gardening, a little agriculture, or a few cattle, which they have some how got, and tamed (the even this would be hard for them to do, having no markets, where they might exchange the produce of their husbandry, or of their little flock, or herd for other things; no shops to repair to for tools; no servant, or laborer to affift; nor any public invention, of which they might serve themselves in the preparation of their grain, dreffing their meat, manufacturing their wool, and the like); yet still it is only the cortex of the man, which is provided for: what must become of the interior part, the mindi of these people? How would those be fed, and improved ? Aris and sciences, so much of them as is necessary to reach men the use of their faculties, and unfold their reason, are not the growth of single families fo imployd. And yet for men to lay out all their pains and time in procuring only what is proper to keep the blood and humors in circulation, with-

out

y Quid ergo, anima nullane habet alimenta propria? an ejus esca scientia nobis videtur? "What then is there no proper nourishment for the mind, does not knowledge seem to be the food of it?" St Aust.

. 1

li,

ur i

ni

1,2

in.

į

Ţ

ŀ

ιá

dr

C

ì

ď

Ţ,

11

ĺ

out any further views, or any regard to the nobler part of themselves, is utterly incongruous to the idea of a being formed for rational exercises.

If all the exceptions against this separate way of living could be removed; yet as mankind increases, the little plots, which the several families possess, and cultivate, must be inlarged, or multiplied: by degrees they would find themselves straitend: and there would foon be a collifion of interests, from whence disputes and quarrels would ensue. Other things too might minister matter for these. And beside all this, some men are naturally troublesome. vitious, thievish, pugnacious, rabid, and these would always be diffurbing and flying upon the next to them: as others are ambitious, or covetous, and, if they happen to have any advantage or superiority in power, would not fail to make themselves yet greater or stronger by eating up their neighbours, till by repeated incroachments they might grow to be formidable 2.

Under so many wants, and such apprehensions, or present dangers, necessity would bring some families into terms of friendship with others for mutual comfort and defence: and this, as the reason of it increased, would become stronger, introduce stricter ingagements, and at last bring the people to mix and unite. And then the weak being glad to shelter themselves under the protection and conduct of the more able, and so naturally giving way for these to ascend, the several sorts would as

length

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Alter in alterius exitium levi compendio ducitur. "Theyi destroy one another in the shortest way that they can." Sen.

272 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VII. length fettle into their places, according to their feveral weights and capacities with respect to the

common concern. And thus some form of a fo-

But if it was possible for a man to preserve life by bimself, or with his petit company about him: yet no body can deny, that it would be infinitely better for him, and them, to live in a fociety. where men are ferviceable to themselves and their neighbours at the same time, by exchanging their money, or goods, for fuch other things as they want more; where they are capable of doing good offices each for other in time of need; where they have the protection of laws, and a public fecurity against cheats, robbers, assassines, and all enemies to property; where a common force or army is ready to interpose between them and foreign invaders; and where they may injoy those discoveries which have been made in arts and learning, may improve their faculties by conversation and innocent conflicts of reason, and (to speak out) may be made men.

If, when we have the privilege of society and laws, we can scarce preserve our own, or be safe, what a wosul condition should we be in without them; exposed to the insults, rapines, and violence of unjust and merciless men, not having any sanctuary, any thing to take refuge in? So again, if notwithstanding the help of friends and those about us, and such conveniencies as may be had in cities and peopled places, we are forced to bear many pains and melancholy hours, how irksome would life be, if in sickness or other trouble there was no body to administer either remedy or consolation?

Laftly,

) the

:0 th

*3∱* è,

e li

m.

r.l.

Lastly, society is what men generally desire. And tho much company may be attended with much vanity, and occasion many evils \*, yet it is certain, that absolute and perpetual solitude has fomething in it very irksome and hideous b. Thus the social life is natural to man; or, what his nature and circumstances require.

II. The end of fociety is the common welfare and good of the people affociated. This is but the confequence of what has been just said. For because men cannot subsist well, or not fo well, separately, therefore they unite into greater bodies: that is, the end of their uniting is their better subsistence; and by how much their manner of living becomes better, by so much the more effectually is this end answerd.

III. A society, into which men enter for this end, supposes some rules or laws, according to which they agree all to be governd, with a power of altering or adding to them as occasion shall require. A number of men met together without any rules, by which they fubmit to be governd, can be nothing but an irregular multitude. Every one being still sui juris, and left intirely to his own private choice,

b Zãos συταγελας ικότ ὁ ἄτθ 200π @ . "Man is a sociable creature." St BASIL.

T

<sup>\*</sup> Aristotle says a good man would be neither doing, "without a friend," nor 🖜 have a great number of " friends." This is just. Therefore Seneca seems to go a little too far, when he writes, Omnes amicos habere operosum esse, satis esse inimicos non habere, "It requires great pains to make all " men our friends, it is sufficient to have no enemies."

274 The Religion of Nature. Sect. VII. by whatever kind of judgment or passion or caprice that happens to be determind, they must needs interfere one with another: nor can fuch a concourse of people be any thing different from an indigested chaos of dissenting parts, which by their confused motions would damnify, and destroy each other. This must be true, if men differ in the size of their understandings, in their manner of thinking, and the feveral turns their minds take from their education, way of living, and other circumstances; if the greatest part of them are under the direction of bodily affections; and if these differ as much as their shapes, their complexions, their conflitutions do c. Here then we find nothing but confusion and unbappiness.

Such a combination of men therefore, as may produce their common good and bappiness, must be such a one as, in the first place, may render them compatible one with another: which cannot be without rules, that may direct and adjust their several motions and carriages towards each other, bring them to some degree of uniformity, or at least restrain such excursions and enormities, as would render their living together inconsistent.

Then, there must be some express declarations and scita to ascertain properties and titles to things by common consent: that so, when any altercations or disputes shall happen concerning them (as be sure many must in a world so unreasonable and prone to iniquity), the appeal may be made to

their

Man is, in Greg. Naz.'s words, τὸ Φολυτροπώτανον τῶν ζάων,
 ἐς Φοικιλώτωτον, "a creature who loves to turn his thoughts to
 των variety of things, and to imploy himself in different ways."

171 ;

Or C

n.

0

M n

ad

e lize

nik.

frog

CUR

ler th

fa i

ir coe

uta

s ma iA b

ther

with

ever

Ый

A re-

en-

their own settlements; and by the application of a general undisputed rule to the particular case before them it may appear, on which fide the obliquity lies, the controversy may be fairly decided, and all mouths eternally stopped. And then again, that they may be protected and persevere in this agreeable life, and the injoyment of their respective properties be fecured to them, feveral things must be forecasted by way of precaution against foreign invasions; punishments must be appointed for offences committed amongst themselves, which being known may deter men from committing them, &c. These rules, methods, and appointments of punishments, being intelligibly and honeftly drawn up, agreed to, and publishd, are the mutual compacts d under which the fociety is confederated, and the laws of it.

If then to have the members of a fociety capable of substituting together, if to have their respective properties ascertaind, if to be safe and quiet in the possession of them be for the general good of the society, and these things cannot be had without laws; then a society, whose foundation and cement is the public good, must have such laws, or be supposed at least to design such.

As to the making of any further laws, when the public interest and welfare require them, that is but repeating the fame power in other instances, which they made use of before in making their first laws: and as to altering or repealing, it is certain the power of making and unmaking here are

equal,

Digitized by Google

d Παι ες τόμ@— φόλως συνθάκε κοινά. "Every law--- is the general compact of the city." Demost H.

276 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VII. equal. Beside, when men are incorporated and live together for their mutual good, this end is to be considered at one time as much as at another; not only in their first constitution and settlement.

IV. These laws and determinations must be such, as are not inconfistent with natural justice. For 1. To ordain any thing that interferes with truth is the fame as to ordain, that what is true shall be false; or v. v. which is abfurd. 2. To pretend by a law to make that to be just, which before and in itself was unjust, is the same as to ordain that which interferes with truth: because justice is founded in truth (as before), and every where the fame f. Therefore, 3. by a law to enact anything which is naturally unjust is to enact that which is absurd: that which by fect. I. is morally evil; and that which is opposite to those laws, by which it is manifestly the will of our Creator we should be governd g. And to enact what is thus evil must be evil

Nóµ® ริวาณี อักร® เขียงบร. "The law is the finding out and
fpecifying that which really is." STOB. è Plat.
f ผลเลง อบังค, สมาหางา, หณิ สามาสาร รถิง สบาริการี หละ อบังคมุณ ฉึงสะท

scripta lex de stupris, idcirco non contra---legem sempiternam Sex. Tarquinius vim Lucretiæ---attulit. Erat enim ratio prosecta à

rerum natura, & ad reste faciendum impellens, & à delisso avocaus:

τὸ σῦς καὶ ἀνθάδα καὶ ἀ Πίςσαις καία. "Justice is founded in na"ture, is unalterable, and is equally in force every where; in
"the same manner as the fire burns here and in Persia." ARIST.

8 Even the Heathens believed, that above all human καρούγκατα, "edicts," there were ἀγραπτα κάσφαλη θιῶν νόμιμα, "un«written and unalterable laws of the Gods," which mortals
ought not to transgress: ἐγάρτινῦν γο κάχθος ἀλλὶ ἀκὶ σότο ζὰ ταῶτα, "because these are in force, not only for a day or two, but
"for ever." Soph. Nec si regnante Tarquinio nulla erat Romæ

evil indeed. Lastly, to establish injustice must be utterly inconsistent with the general good and happiness of any society; unless to be unjustly treated, pilled, and abused can be happiness h. And if so, it is utterly inconsistent with the end of society; or, it is to deny that to be the end of it, which is the end of it.

02

To

Ú

di

hi

V. A fociety limited by laws supposes magistrates, and a subordination of powers: that is, it supposes a government of some form or other. Because, where men are to act by rules or laws for the public weal, some must of necessity be appointed to judge, when those laws are transgrest, and how far; to decide doubtful cases, and the like: there must be

cans: quæ non tum deniq; incipit lex esse, cùm scripta est, sed tum cùm orta est. Orta autem simul est cum mente divina. "Where"fore if, in the reign of Tarquin, there were no written laws
at Rome against whoredom, yet nevertheles Sextus Tarqui"nius acted contrary to an eternal law, when he ravishd Lu"cretia; for there is such a thing as reason, which proceeds
from the nature of things, and which urges us to do that
which is right, and forbids us to commit any crimes; which
"(reason) does not then begin to be a law when it is written
down, but was from the beginning; that is, it began when
the divine mind began." C1c.

h Si tanta potestas est stultorum sententiis atq; juss, ut eorum suffragiis rerum natura vertatur; cur non sanciunt, ut, quæ mala perniciosaq; sunt, babeantur pro bonis, ac salutaribus? aut cùr, cum jus ex injuria lex facere possit, bonum eadem sacere non possit ex malo? "If the opinions or commands of weak and soolish "men are of so great force, as to overturn the nature of things by their majority; why do they not establish it by a law, "that those things, which are evil and pernicious, shall be"come good and advantageous? And why cannot the same "law make the things that are good evil, as well as make an "injury a lawful thing?" Cic.

fome

278 The Religion of Nature. Sect. VII. fome armed with authority to execute those judgments, and to punish offenders: there must be perfons chosen not only to punish and prevent public evils, but also to do many other things, which will be required in advancement of the public good: and then the power of making new laws, and abrogating or mending old ones, as experience may direct or the case at any time require, as also of providing presently and legally for the safety of the public in time of sudden danger, must be lodged somewhere.

If there are no executors of the laws, the laws cannot be executed: and if fo, they are but a dead letter, and equal to none: and if the society has none, it is indeed no society, or not such a one as is the subject of this proposition. Guardians and executors of laws are therefore the vitals of a society, without which there can be no circulation of justice in it, no care of it taken, nor can it continue. And since men can be but in one place at once, there must be numbers of these proportionable to the bigness and extent of it.

And further, fince the concerns of a whole society, and such things as may fall within the compass of a statute book, are various, requiring several sorts and sizes of abilities, and lying one above another in nature; since not only private men want to be inspected, but even magistrates and officers themselves, who (tho they oft forget it) are still but men; and since the whole society is to be one, one compact body: I say, since the case is thus, there must be men to act in several elevations and qualities as well as places, of which the inserior sort in their several quarters must act immediately

mediately under their respective superiors; and so this class of superiors in their several provinces under others above them; till at last the ascent is terminated in some head, where the legislative power is deposited, and from whence spirits and motion are communicated through the whole body. An army may as well be supposed to be well disciplined, well provided, and well conducted without either general or officers, as a society without governors and their subalterns, or (which is the same) without some form of government, to answer the end of its being.

VI. A man may part with some of his natural rights, and put himself under the government of laws, and those, who in their several stations are intrusted with the execution of them, in order to gain the protection of them, and the privileges of a regular society. Because by this he doth but exchange one thing for another, which he reckons equivalent, or indeed preferable by much: and this he may do without acting against any truth. For the liberties and natural rights, which he exchanges, are bis own, and therefore no other man's property is denied by this: nor is the nature of happiness denied to be what it is, fince it is happiness which he aims at in doing this. On the contrary, he would rather offend against truth, and deny bappiness to be what it is, if he did not do it; especially seeing, that here his own happiness coincides with the general happiness and more convenient being of the kingdom or commonwealth, where his lot falls, or his choice determins him to live.

T 4

If

## 280 The Religion of Nature. Sect. VII.

If the question should be asked, what natural rights a man may part with, or bow far he may part with them; the general answer. I think, may be this. Some things are effential to our being, and fome it is not in our power to part with. As to the rest, he may depart from them so far as it is confiftent with the end, for which he does this: not further, because beyond that lies a contradiction. A man cannot give away the natural right and property he has in any thing, in order to preferve or retain that property: but he may consent to contribute part of his estate, in order to preserve the rest, when otherwise it might all be lost; to take his *share* of danger in defence of his country, rather than certainly perish, be inflaved, or ruind by the conquest or oppression of it; and the like.

VII. Men may become members of a society (i. e. do what is mentiond in the foregoing proposition) by giving their consent, either explicitly, or implicitly. That a man may subject himself to laws, we have feen. If he does this, he must do it either in bis own person; or he must do it by some proxy, whom he substitutes in his room to agree to public laws; or his confent must be collected only from the conformity of his carriage, his adhering to the fociety, accepting the benefits of its constitution, and acquiescing in the established methods and what is done by virtue of them. By the two first ways he declares himself explicitly, and directly: nor can he after that behave himself as if he was no member of the fociety, without acting as if he had not done what he bas done. And this is the case not only of them, who have been concernd in the first formation

tion of any government, but also of them, who have in the faid manners i given their consent to any subsequent acts, by which they ownd, confirmed, and came into what their ancestors had done, or who have by oaths put themselves under obligations to the public. By the last of the three ways mention'd a man's confent is given indeed implicitly, and less directly: but yet it is given, and he becomes a party. For suppose him to be born in fome certain kingdom or commonwealth, but never to have been party to any law, never to have taken any oath to the government, nor ever formally to have ingaged himself by any other act. In this case he cannot methinks but have some love and fympathy for that place, which afforded him the first air he drew; some gratitude towards that constitution, which protected his parents, while they educated and provided for him; some regard to those obligations, under which perhaps they have laid him, and with which limitations as it were they (or rather the Governor of the world by them) conveyd to him his very life.

If he *inherits* or takes any thing by the laws of the place, to which he has no indefeafible right in nature, or which, if he had a natural right to it, he could not tell how to get, or keep, without the aid of laws and advantage of society; then, when he takes this inheritance, or whatever it is, with it he takes and owns the laws which give it him.

Indeed fince the *fecurity* he has from the laws of the country in respect of his person, and rights, whatever they either are, or may happen to be hereafter, is the general *equivalent* for his *submis*-

In person, or by proxy.

fion

282 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VII. fion to them, he cannot accept that without being obliged in equity to pay this.

Nay, lastly, his very continuing and fettling in any place shews, that either he likes the constitution, or likes it better than any other, or at least thinks it better in his circumstances to conform to it than to seek any other: that is, he consents to be comprehended in it \*.

VIII. When a man is become a member of a society, if be would behave bimself according to truth, be ought to do these things: viz. to consider property as founded not only in nature, but also in law: and men's titles to what they have, as strengthend by that, and even by his own concession and covenants, and therefore by so much the more inviolable and facred: instead of taking such measures to do himself right, when he is molested, or injured, as his own prudence might fuggest in a state of nature, to confine himself to such ways as are with his own confent markt out for him: and, in a word, to behave himself according to his subordination or place in the community, and to observe the laws of it. For it is containd in the idea of a law, that it is intended to be observed: and therefore he, who is a party to any laws, or professes himself member of a society formed upon laws, cannot willingly transgress those laws without denying laws to be what they are, or himself to be what he is supposed or professes himself to be: and

indeed

k Plato says, when any man has seen our form of government, &c. and remains under it, κον θαμὶν πῶτον ώμολογκείναι τος το κάμῖν, " that then we say, such an one does indeed agree " with us."

IX. In respect of those things, which the laws of the place take no cognizance of, or when if they do take cognizance of them, the benefit of those laws cannot be bad (for so it may sometimes happen. I say, in respect of such things), he who is a member of a fociety in other respects retains his natural liberty, is still as it were in a state of nature, and must endeavour to all according to truth and his best prudence. For in the former case there is nothing to limit him, by the supposition, but truth and nature. And in the other it is the same as if there was nothing; fince in effett there is no law, where no effett or benefit from it is to be had. As, for example, if a man should be attacked by thieves or murderers, and has no opportunity or power to call the proper magistrate or officer to his assistance.

There is a third case, which perhaps may demand admission here: and that is, when laws are plainly contrary to truth and natural justice. For tho they may pass the usual forms, and be styled laws; yet, since no such law can abrogate that law of nature and reason, to which the Author of our being hath subjected us, or make falshood to be truth; and two inconsistent laws cannot both oblige, or subsist together; one of them must give way; and it is easy to discern, which ought to do it.

There

<sup>1</sup> Illud ftultissimum, existimare omnia justa esse, quæ scita sint in populorum institutis, aut legibus.—Si populorum jussis, si principum decretis, si sententiis judicum, jura constituerentur, jus esset latrocinari: jus, adulterare: jus, testamenta salsa supponere, si bæc

## 284 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VII.

There remains one *truth* more to be annexed here, which may be contradicted by the practices and pretences of Enthuliasts <sup>m</sup>.

X. The societies intended in this section, such as kingdoms and commonwealths, may defend themselves against other nations: or, war may lawfully be waged in defence and for the security of a society, its members and territories, or for reparation of injuries. For if one man may in a state of nature have a right to defend himself, (see sect. VI. prop. VII.) two may, or three, and fo on. Nay, perhaps two may have a double right, three a threefold right, &c. At least, if the right be not greater, the concern is greater: and there will be more reason, that two, or three, or more should be saved, than one only; and therefore that two, or three, or more should defend themselves, than that one should. And if this may be done by men in a state of nature, it may be done by them when confederated among themselves: because with respect to other nations they are still in that state. I mean, so far as they have not limited themselves by leagues and alliances.

bæc suffragiis aut scitis multitudinis probærentur. "That's very foolish indeed, to imagin that all those things are just, which are established by the decrees and laws of the people. —If right were made by the ordinances of the people, by the decrees of princes, or by the sentences of judges, it would be right to rob on the highway; it would be right to commit adultery; it would be right to forge wills; supposing all these were allowed by the majority, and by the descrees of the populacy." Cic.

\*\*Manicheans\* of old, and some moderns.

Beside,

Beside, if a man may defend himself, he may defend himself by what methods he thinks most proper, provided he trespasses against no truth; and therefore, by getting the aid and assistance of others. Now when war is levied in defence of the public, and the people in general, the thing may be considered as if every particular man was defending himself with the assistance of all the rest, and so be turned into the same case with that of a single man.

In truth the condition of a nation seems to be much the same with that of a fingle person when there is no law, or no benefit of law, to be had: and what one man may do to another in that position, may be done by one nation or politic body with respect to another: and perhaps by this rule, regard being had to what has been delivered in sect. VI. the justice of foreign wars may be not untruly estimated.

Mutual defence is one of the great ends of society, if not the greatest, and in a particular and eminent manner involves in it defence against foreign enemies. And whoever signalizes himself, when there is occasion for his service, merits the grateful acknowledgements and celebrations of his country-men: so far at least as he acts generously and with a public spirit, and not in pursuance only of private views.

ey

As to those wars, which are undertaken by men out of ambition ", merely to inlarge empire, or to shew

Like those particularly of J. Casar: of whom it is reported, that, animadversa apud Herculis templum magni Alexandri imagine, ingemuit; quasi pertasus ignaviam suam, quod nihil

286 The Religion of Nature. Sect. VIII. shew the world, how terrible they are, how many men they are able to slay, how many flaves to make o, how many families to drive from their peaceful habitations, and, in short, how much mischief and misery they are able to bring upon mankind; these are founded upon false notions of glory: imbellished indeed by servile wits and misplaced eloquence, but condemned by all true philosophy and religion.

SECT. VIII. Truths concerning Families and Relations.

THIS section shall begin, as relation itself does, with marriage.

I. The end of marriage is the propagation of mankind, and joint happiness of the couple intermarrying, taken together; or the latter by itself? The dif-

mibil dum à se memorabile assum esset in ætate quâ jam Alexander orbem terrarum subegisset, "upon viewing the statue of Alexander the Great in the temple of Hercules, he gave a sigh, as it were, to reproach his own sluggishness, that he had done no memorable thing, at an age when Alexander had con-

" querd the whole world." SUET.

\* Some go to war ἄσπερ ἐπὶ βάραν καὶ κυνηγεσίαν ἀνθεράπων, "in "order to hunt down and worry men." PLUT. Not out of necessity, and in order to peace; which is the true end of war. Πολεμάμων, δια εἰράνων ἄγωμεν. "We go to war, that we may pro- cure peace." ARIST. Ita bellum suscipiatur, ut nibil aliud quàm pax quasita videatur. "War should be undertaken in "such a manner, that nothing else but peace may be seen to be "aimed at by it." CIC.

P Oi d' Θεσου ε μόνον τῶς τεκνοποιίας χάριν συνοικεση, ἀλλά καί τῶν οἰς τὸν βίον, κλ. " Men do not marry for the fake of having children only, but for all the other purposes of life." Arist.

ference

ŀ

ı

t

1

Œ

17.0

, 2

one

.ao.

nt o

WI.

10

12

11

ĸ

ference of the senes, with the strong inclination they have each to the injoyment of the other a, is plainly ordaind by the Author of nature for the continuance of the species, which without that must be soon extinguished. And the people, when they marry, may have many times not so much the increase of their family in their design or wishes, as the gratification of an importunate appetite; yet since nature excites the appetite, and that tends to this end, nature (or rather its great Author) may be said to make this an end of the marriage, the the bridegroom and bride themselves do not.

And then as to that other thing, which either accompanies the aforesaid end of marriage, or is (as in many cases it can only be) the end itself, the joint

q 'Ardel καὶ γυταικὶ φιλία δακᾶ κατὰ φύσιν ἄπάρχαν. ἄνθερν γος τῷ φύσα συνδυασικὸν μᾶλλον ἡ Φολιτικόν. " It is natural for a man " to love a woman; for man is as much made for the fociety of " a woman, as for the fociety of each other." Arist. " το γὰρ ἡ μαγνῆτις λίθ — Φερς ἡ ἀντὰν τὸν σίδη κρι ὅλκαι ὅταν τὸ τῷ δάλι & σῶμα σκος ἡ τὰν μίζιν ὅλκαι. " For as the loadstone " draws iron, fo the woman attracts the man to unite with " her." St Basil.

joint happiness of the conjuges, no body can be supposed to marry in order and on set purpose to make him or herself unbappy: no nor without a presumption of being more bappy. For without an apprehension of some degree of happiness to accrue, or what presents itself to the imagination as such, and is taken for such, what can induce people to alter their condition? Something there must be, by which (however things prove upon trial) they think to better it. And indeed if their circumstances are such, as may inable them to maintain a family, and provide for children, without difficul-

" perpetual continuation of mankind," how doth he know that they were not given for both these ends, in a regular way? And so when Clemens Alex. shews his zeal against rais dudonus στορείς, τὰν τρος τὰς ἐγκόνες ὁμελίαν, "fuch familiarities as produce " no effect, meddling with pregnant women," &c. adding, φιλά γαρ άδοτά, καν εν γάμφ σαρκληφορή, σαρχνομός ές:, κλ. " that " fuch mean pleasure is unlawful, even in married persons," he does this because i Moone andy er rue igation नहें बारिएद, " Moses " forbids a man coming near a pregnant woman;" and then cites a text to prove this, which is nothing to the purpose, nor I believe any where to be found: Oun throat to hayer, whi the Sarrar. (Quem interpretem secutus fit Clemens nescio. " Thou shalt on not eat a hare or a hyæna. (What commentator Clement fol-" lowed, I know not." Gent. Herv.) Certainly the Jews understand their lawgiver otherwise. See how that אנונה " con-" jugal due," mentiond in the law is explaind by Maim. in bilk. ish. Nor are the suffrages of Christians wanting. Deus, cum cæteras animantes, suscepto fætu, maribus repugnare voluisset, folam omnium mulierem patientem viri fecit;---ne feminis repugnantibus, libido cogeret viros aliud appetere, &c. "When God " made all other female animals, so as to refuse the males " when they are pregnant, he made women only capable of " men ;---lest, upon their refusal, men's violent passions should " force them to go after others, &c." that is, that the man and wife might be kept inseparably together. LACT.

ties

ties and an over-burden of cares, and if they in good earnest resolve to behave themselves as they ought, and reciprocally to be helpful and loving each to other, much comfort and happiness \* may justly be expected from this intimate union t, the interchange of affections, and a conspiration of all their counsels and measures ", the qualities and abilities of the one fex being fitted and as it were tallying to the wants of the other. For to pass over in filence those joys, which are truest when most conceald w, many things there are, which may be useful, perhaps necessary to the man, and yet require the delicater hand or nicer management and genius of the woman \*: and fo, vicissim, the woman

t "Ερφς-καθάπερ ενός ζών διτία τμήματα-είς ταυτόν άρμότιεται. Love—is like two parts of the fame living creature—united

" into one." PH. JUD.

ect. VIII

ly can't

ourpoko

without:

ithout a

els to **x**.

ination a

duce por

here mé

pon tra

ir aras

naintain:

diffici

knowitz

ular war at anim

as prod**ac** 

: adding

ed. "the

perions."

s, "M±

and the

cle, nor

ili m

o thait

ent fol-

etus un-

" con-

laim.is

, Dan

oluju,

143

n Gal

males

ible of

fhould

ie man

tics

" True love is to be found in marriage, or no where. Πόρνο yap piner in imiratai, all'imisencie motor. "For there is no real " love in whoring; nothing but enfnaring one another." St יטרותה מגולה והלב מכוסה. "They discover their" " nakedness, but hide their real sentiments;" a homely, but true faying of a Jewish commentator.

\* Quod facere turpe non est modo occulte; id dicere obscanum est. "That which has no evil in it, when it is done in private, may

be obscene, when spoke publickly." Cic.

x 'Bar yas में प्रविधास अवो देशालामोड, में मिर्वरण प्रोप बेल हे पर्मेड प्रवासकारित क्वलूसmuSlar mapigu नल बारीका, बोरेन महा देश नाहि बेरेराह बैसका कारा में रहे काτης χρείαν επιθείζεται, αλ. " For, if the be neat and good-natured, " she will not only in general be a comfort to her husband, 4 but will be very useful to him in every particular." St CHRYS.

cannot

s Kai τὸ χοήσιμον είναι δοκεί, καὶ τὸ μόθο έν ταύτη τη φιλίμ. "There seems to be both profit and pleasure in this sort of כשאיש ואשה נוהגים כראוי שכינה. friendship." Akist. כשאיש ביניהם "When the man and the wife behave themselves to\_ " wards each other as they ought, they are then most inti-" mately united." Rest. bbokm.

cannot but want many things, which require the more robust and active powers or greater capacity of the man. Thus, in lower life, whilst the wheel, the needle, &c. imploy ber, the plough or some trade perhaps demands the muscles and hardiness of bim: and, more generally, if she inspects domestic affairs, and takes care, that every thing be provided regularly, spent frugally, and injoyd with neatness and advantage, be is busied in that profession, or the oversight and improvement of that estate, which must sustain the charge of all this; he presides, and directs in matters of greater moment; preserves order in the family by a gentle and prudent government, &c. <sup>2</sup>

As then I founded the greater focieties of men upon the mutual convenience, which attends their living regularly together; so may I found this less, but firitier alliance between the man and the woman in their joint-happiness. Nature has a further aim, the preservation of the kind.

II. That

<sup>2</sup> See the conversation between Ischomachus and his wife in Xenophon.

T Disputes to Ippa, there is one for the implication of there is one fort of imployment for the man, and another for the woman; so that they are affistant to each other, by joining their forces together." Arist.

a Tho Plato (like most of the old Greeks and Romans) among many very fine things hath now and then some that are weak, and even absurd; yet I cannot think, that by his community of women he meant any thing like that, which is said, ap. Athenato have been practised week Tujinnoss δαπόπως, πρυφώσωση, "among the Tyrrhenians, who were exceedingly debauched;" or that his thought could be so gross, as Lactantius represents it: Scificet ut ad eandem mulierem multi viri, tanquam canes, confluerent,

II. That marriages are made by some solemn contract, vow, or oath (and these perhaps attended with some pledge, or nuptial rites) b, by which the parties mutually

rent, "namely, that several men, like so many dogs, should " run after one woman." For thus, property being taken out of the world, a great part of virtue is extinguishd, and all industry and improvements are at an end. And beside that, many of the most substantial comforts and innocent delights of this life are destroyd at once. Si omnes omnium fuerint & mariti, & patres, & uxores, & liberi, quæ ista confusio generis bumani est? -Quis aut vir mulierem, aut mulier virum diligit, nisi habitaverint semper unà? nist devota mens, & servata invicem sides individuam fecerit caritatem, &c. " If all were the husbands " and fathers, and wives and children of all, what a confu-"fion would there be amongst mankind?---for how can the " man love the woman, and the woman the man, unless they " live always together? unless their minds be devoted to each, " and their fidelity mutual, which will make their affections "inseparable, &c." Id. However it must be confest, that Plato has advanced more than was confistent with his own gravity, or with nature. The best excuse to be made for him. that I know of, is that in Athenaus, "Koiner & Madrar mi rois Eoir ανθρώποις γράψαι τες νόμες, αλλά τοῖς ὑπ' αὐπε διαπλάπομένοις, "That Plato seems to have made his laws not for such as men or perhaps now are, but for men of his own imagination:" or perhaps to fay, that he was so intent upon strengthening and defending his common-wealth, that he forgot, if men must live after his manner, there would be little in it worth defending. After all, his meaning to me is not perfectly clear.

Every one knows how marriages were made among the Romans, confarreatione, "by offering up of burnt cakes," co-emptione, "by the man and his wife, as it were, buying one another, by giving and taking a piece of money," usu, "or by use, when the woman had lived with the man a whole "year:" of which ways the two former were attended with many ceremonies: and the legitime tabelle, "writings ap"pointed by law," or at least consent of friends (which could U2 not

Ķ

Ł,

í.

Ц

e.

## 292 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VIII.

tually ingage to live together in love, and to be faithful, assisting, and the like, each to other, in all circumstances of health and fortune, till death parts them c, I take for granted. For all nations have some form or other upon these occasions: and even private contracts cannot be made without some words in which they are containd, nor perhaps without some kind of significant, tho private, ceremony between the lovers; which lose nothing of sorce with respect to them by their being both parties and witnesses themselves. Something must pass between them, that is declarative of their intentions, expresses their vows, and binds them each to the other. There is no coming together after the manner of man and wife upon any other foot.

not be given without some solemnity) preceded all, auspicia " omens" were usually taken, public notaries and witnesses affisted, &c. Among the Greeks men and women were espoused by mutual promises of fidelity: beside which there were witnesses, and dotal writings ( ); at the wedding, sacrifices to Diana and other deities, and the γαμάλωι εύχαι, " nuptial orayers;" and after that, perhaps the being shut up together, eating the zuedinor, "quince, together," a formal avoic בקרושין, " untying of the bride's girdle," &c. The קרושין. " nuptials," of the Jews have been performed ADDI, "by " money," or ששם, " by writings of contract," or הבנואה. by going into the house:" the ceremonies accompanying which may be feen particularly in Shulbh. ar. with the additions of R. Mo. Iferles (Eben ez. And (to pass by other nations) the form of solemnization of matrimony, and the manner, in which persons married give their troth each to other among us, are extant in our public offices: where they may be feen by fuch, as feem to have forgot what they are.

· Connubio stabili. "By a lasting marriage." VIRG.

III. That

III. That intimate union, by which the conjuges become possest each of the other's person a, the mixture of their fortunes and the joint relation they have to their children all strengthen the bonds and obligations of matrimony. By every ast done in pursuance of a covenant, such as the matrimonial is, that covenant is ownd, ratisfied, and as it were made de integro, and repeted.

Possession is certainly more than nothing. When this therefore is added to a former title, the title must needs be corroborated.

When two persons throw their all into one stock as joint-traders for life, neither of them can consistently with truth and honesty take his share out and be gone (i. e. dissolve the partnership) without the concurrence of the other; and sometimes it may not be easy, perhaps possible, to do it at all. Each therefore is even by this bound, and becomes obnoxious to the other.

And as to the present case, if the marriage be not altogether unfruitful, since both the parents

- והיו לבשר אחר דכך ררכח לאת ייחדא דכר וטקבא <sup>4</sup> הייו לבשר אחר דכך ררכח לאת ייחדא דבר דווצ') וכר "And they be-"came one flesh, for it is the custom for men and women to come together,—and that they be no more divided." In Resp. bbokm.
- Αὐτη χρημάτων κοιτωνία Φροσάκει μάλισα τοῖς γαμᾶσιν, εἰς μίαν εἰσίαν σάντα καταχεαμίνοις καὶ ἀναμίζασι, μὰ τὸ μέρ δίσον, καὶ τὸ μές α άλλο τριον, ἀλλα σᾶν ἰδιον ἀγεῖσ θαι, καὶ μπδὲν ἀλλότριον. " It be" longs chiefly to married persons to mix their fortunes to-
- " gether, fo as to have but one common flock; and not for-
- " them to think that part of it belongs particularly to one and
- part to the other, but the whole is their own jointly." PLUT.
   f Σύνδισμ@ τὰ τίκια δοκεῖ εἶναι. "Children seem to be the bond
   (of matrimony.") ARIST.

U 3

are

are immediately related to the fame child, that child is the medium of a fixt, unalterable relation between them. For, being both of the fame blood with the child, they themselves come to be of the fame blood: and so that relation which at first was only moral and legal, becomes natural; a relation in nature, which can never cease, or be disannulled. It follows now that,

IV. Marrying, when there is little or no prospect of true happiness from the match, and especially if there are plain presages of unhappiness; after marriage adultery; all kinds of infidelity; transferring that affection, which even under the decays of nature ought to preserve its vigor, and never to degenerate (at worst) but into a friendship of a superior kind, and

§ In respect of which that in *Plutarch* particularly is true, "Η φύσις μίγνυσι δια τῶν σωματων ἡμᾶς, ἐν' ἰξ ἐκατίςων μίς ③ λαδῶσα, τῶ) τυ Γχέασα, κοπὸν αμφοτέροις ἀποδῶ τὸ γενόμενον, "Nature, by "means of our bodies, fo intermixes us, that what is produced becomes common to both, being a part of each, when united together."

h Socrates ab adolescentulo quodam consultus, uxorem duceret, an se omni matrimonio abstineret, respondit, Utrum eorum secisset, actiurum pænitentiam. Hic te, inquit, solitudo, bic orbitas, bic generis interitus, bic bæres alienus excipiet: illic perpetua solicitudo, contextus querelarum,—incertus liberorum eventus. "Socrates being consulted by a young man, whether he should take a wife or abstain wholly from matrimony, answered, that, which of them so ever he did, he would repent of it. On the one hand, says he, solitariness, want of children, the death of relations, want of an heir, will attend you; on the other hand (you will sind) perpetual anxiety, uninterrupted complaints,—and the uncertain event of children." Val. Max.

i Χεήνω συνηθείας εντεκέσης στάθ & αισθάνεται τῷ λογισμῷ τὸ ειλειν κὸ τὸ αγαπᾶν επιτεινόμενον. " When by living a long time together " their III

hild

(0)

ÛŊ,

d

ď

ű.

Ų

these is belying one's own sense of things, and has an air of distraction; or however it is to act as if that was the least and most trisling of all transactions in life, which is certainly one of the greatest and most delicate. And to offend in any of the other ways is to behave, as if the end of marriage was not what it is; as if no such league had been made between the persons married, as has been made, actually, and solemnly, and is still substitute between them; as if they were not possess each of the other; their fortunes not interwoven; nor their children so equally related to them, as they are; and therefore the misbehaviour, being repugnant to truth, is a sin against it, and the mighty Patron of it.

If the most express and solemn contracts, upon which persons, when they marry, do so far depend, as in considence of their being religiously observed to alter quite their condition, begin a new thred of life, and risque all their fortune and happiness: I say, if such sacred compacts as these are allowed to

U 4

be

their mutual affection is established, we find that, which was at first passion, is by reason become true friendship and love."
PLUT.

k It is visible that polygamy, pellicate, &c. must be included here. They are not only inconsistent with our forms and the very letter of the marriage-contract, but with the essence of marriage, which lies in such a union and love as can only be between two. Aristotle doth not allow there can be even perfect friendship between more than two: much less therefore, perfect love. Πολλοϊς είναι φίλον, κατὰ τὰν τελείαν φιλίαν, οὐκ ἐνδίχεται, ῶσπερ ἐδ' ἐρᾶν ἀναλῶν ἄμα. "It is impossible to be a friend to a great many, I mean, to be in perfect friendship with them, as it is impossible to have a love for a great many at the same time." Eth. "Εςι γὰρ φίλω ἀλλω αὐτίς. " For a friend is a second self." Ibid.

296 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VIII. be broken, there is an end of all faith; the obligation of caths (not more binding than marriage vows) ceases; no justice can be administerd; and then what a direful influence must this have upon the affairs of mankind upon that, and other accounts 1?

Allowance, by fect. IV. ought to be made for inabilities, and involuntary failings. A person's age, health, estate, or other circumstances may be such, and without any fault, that he or she cannot do what they would; or perhaps instead of that one of them may come to want the pity and affiftance of the other. In this case (which requires the philosophy and submission proper in afflictions) it is the duty of the one not only to bear with, but also to comfort, and do what may be done for the other. This is part of the happiness proposed, which confists not only in positive pleasures, but also in lessening pains and wants; whilst the pair have each in the other a refuge at hand.

N. I have designedly forborn to mention that authority of a husband over his wife, which is usually given to him, not only by private writers, but even by laws; because I think it has been carried much too bigh. I would have them live so far upon the level, as (according to my constant lesson) to be governd both by reason m. If the man's rea-

<sup>1</sup> Fæcunda culpæ sæcula nuptias Primum inquinavere, & genus, & domos. Hôc fonte derivata clades In patriam, populumque fluxit.

<sup>&</sup>quot;The ages, that were fruitful in vice, first defiled marriages, " corrupted relations and families. From this fountain flowed

<sup>&</sup>quot; that destruction, which overwhelmed the country and its " inhabitants." Hor.

Κρατών δώ τὸν ἀνδρα τῶς γυναικὸς ἐχ ὡς δεσπότην κτήματ@, άλλ. 🕯 ε ψυχήν σώματ 🚱 , συμπαθέντα ή συμπερυκότα τῆ εὐνοία. "The huf-" band

fon be stronger, or his knowledge and experience greater (as it is commonly supposed to be), the woman will be obliged upon that score to pay a deference, and submit to him <sup>n</sup>.

Having now considered the man and woman between themselves, I proceed in the order of nature to consider them as parents; and to see (in a few propositions following) how things will be carried between them and their children, as also between other relations, coming at first from the same bed, if truth and matters of fast (to be named, where the argument shall call for them) are not denied.

V. Parents ought to educate their children, take the best care of them they can, endeavour to provide for them, and be always ready to assist them. Because otherwise they do not carry themselves towards their children as being what they are, children and theirs: they do not do what they would desire to have done to themselves, were they again to pass through that seedle and tender state; or perhaps what has been done to them o: and beside, they transgress

" band ought to have a power over the wife, not such as a, "man has over his goods, but such as the soul has over the body, sympathizing and becoming one in benevolence." PLUT. (A sentence, which deserves to be written in letters of gold.) "Οπε σὐ Γάῖ@, ὶγὰ Γαῖα:—ὅπε σὐ κύριος καὶ οἰκοδισπότης, καὶ ἐγὰ κυρία καὶ οἰκοδίσποικα. "Where you are the man Gajus, I am "the woman Gaja; where you are master and governor, I am mistress and governess." Ap. eund.

n κατα φύσιν οἱ ἀρρενες εἰ μόνον εν τοῖς ἀνθεράποις, ἀλλα ερὶ εν τοῖς ἀλλοις ζώοις ἄρχεσι. "Nature has appointed the males to govern, ont only amongst mankind, but amongst all other living creatures." PLATO ap. Diog. L.

Πολυπλίθεμε δί σοι γυίας Λείψα, σατεθε γλε ταῦτ ἰδιξέμην σεδεκ.
 I ihall leave you a very good estate. For I had such an one

298 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VIII. transgress the law established by nature for the prefervation of the race, which, as things are, could not without a parental care and affection be continued; a law, which is in force among all the other tribes of animals, so far as there is occasion for it.

Not to do what is here required, is not barely to act against truth and nature, not only such an omission as is mentiond in sect. I. pr. V. but a heinous instance of cruelty. If any one can deny this, let him better consider the case of an infant, neglected, helpless, and having nothing so much as to solicite for him, but his cries and (that which will do but little in this world) his innocence: let him think what it would be to turn a ebild, tho a little grown up, out of doors, destitute of every thing, not knowing whither to fly?, or what to do: and whether it is not the same thing, if he be left to be turned out by any body else bereafter, or (in general) to conflict with want and mifery: let him reflect a while upon the circumstances of poor orphans q left unprovided for, to be abused by every body ', &c. and then let him fay, whether it is pos-

fible

<sup>&</sup>quot;from my father." Eur. Parentes was alendo nepotum nutriendorum debito (fi quis est pudor) alligaverunt. "Your parents, in maintaining you, made it a debt upon you (if you have any fense of shame) to maintain your children." Val. Max.

P Incertus quò fata ferant, ubi fistere detur, "it is uncertain "which way fate will carry me, or where I shall settle," in the poet's language.

<sup>9</sup> See that moving description of the "Ημαρ δρφανικό», " an " orphan" in Homer.

I could never think of that Arabic saying without pity, The barber [INITIN] learns to shave upon the head of an orphan.

I

N.

U

OF I

£

er bi

i

Ŋ.

'n

fible for a parent to be so void of bowels, as not to be moved with these considerations; or what epithet he deserves, if he is not. If any of them who have been thus abandond, and turned adrift, have done well, those instances ought to be placed among particular providences: as when a vessel at sea, without pilot or sailor, happens to be blown into the port.

Not only the care, but the early care of parents is required, lest death should prevent them; death, which skips none, and surprises many. Not to remember this, and act accordingly, is in practice to contradict one of the most certain and obvious of all truths.

VI. In order to the good of children, their education, &c. there must be some authority over them lodged by nature in the parents: I mean, the nature of the case is such, as necessarily requires there should be in the parents an authority over their children in order to their good. At first if some body did not nurse, feed, clothe, and take care of children, the interval between their first and last breath would be very short. They, on whom it is incumbent to do this, are undoubtedly their parents: to do this is their duty by the foregoing proposition. But then they must do it as they can, and according to their judgment: and this is plainly an act of authority, to order and dispose of another according to one's judgment, tho it be done according to the best of one's judgment.

As the child grows up, the case is still the same in some degree or other, till he arrives at the age reckond mature; and very often longer. He is become come able perhaps to walk by himself, but what path to choose he knows not; cannot distinguish his safety and his danger, his advantages and disadvantages; nor, in general, good and evil: he must be warned, and directed, and watched still by his parents, or some body intrusted by them, or else it might have been possibly much better for him to have expired under the midwise's hands, and prevented the effects of his own ignorance.

When he not only runs about, but begins to fancy himself capable of governing himself, by how much the more he thinks himself capable, by so much the less capable may he be, and the more may he want to be governd. The avenues of sense are opend: but the judgment and intellectual faculties are not ripend but with time and much practice. The world is not easily known by persons of adult abilities; and, when they become tolerably acquainted with it, yet they find things in it so intricate, dubious, difficult, that it is many times hard for them to resolve, what measures are fittest to be taken: but they, who are not, or but lately, past their nuts, cannot be supposed to have any extent of knowledge, or to be, if they are left to themselves, any thing else but a prey to the villain who first seizes upon them. Instead of judgment and experience we find commonly in youth fuch things as are remotest from them, childish appetites, irregular passions, peevish and obstinate humors; which require to be subdued, and taught to give way to wholfom counfels. Young people are not only obnoxious to their own humors and follies, but also to those of their companions. They are apt to hearken to them, and to imitate one anothers

ct. V

but r

igudi Lizh

y lisy

0: 🕁

bezia był

faac ratio

f ada

dy r

i fo i

y İc

let,

970

di:

Vin.

l ÍL

1

ne b

i E

d fal-

ey 20

250

(I)(I)

thers misconduct: and thus folly mingles with folly, and increases prodigiously. The judgment therefore of the parents must still interpose, and preside, and guide through all these stages of infancy, childhood, and youth; according to their power, improving the minds of their children, breaking the strength of their inordinate passions, cultivating rude nature, forming their manners, and shewing them the way which they ought to be found in.

These things are so in fact, and a parent cannot acquit himself of the duty imposed upon him in the preceding proposition, if he acts so as to deny them: but then he cannot act so as not to deny them (that is, so as to subdue the passions of the child, break his stomach, and cause him to mind his instructions) without some sort of discipline, and a proper severity; at least very rarely.

To all this, and much more that might be urged, must be superadded, that the fortunes of children, and their manner of setting out in the world depending (commonly) upon their parents, their parents must upon this account be their directors, and govern their affairs.

N. 1. It appears now from the premisses, that even parents have not properly a dominion over their children, such as is intended sect. VI. prop. V. from which this parental authority is a very different thing. This only respects the good of the chil-

dren,

For certainly, when it can be, Hoc patrium est, potius confuefacere silium sua sponte recte facere, quam alieno metu, "It is "the duty of a father to accustom his son to do right from "his own good-will, rather than from the sear of others." Ter.

202 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VIII. dren, and reaches not beyond the means, which the parents, acting according to the best of their skill, abilities, and opportunities, find most conducive to that end: but dominion only respects the will of the lord, and is of the same extent with his pleasure. Parents may not, by virtue of this authority, command their children to do any thing which is in itself evil: and if they do, the children ought not to obey t. Nor may they do any thing, what they please, to them. They may not kill, or maim, or expose them ": and when they come to be men or women, and are possest of estates, which either their parents (or any body else) have given them, or they have acquired by their own labor, management, or frugality, they have the same properties in these with respect to their parents, which they have with respect to other people: the parents have no more right to take them by force from them, than the rest of the world have ". So that what

t Περε ταῦτα μότοι ἀπειθεντιε γοιεύσι, σερε α καρ αὐτοὶ τοῖε θείοιε νόμοιε ἐ σείθονται. "We should refuse obedience to parents, only " in such things as are contrary to the laws of God." HIER.

τοβέσι» (1940) το συναίτα το πατέρου και το που πατέρου, αλλα છે τα πομέρου το παράτου το παράτου το παράτου τ - Αποβίναι το παράτου το παράτου το παράτου το παράτου το παράτου το παράτου το παράτου το παράτου το παράτου τ

The barbarity of the thing at length put a stop to the custom of exposing children: but it had been practised by the Perfians, Greeks, &c. Romulus's law only restraind it, but did not abolish it. For itinjoind his citizens only, ἄπασαν ἀξόνια χενοὰν ἐατρίσουν, ἐς θυγατίσουν τὰς œρωτογόνιες ἀποπτινυνιαι δὲ μπόλι τῶν γενομένων νεωτερον τεισιῶς, ωλὸν εἶ τι γενοίτο ωαιδίον ἀνάπηρον, πλ. " to "bring up all the males, and the first-born of the daughters; "and not to destroy any of them, after they were three years "old, unless they were maimed." DION. HALICARN. And beside, ἀπασαν, ὡς εἰπεῖν, ἴδωκον ἰξισίαν ωατεὶ καθ' υίῦ, καὶ ωαρὰ ωἀντα τὸν τῶ βίε χεδίνον, πλ. " the father had absolute power over the "son given him, and that during his whole life." Id.

I

ŀ

ì

what occurs in the place abovementiond remains firm, notwithstanding any thing that may be objected from the case of parents and children. And moreover,

N. 2. They, who found monarchy in paternal authority, gain little advantage with respect to despotic or absolute power. A power to be exercised for the good of subjects (like that of parents for the good of their children), and that principally, where they are incapable of helping themselves, can only be derived from hence. The father of his country cannot by this way of reasoning be demonstrated to be the absolute lord \* of the lives, and limbs. and fortunes of the people, to dispose of them as he pleases 7. The authority of parents goes not this length. Beside, if a parent hath an authority over his children, it doth not follow, that the eldest for should have the same authority, be it what it will, over his brothers and fifters: and much less, that the beir of the first parent should in succeeding generations have it over all the collaterals. The very relation between them foon vanishes, and comes at last in effect to nothing, and this notion with it.

VII. As

<sup>&</sup>quot;their own whilst their fathers were alive; but the goods and the bodies of the children were intirely at the disposal of the fathers, to do what they would with them." Id. These are instances of such laws, as should not be, by prop. IV. sect. VII.

<sup>\*</sup> Roma patrem patriæ Ciceronem libera dixit. "When Rome had liberty to speak, she called Cicero the father of his country." Juv.

y 'Ωι λογιαῶτ க்μῶν ಪρξον. " That should govern us as rational creatures." ARR.

VII. As parents are obliged to educate their children, &c. so children ought to consider parents as the immediate authors (authors under the first and great Cause 2) of their being; or to speak more properly, of their being born. I know children are apt (not very respectfully, or prudently) to say; that their parents did not beget them for their sakes, whom they could not know before they were born, but for their own pleasure. But they, who make this a pretext for their disobedience, or disregard, have not sufficiently thought, what pain, what trouble, how many frights and cares a, what charges, and what felf-denials parents undergo upon the score of their children: and that all these, if parents only rushd into pleasure, and consulted nothing else, might easily be avoided, by neglecting them and their welfare b. For as to those parents, who do this, let them speak for themselves: I shall not be their advocate.

VIII. A great submission and many grateful acknowledgements, much respect and piety are due from children to their parents. For if there is an autho-

שלשתן שותפון ביצירתם ש. "All the three had afhare in the formation of them." S. HHARED.

<sup>2</sup> Utinam oculos in petiora possent Inserere, & patrias inius deprendere curas. " I wish they could look into their breasts, and " see what the inward cares of parents are."

b I confess in Seneca's words, minimum esse beneficium patris matrisque concubitum, nist accesserint alia, quæ prosequerentur boc initium muneris, & aliis officiis boc ratum facerent, "that parents "merely begetting of their children is the smallest kindness if there were nothing else which followed this first office, and confirmed it by other duties."

sity

eira Is **e**a

id ji

OFF.

ler j

ont

ori

nai k, k

dt

of it

ŗœ

tha

this

elk

11

15

nh.

dib

Ø.

i, I

波声

AP S

pare Dura

(Th

A

rity in parents (as before) this must be answerd by a proportionable submission on the other side: since an authority, to which no obedience is due, is equal to no authority.

If the thought of annihilation be generally difagreeable, as it feems to be, then merely to be conficious of existence must have in it something desireable c. And if so, our parents must be confidered as the authors, or at least the instruments of that good to us, whatever it is: which cannot be done, unless they are treated with distinction and great regard, being to us what no other is, or ever can be.

God, as the first cause of all beings, is often styled metaphorically, or in a large sense of the word, the Father of the world, or of us all: and, if we behave ourselves towards Him as being such, we cannot (according to sect. V. pr. XIX. n. 3.) but adore Him. Something analogous, tho in a low degree, to the case between God and his offspring there seems to be in the case between parents and their children. If that requires divine worship, this will demand a great respect and reverence d. Nor can I believe, that a child, who doth not honor his parent, can have any disposition to worship his

X

Creator.

c To ais I dres of it (i var is it is a real pleasure of itself; for some alive) is a real pleasure of itself; for life is naturally a good thing." Arist. The sense of life (of being alive) seems to be something more than what Seneca calls muscarum ac vermium bonum, "the good of slies and worms."

d Oi addaus των "Papaien νόμοι, κλ.—οί δε τι απακάντεροι του του του γενεγονίας ἐσίφθησαν, είς καὶ θεὰς αὐτὰς ἐρμῶσαι καλεῖν. "The antient 's laws of the Romans,—and they that are older yet, paid fo much reverence to parents, as to oblige us to call them Gods." SIMPL.

306 The Religion of Nature. Sect. VIII.

Creator <sup>c</sup>. The precept of honoring parents, to be found in almost all nations and religions, seems to proceed from some such sentiment: for in books we meet with it commonly following, or rather adhering to that of worshipping the Deity <sup>f</sup>. In laying children under this obligation they have all conspired, tho scarce in any thing else <sup>g</sup>.

\* Meo judicio pietas fundamentum est omnium virtutum. "In "my opinion, piety is the foundation of all virtues." The same author reckons among those things, that are laudable, parentem vereri ut deum (neq; enim multo secus parens liberis), "to "reverence a parent as a God (for the relation of a parent to his children is pretty much the same.") Οὐδ' αν πάλιν μείζαν ἐπίδυξιε ἀθία γέγονο τῆς περί γονοῖς ὁλιγωρίας τρὶ πλημμολιίας. "There is no greater demonstration of an atheist, than is "shewn in the contemning or abusing parents." Plut.

f Πανθες--- λίγκοι και αδκσιν, ώς γονεύσι τιμάν μεθά છે જો πορόθαν και μεγίσην η τε φύσις, ο, τε την φύσιν σώζων νόμ@ απεδωπε. " All writers " in prose or poetry affirm, that nature, and the laws that are " agreeable to nature, command the first and greatest reve-" rence to be paid to parents next to the Gods." PLUT. Τογέων τιμών μιλά των πεός Θεον δευδεραν δλαξε [Μώυσης]. " (Mofes) commanded that honour should be paid to parents next to " God." JOSEPH. We indeed usually divide the two tables of Moles's law so, that the fifth commandment (Honor thy father and thy mother) falls in the second: but the Jews themselves divide them otherwise; ως είναι τῶς μέν μιᾶς γραφῶς τὰν ἀρχὰν Θεών, malien--- marlos, to di six@ yoreis, nx. " fo that the first table " begins with (the duty to) the God and father—of all, and ends with (the duty to) parents." PH. Jun. Agreeably to this, Josephus says that oi sixa xiyo, "the ten commandments," were written upon two tables, ara mirre uir eis enarieur [madua]. " five " upon each (table)." Abarbanel reckons the fifth commandment the last of the first table; and fays their Hbakamim " wife men" do fo: and in the offices of that nation these על הלוחות חמשה commandments are mentiond as written המשה. " five upon each table."

"The nature of things, which is the first and best rule of all, teaches us what piety is, &c." VAL. MAX.

The

Sect. VIII

ents, to k

is, seemi

or in bot

g, of ná

y f. In b

ney bawa

virtaha.

virae.

at are last

rensliken,

on of a part

79 7/12

n atheif, 🕏

its." Plut.

uffi duirgh Cut "II un

1 2 10 22

le Godi." Pli

navail. ".... to parenses

the mount

Honor

معنشك اللهم

ar the main

er-0[2];

reeable or

lments, f

th come

ir His

nation 3

ולותת

mag iri

it ruk din

ľ

The admonitions of a parent must be of the greatest weight with his children, if they do but remember, that he hath lived longer, and had repetedoccasions to consider things, and observe events; hath cooler passions, as he advances in years, and fees things more truly as they are; is able in a manner to predict what they themselves will desire to have done, when they shall arrive at his age; may upon these accounts, ordinarily, be presumed to be a more competent judge than themselves h; and lastly from his relation to them must be more sincerely inclined to tell them truth, than any other person in the world can be supposed to be i. I say, if young people reflect well upon these things, they cannot in prudence, or even kindness to themfelves, but pay the utmost deference to the advertisements and directions of a parent.

And to conclude, if parents want the affishance of their children, especially in the declension of their age, and when they verge towards a helpless condition again, they cannot deny or withhold it, but they must at the same time deny to requite the care and tenderness shewd by their parents towards them in their helpless and dangerous years; that is, without being ungrateful; and that is, without being unjust, if there be injustice in ingratitude k.

Nor

h 'O χείτω, τάλλα σάντ' ἀραιεών, τῷ γύρα σροςίθησε τὰν ἐπετερίμην. "Time, which takes away every thing else from us, adds knowledge to old age." Plut.

י אביך ויגרן ניגרן afk thy father, and be will frew thee.

k Δόξειε δ' ἀν τροφῶς γονεῦσε δεῖν μάλις 'επαρκεῖν, ὡς ὁφείλοντας, κεὶ τοῖς αἰτίοις τῶ εἶναι... ἡ τιμέν δὶ καθάπερ θεοῖς. " We ought in the " first place to supply the necessites of our parents, as a debt

308 The Religion of Nature. Sect. VIII. Nor (which is more still) can they do this without denying what they may in their turn require of their children. In effect they do thus by their actions deny that to have been, which has been; and those things to be possible, which may be hereafter.

Not only *bodily* infirmities of parents, but fuch decays of their *minds* as may happen, ought to be pitied, their little hastinesses and mistakes dissembled, and their desects supplied, *decently* m.

IX. That sopyn or affection on both sides, which naturally and regularly is in parents towards their children, and vicissim n, ought to be observed and followd, when there is no reason to the contrary.

We have seen before, and it is evident from the terms, that sense ought to govern, when reason does not interpose; i. e. when there is no reason why it should not. If then this soppin or mutual affection be an inward sense of the case between parents and children, which, without much think-

ing

<sup>&</sup>quot; due to them, who are the authors of our being,—and to reve" rence them as Gods." Arist. Amongst the ancients ארבים, " the rewards of education," and ארבים, " maintenance
" of parents," were reckond due. And he, who doth not requite
to his parents ארבים של אינים של אינים לארבים של אינים לארבים של אינים לארבים של אינים לארבים של אינים של אי

<sup>1</sup> Τοιέτω γίνε σερὶ τες γονεῖς, οἶες ἐν εὐξαιο σειρὶ σεαυτὸν γενίσθει τες σεαυτὰ σκίδας. "Do you behave yourself to your parents, as " you would wish your children to behave themselves towards." you." Isoca.

<sup>·</sup> m That epithet pius (pius Æneas) shines in Virgil.

n Posita est inter parentes ac liberos honesta contentio, dederint majora, an receperint. "There is a very laudable contest betwixt parents and children, viz. whether they have given or received most." Sen.

XI.

15

χŅ

Ī

i)

Ú.

b:

g f

ď

ing upon it, is felt by them, and fits upon their natures °, it may be comprised in prop. XIV, and XV. of fect. III. But whether it is or not, the same may be faid (which must be repeted in another place) of every affection, passion, inclination in general. For when there is no reason, why we should not comply with them, their own very follicitation, and the agreeableness we apprehend to be in complying, are preponderating arguments. must be true, if fomething is more than nothing; or that ought to be granted, which there is no reafon to deny. So that if this sopyn be only taken as a kind of attraction, or tendence, in the mere matter of parents and children; yet still this physical motion or sympathy ought not to be over-ruled, if there be not a good reason for it. On the contrary, it ought to be taken as a suggestion of nature, which should always be regarded, when it is not superfeded, by fomething superior; that is, by reason. But further, here reason doth not only not gainfay, by its filence and confent, and fo barely leave its right of commanding to this bodily inclination; but it comes in strongly to abet and inforce it, as defignd for a reasonable end: and therefore not to act according to it is not to act according to reason, and to deny that to be which is.

X. The same is true of that affection, which other relations naturally have, in some proportion or other, each for other. To this they ought to accommo-

• That is, methinks, a moving description in St Bafil (Treel when he had no other way left to preserve life but by selling one of his children.

date

gio The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VIII. date themselves where reason does not prohibit. The proof of this affertion is much the same with that of the foregoing mut. mutand.

The foundation of all natural relation is laid in marriage. For the busband and wife having folemnly attachd themselves each to other, having the same children, interests, &c. become so intimately related as to be reckond united, one flesh, and in the laws of nations many times one person. Certainly they are such with respect to the posterity, who proceed from them jointly. The children of this couple are related between themselves by the mediation of the parents. For every one of them being of the same blood with their common parents, they are all of the same blood (truly confanguinei), the relations, which they respectively

bear

P Prima societas in ipso conjugio est: proxima in liberis, &c. The strongest alliance is in marriage itself, the next in childen, &c." Cic.

<sup>9</sup> Mulier conjuncta viro concessit in unum. "After the man "and woman are joind together, they become one." Luckium אשובה "They are lookd upon as one body." Ap. R. Elaz. Azq. & pass.

<sup>1 &#</sup>x27;H συγ [σικά] σαίνεται φολυεθής είναι, καὶ ἀρτάθθαι σάσα ἐκ τῆς σατρικῖς οἱ γοιεῖς μὸν γὰρ εἰργεσι τὰ τίκνα, οἱς ἐκατῶν τι ἔντας τὰ δὶ τίκνα τὰς γοιεῖς, οἱς ἀπ' ἐκείνων τι ἔντα.—' Λδελοοὶ δὶ ἀλλάκες [οἰ-λῦσι] τῷ ἐκ τῶν κυτῶν σερυκίναι.—' Ανίψιοι δὶ καὶ οἱ λοιποὶ συγ [σικῖς, — τῷ ἀπὸ τῶν κυτῶν σερυκίναι.—' Ανίψιοι δὶ καὶ οἱ λοιποὶ συγ [σικῖς, — τῷ ἀπὸ τῶν κυτῶν είναι γ γρνουται δ' οἱ μὸν οἰκειότεροι, οἱ δ' ἀλλοτριάτεροι, κτλ. " There are a great many forts of friendship amongs relations, all of them depending upon the parents. For parents have a tender affection for their children, because they are part of themselves; and so have the children for the parents, because they are derived from them.—Brothers also (love) one another, because they are born of the same parents.—Cousins also and other relations,—because they proceed from the same parents also.—And there are some nearer related, and some further off." Arist.

ĈŢ

5

ķ

n'

eric des

ġ.

bear to their parents, meeting there as in their center. This is the nearest relation that can be ', next to those of man and wife, parents and their children, who are immediately related by contact or rather continuity of blood, if one may speak so. The relation between the children of these children grows more remote and dilute, and in time wears out. For at every remove the natural tincture or fympathy may be supposed to be weakend; if for no other reason, yet for this. Every remove takes off half the common blood derived from the grand parents. For let C be the fon of A and B, D the fon of C, E of D, F of E: and let the relation of C to A and B be as 1: then the relation of D to A and B will be but \(\frac{1}{2}\); because C is but one of the parents of D, and so the relation of D to A and B is but the half of that, which C bears to them. By proceeding after the same manner it will be found, that the relation of E to A and B is = (or half of the half), of F : and so on. So that the relation, which descendents in a direct line have by blood to their grand parents, decreasing thus in geometrical proportion t, the relation between them of collateral lines, which passes and is made out

t There is no name for any descendent, who is more than trinepos, "three degrees removed from us."

X 4

through

<sup>•</sup> Quam copiose suavitatis illa recordatio est? In eodem domicilio, antequam nascerer, habitavi: in iisdem incunabulis infantiæ tempora peregi: eosdem appellavi parentes, &c. "How very pleasant is the remembrance of these things? I dwelt in the same dwelling (with such an one) before I was born; I passed my infancy in the same cradle; I called the same persons my parents, &c." Val. Max.

312 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. VIII. through the grand parents, must soon be reduced to an inconsiderable matter ".

If then we suppose this affection or sympathy, when it is permitted to act regularly and according to nature, no reason intervening to exalt or abate it, to operate with a strength nearly proportionable to the quantity or degree of relation, computed as above, we may perhaps nearly discern the degrees of that obligation, which persons related lie under, to assist each other, from this motive.

But there are many circumstances and incidents in life capable of affecting this obligation, and altering the degrees of it. A man must weigh the wants of bimself and his own family against those of his relations: he must consider their fex, their age, their abilities and opportunities, how capable they are of good offices, how they will take them, what use they will make of them, and the like. He, who defigns to act agreeably to truth, may find many fuch things demanding his regard; some justly moving him to compassion, others holding back his hand. But however this may in general be taken as evident, that next after our parents and own offspring \* nature directs us to be helpful, in the first place to brothers and sisters, and then to other relations according to their respective distances in the genealogy of the family, preferably to all foreigners \*. And tho our power, or oppor-

tunities

<sup>&</sup>quot; It becomes duwled, "very obscure." Andr. Rhod.

w Man and Wife are supposed to be one, and therefore have no place here; any more than a man and his felf. Otherwise considered distinctly, the one of them ought always to be the first care of the other.

x Must καστηνότω Γσον σοινίσθαι ότα ίζον. "We must not treat a "friend equally with a relation." Hes.

tunities of helping them in their wants should be but little; yet we ought to preserve our affection towards them, and a disposition to serve them, as far as we boneftly and prudently can, and whenever the proper opportunity shall present itself. This nature and truth require.

SECT. IX. Truths belonging to a Private Man, and respecting (directly) only himself.

I. PERY man knows (or may know) best, what his own faculties, and personal circumstances are, and consequently what powers he has of acting, and governing himself. Because he only of all mankind has the internal knowledge of himself, and what he is; and has the only opportunity by restexion and experiments of himself to find, what his own abilities, passions, &c. truly are 2.

II. He, that well examines himself, I suppose, will find these things to be true \*.

y For many I acknowledge there are, who seem to be without reflexion, and almost thought. The α'ρτοις τὸν οἰακίαν οὐσιν; πολλοι τάχα δὶ πάντες πλὸν ὀλίγων. "Who is there that does not "understand what he himself is? A great many truly; nay, "all but a very few." St Chrys.

2 Nec fe quæsiverit extra. "Let him not seek for himself" out of himself."

<sup>2</sup> Illud ? vi 3: crawròr noli putare ad arrogantiam minuendam folùm esse dictum, verùm etiam ut bona nostra norimus. "Do not "imagine that that (precept) understand yourself thoroughly, "was said only to lessen men's pride, but surther that they "might know all the good things which belong to them." C1c. ad Qu. fr.

1. That

## 314 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX.

- 1. That there are fome things common to him not only with sensitive animals and vegetables, but also with inanimate matter: as, that his body is subject to the general law of gravitation; that its parts are capable of being separated, or dislocated; and that therefore he is in danger from falls, and all impressions of violence.
- with vegetables and fensitive animals: as, that he comes from a seed (such the original animalculum may be taken to be); grows, and is preserved by proper matter, taken in and distributed through a set of vessels; ripens, slourishes, withers, decays, dies; is subject to diseases, may be hurt, or killed; and therefore wants, as they do, nourishment, a proper habitation, protection from injuries, and the like.
- 3. That he has other properties common only to bim and the fensitive tribe: as, that he receives by his senses the notice of many external objects, and things; perceives many affections of his body; finds pleasure from some, and pain from others; and has certain powers of moving himself, and acting: that is, he is not only obnoxious to hurts, diseases, and the causes of death, but also feels them b; is not only capable of nourishment, and many

b Non sentire mala sua non est hominis: & non serve non est wiri. "Not to be sensible of the evils we lie under is not to "be a man, and not to be able to bear them is to want the "courage of a man." Sen. who condescends here to be something like other men. As also when he says, Alia sunt, qua fapientem feriunt, etiams non pervertunt; ut dolor capitis, &c. Hac non nego sentire sapientem, &c. "There are some things "which

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 315 many other provisions made for him, but also injoys them; and, beside, may contribute much himfelf to either his injoyments, or his sufferings.

I.J

0 2

ody i

hat 3

XIII.

to E

lı i

4.11

ved i

cugi.

ra,

ا الثالثاء

All, i

, 200

r to

isti

3, 25 bob;

واللك

; rd

io /4

L 5.

17 251 Ş İS DOLB

arantis be look

iut, pi

me ding

6 B

4. That beside these he has other faculties, which he doth not apprehend to be either in the inert mass of matter, or in vegetables, or even in the sensitive kind, at least in any considerable degree; by the help of which he investigates truth, or probability, and judges, whether things are agreeable to them, or not, after the manner set down in sect. III. or, in a word, that he is animal rationale.

5. That he is conscious of a liberty in himself to act or not to act; and that therefore he is fuch a being as is described sect. I. prop. I. a being, whose acts may be morally good or evil. Further,

6. That there are in him many inclinations and aversions; from whence flow such affections, as defire, hope, joy, hatred, fear, sorrow, pity, anger, &c. all which prompt him to act this or that way.

7. That he is sensible of great defests and limitations in the use of his rational faculties, and powers of action, upon many occasions: as also, that his passions are many times apt to take wrong turns, to grow warm, irregular, excessive d. In

other

<sup>&</sup>quot;which strongly affect a wife man, though they don't quite overpower him, as the head-ach, &c. I do not deny but that a wife man feels such things," &c.

c Qui se ipse norit, aliquid sentiet se babere divinum, &c. "He that understands what sort of a being he himself is, will se perceive that he has something that is divine in him." Cic.

שאור הדוטר ויצר הרע " nature which is backward, " and a will corrupted," are (in Jewifb language) אוןר "the leaven in the lump."

316 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. other words, that he is in many respects fallible, and infirm '.

Lastly, that he desires to be bappy: as everything must, which understands what is meant by that word.

- III. If be doth find these things to be so, then if be will ast as he ought to do (that is, agreeably to truth and fact) be must do such things as these.
- 1. He must subject bis sensual inclinations, bis bodily passions, and the motions of all bis members to reason; and try every thing by it. For in the climax set down he cannot but observe, that as the principle of vegetation is something above the inertia of mere matter, and sense something above that again; so reason must be something above all these : or, that his uppermost faculty is reasons.

<sup>e</sup> 'Αμάχαιοι είναι ἄτθ εφιπόν τινα ἄναμαρτάθοι. " It is next to impossible for a man to be free from all fin." CHRYS.

- The author of S. Hbared. reckons eight, the right use of which comprehends all practical religion: the heart, the eye, the mouth, nose, ear, hand, foot, and הגווה יי cipal member." The duties respecting these are the subject of that (not bad) book.
- pecus vivit, nec tamen lapidem puto vivere, aut pecus intelligere: qui autem intelligit, eum & esse vivere certissimum est. Quare non dubito id excellentius judicare, cui omnia tria insunt, quàm id eni duo vel unum dest. "Since there are these three things, to exist, to live, and to have understanding; and a stone exists, beasts live, for I cannot think that a stone lives, or a beast has understanding; it is most certain, that the being, which has understanding, both exists and lives. Wherefore I don't at all scruple to declare him that has in him all these three, to be a superior being to him who wants one or two of them." St Aust. Thus reason sets man above the other visible orders of beings, &c.

son.



fon b. And from hence it follows, that he is one of those beings mentiond sect. III. prop. XI. and that the great law imposed upon him is to be governd by reason.

Any man may prove this to himself by experiment, if he pleases. Because he cannot (at least without great violence to his nature) do any thing, if he has a greater reason against the doing of it than for it. When men do err against reason, it is either because they do not (perhaps will not) advert, and use their reason, or not enough; or because their faculties are defective.

j ķ

tri:

'n

4

'n.

'nί

Ŀë

e Ger

1

χĽ

dai dai

iya. 10 C

71

já.

And further, by fect. III. prop. X. to endeavour to act according to right reason, and to endeavour to act according to truth are in effect the same thing. We cannot do the one, but we must do the other. We cannot act according to truth, or so as not to deny any truth, and that is we cannot act right, unless we endeavour to act according to right reason, and are led by it.

Therefore not to subject one's fensitive inclinations and passions to reason is to deny either that he is rational, or that reason is the supreme and ruling faculty in his nature: and that is to desert mankind, and to deny himself to be what he knows

" which ought to be obedient to her." Cic.

h Præsto est domina omnium & regina ratio—.Hæc ut imperes illi parti animi, quæ obedire debet, id videndum est viro. "Rea-

<sup>&</sup>quot;fon, the governor and ruler of all things, is ready—; every
"man therefore is to fee that she governs that part of the soul,

¹ Abjecto bomine in fylvestre animal transire. "To cast off the man, and become a wild creature." Sen. Έν τῷ λογικῷ τίνων χωριζόμιθα; τῶν θηρίων.—"Oga ἔν μά τι Φῶι ὡι θηρίον Φοιάσμε. "Whom are we distinguished from by our reason?---from the "beasts;

knows himself by experience and in his own conficience upon examination to be, and what he would be very angry if any body should say he was not.

If a beaft could be supposed to give up his sense and activity; neglect the calls of hunger, and those appetites by which he (according to bis nature) is to be guided; and refuling to use the powers, with which he is indued in order to get his food and preserve his life, lie still in some place, and expect to grow, and be fed like a plant; this would be much the same case, only not so bad, as when a man cancels his reason, and as it were strives to metamorphoze himself into a brute. And yet this he does, who purfues only fenfual objects, and leaves himself to the impulses of appetite and passion. For as in that case the brute neglects the law of bis nature, and affects that of the order below him: fo doth the man disobey the law of bis nature, and put himself under that of the lower animals; to whom he thus makes a defection \*.

If this be so, how wretchedly do they violate the order of nature, and transgress against truth, who not only reject the conduct of reason to sollow sense and passion, but even make it subservient

<sup>&</sup>quot;beasts; take care then that you do not imitate the beasts in any thing." Arrian. Pertinet ad omnem officii quæstionem semper in promptu babere, quantum natura bominis pecudibus reliquisque belluis antecedat. "In all enquiries concerning our duty, we ought always to have this uppermost, viz. how much the nature of man is superior to that of cattle or any other beasts." Cic.

k Περε την των Snelwe adopter inπεσων. "To fink into as it little reason as a beast has." Chrys.

I.

1

Ŀ

1

Y

1

Æ

Ľ,

۳

1

Sē

ďς

Щ

to them 1; who use it only in finding out means to effect their wicked ends m, but never apply it to the confideration of those ends, or the nature of those means, whether they are just or unjust, right or wrong? This is not only to deviate from the path of nature, but to invert it, and to become fomething more than brutish; brutes with reason, which must be the most enormous and worst of all brutes. When the brute is governd by sense and bodily appetites, he observes bis proper rule; when a man is governd after that manner in defiance of reason, he violates his; but when he makes his rational powers to ferve the brutish part, to affist and promote it, he heightens and increases the brutality, inlarges its field, makes it to act with greater force and effect ", and becomes a monster.

His duty then, who is conscious to himself of the truth of those things recounted under the fore-

going

A thing too often done. Quæ enim libido, quæ avaritia, quod facinus aut suscipitur nisi consilio capto, aut sine--ratione perficitur? "For what sensual pleasure, what avaritious thing is "undertaken, without first advising about it; or compleated, "—without making use of reason?" Cotta ap. Cic.

m Something like him, who in Chrysosom's words, διὰ τῶν εἰδιαν καταδύει τὸ σκός ⑤, "made use of the rudder to fink the "ship."

<sup>&</sup>quot;This makes Cotta fay, Satius fuit nullam omnino nobis à diis immortalibus datam effe rationem, quam tanta cum pernicie datam, "That it had been better that the immortal Gods had never given us any reason at all, than to have given it us in so "destructive a manner:" with other bitter things. Tho an answer to this may be given in the words which follow afterward: A deo tantum rationem habemus, si modo habemus: bonam autem rationem, aut non bonam, à nobis; "The reason which "we have (the faculty) is given us by God, but whether it be good or bad, that is from ourselves."

220 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. going proposition, is to examine every thing carefully, and to see that he complies with no corporeal inclination at the expense of his reason; but that all his affections, concupiscible and irascible, be directed towards fuch objetts, and in fuch measure, time, and place, as that allows. Every word o and action, every motion and step in life should be conducted by reason P. This is the foundation and indeed the sum of all virtue.

2. He must take care not to bring upon bimself 4 svant, diseases, trouble; but, on the contrary, endea-

· This certainly excludes all that talk, which familiarizes vice, takes off those restraints which men have from nature or a modest education, and is so utterly destructive of virtue, that Aristotle banishes it out of the commonwealth. "Ohos wir air xpo-Loylar in The worther, wormen and to te, delitor romodican ifoeller in The देश व्यंत्रक्टिंद अंद्रकार केराया नका व्यंत्रत्र हुन मुझे महे कहाला नवंशक्रियट. " A law-" giver ought above all things entirely to banish all filthy dif-" course out of acity, for men easily go from saying filthy things

" to doing them."

P True, manly reason: which is a very different thing from that superstitious preciseness, which carries things too far. As v. g. when the Jews not contented to condemn דבור נבלה, " obscene discourse," or הפה , נבלות, הפה " filthy talk," and every where to express גורל, "the heinousness of the "thing forbidden," go so far as to comprehend under it י אפרשיחה קלה שארם משיח עם אשתן, " that trifling " discourse which passes betwixt a man and his wife;" and to add, מוציא מלה לבטלה כמוציא זרע לבטלה וכו' that " bringing forth an idle word is like bringing forth idle feed." There are other fayings of this kind to be seen, many of them, among those, which R. El. de Vidas has collected: as that particularly, כן ענין ראות צריך שלא להיציאו לבטלה וכר׳, "that a man should not make an idle use of his eyes." What Ælian reports of Anaxagoras and others, belongs to this place; that they never laughed: with many other unnecessary austerities, which might be added.

אכי לו מי לו פי אין אני לו מי לו פי לו י If I don't take care of my-

" felf, who will take care of me?" P. AB.

บ่านา

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 321 vour to prevent them, and to provide for his own comfortable subsistence, as far as be can without contradicting any truth ' (that is, without denying matters of fast, and fuch propositions, as have been already or will in the sequel here be shewn to be true, concerning God, property, the superiority of reason, &c.) To explain this limitation: if a man should consider himself as obnoxious to hunger, weather, injuries, diseases, and the rest; then, to fupply his wants, take what is his neighbour's property; and at last, in vindication of himself, say, " I act according to what I am, a being obnoxious " to hunger, &c. and to act otherwise would be " incompliance with truth;" this would not be fufficient to justify him. The grand rule requires,

· Πεοσδεί αι τέ αν [των οκιδε αγαθών] ο ανθεώπιν . βίω · κύριαι S' eisir ai zar' apilir irigyetat The sidatuorias. "These (external goods) are necessary to the life of man, but virtuous actions " are necessary to his happiness." Arist. They, who treated the body and things pertaining to it as merely addition, "things "that did not belong to them;" distinguishing between 70 க்டிரிந்து, " fuch things as are our own," and சல் சல்டிகிடு, " fuch as belong to the body;" making these latter to be with med imas, "nothing to us," and leaving the body as it were to itself (auli [σωμάτιον] μεριμιάλω,—εξ τι σάσχει,) (" to " be follicitous for itself,-if it suffers anything:)" they, I fay, might injoy their own philosophy; but they would scarce gain many profelytes now a days, or ever perfuade people, that the pains they feel are not theirs, or any thing to them. Nor indeed do I much credit many stories that are told of some old philofophers: as that of Anaxarchus, when he was put to a most cruel death by Nicocreon (viz. pounded in a mortar) seportiourla τῆς τιμωρίας, εἰπεῖν--Πτίσσε τὸν ἀναξάρχε θύλακον, ἀνάξαρχον 🚯 क्रे कर्रभेनीला, " not valuing the punishment, cried out, ---You " may beat the bag of Anaxarchus, but you cannot strike " Anaxarchus himself." See Epiet. Arr. Simpl. Anton. D. Laert. and others.

Y

that

222 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. that what he does, should interfere with no truth: but what he does interferes with feveral. For by taking that, which (by the supposition) is bis neighbour's, he acts as if it was not bis neighbour's, but bis own, and therefore plainly contradicts fast, and those truths in sect. VI, VII. respecting property: when, by not taking what is his neighbour's, he would contradict no truth, he would not deny himself to be obnoxious to hunger, &c. There are other ways of furnishing himself with conveniencies, or at least necessaries, which are consistent with property and all truth: and he can only be faid to deny himself to be what he is by omitting to provide against his wants, when he omits to provide against them by some of those ways; and then indeed he doth do it. (See p. 46. Anf. to Obj. 3.)

So again, when a man does any thing to avoid present suffering or dangers centrary to the express dictates of reason, and the tenor of forementiond truths, he acts as a sensitive being only, not as being what he really is, sensitive-rationalis. But when there is no good argument against his doing of any thing, that may gain him protection from evil, or a better condition of life, he may then look upon himself only as a being, who needs that which is to be obtaind by doing it: and in that case, if he should not do it, he would be false to himself, and deny the circumstances of his own nature.

Certainly when a man may without transgressing the limits prescribed consult his own safety, support, and reasonable satisfaction, and does not; and especially when he takes a counter-course, and exposes

Exposes himself, he forgets many of the foregoing truths, and treats himself as not being what he is. This is true with respect to futurity, as well as the present time: and indeed by how much future time is more than the present, by so much the more perhaps ought that to be regarded. At least injoyments ought to be taken and adjusted in such a manner, that no one should preclude, or spoil more, or greater to come.

İ

ü

'n

j.

21

M

06

þ

he

A

It may easily be understood here, that those evils, which it is not in a man's power to prevent, he must endeavour to bear patiently and decently, i. e. as such; and moreover, such as are made by this means lighter ': for when they cannot be totally prevented, as much of the effect must be prevented, or taken off, as can be. And in order to this it is good to be prepared for all attacks; especially the last, great one ".

3. He must consider even bodily and sensual affections, passions, and inclinations as intimations, which many times be not only may, but ought to bearken to. What is said before of the subjection of passions and appetites to reason must always be rememberd. They are not to proceed from unjustifiable causes, or terminate in wrong objects; not be unseasonable or immoderate. Being thus regulated, set to a

Ne offeramus nos periculis fine causa; quo nihil potest esse stultius.—In tranquillo tempestatem adversam optare dementis est, Nothing can be more soolish than to run ourselves into danse gers without any reason.—He is a mad man that wishes for a storm when the weather is good." CIC.

t Levius fit patientia, Quicquid corrigere est nefas. "What cannot be quite cured, is made easier by natience." Hor.

man's definition of philosophy.

Y 2 true

324 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. true biass, and freed from all eruptions and violence, they become fuch as are here intended; gentle ferments working in our breasts, without which we should settle in inactivity w; and what I think may be taken for just motives and good arguments to act upon.

For if a man finds, that he has not only a superior faculty of reason, but also an inferior appetitive faculty, under which are containd many propensions and aversions, these cannot be denied to be any more than that; tho they must be taken indeed for what they really are, and not more. When they are checked by reason and truth, or there lies a reason against them (as there always will, when they are not within the foresaid restrictions), they must be taken as clogd with this circumstance, as things overruled and disabled: but when they are under no prohibition from the superior powers and truth, then they are to be considerd as unfetterd and free, and become governing principles. For (as it has been observed upon a particular occasion before p. 309.) when there is no reason against the complying with our fenses, there is always one for it by prop. XIV. fect. III. the inclination itfelf, being precluded by nothing above it, is in this case uppermost, and in course takes the commanding post: and then a man must act as being what he is in n. 3. under prop. II. of this section.

The fprings of all human actions are in fact, either a fense of duty, or a prospect of some pleasure

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;H อุจุล---อัสรมสอง ลักลัง อักจุศฐต. " Anger-is to excite the drowty." Chrys.

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 325 or profit to be obtaind, some evil or danger to be avoided; that is, either the reasonableness of what is done, or the manner, in which something doth or is like to affect the agent: and that is again, human actions are founded either in reason, or passion and inclination. (I need not add they may be in both.) This being so, what should hinder, when reason does not work, but that the inserior springs should retain their nature, and act?

î.N

'n

È

ĺυρ

рþr

y F

nic

Ken:

IC,

11

m.

G

ż

ď

Bodily inclinations and passions, when they obferve their due fubordination to reason, and only take place, where that leaves it open for them, or allows them to be as it were assessor to it upon the throne, are of admirable use in life, and tend many times to noble ends. This is applicable to the irascible, as well as the concupiscible assections and the whole animal system. Love of that which is amiable, compassion \* toward the miserable and helpless, a natural abborrence and resentment \* of

Y 3

that

When the Stoics say, that a wise man may relieve one, who wants his help, without pitying him; I own indeed he may, but I very much doubt whether he awould. If he had not some compassion, and in some measure selt the ails or wants of the other, I scarce know how he should come to take him for an object of his charity.

y 'O μεν το' οῖς δεῖ, κεὶ οῖς δεῖ ὁρριζομεν , ἔτι το κεὶ ἀς δεῖ, κεὶ ὁτε, κεὶ οῖτε, κεὶ οῖτε κεὶ ἀς δεῖ, κεὶ οῖτε κεὶ ἀς δεῖ, κεὶ οῖτε κεὶ ἀς γενον χεόνον, ἐπαινεῖται. " He is to be commended, who is an" gry with those persons that he ought to be angry with, and " for such things as he ought to be angry for, and in such a " manner, and in the proper time, and only for so long, as he " ought." Arist. To be angry under these conditions is a different thing from rage, and those transports which perhaps fearce comply with any one of them: such as that of Alexander, who, because his ἐεσίμεν , " beloved friend" died, commanded the ᾿Ασκληπεῖα, " temples of Æsculapius" to be all burnt. Arr.

that which is villainous or vitious or base z, fear z, of evils, are things, which duly temperd have laudable effects: and without them mankind could not well subsist. By which it appears, that the Author of nature has placed these conatus's, these tendencies, and reluctancies in us, to dispose us for action, when there are no arguments of a bigher nature to move us. So far are they, rightly managed, from being mere infirmities. And certainly the philosopher, who pretends to absolute apathy, maims nature, and sets up for a half-man, or I don't know what b.

I must confess however, that our passions are so very apt to grow upon us, and become exorbitant, if they are not kept under an exast discipline, that by way of prevention or caution it is advisable rather to affect a degree of apathy, or to recede more from the worse extreme c. This very proposition

b A wise man is not aπαθης, "entirely without passions, but μεθειοπαθής, "has them in a moderate degree." Arist. ap. Diog. L.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> There is, according to Tully, Givile odium, quo omnes improbos odimus, " a public hatred, by which we hate all wicked " persons in general."

<sup>\*</sup> Φοζώμιθα δηλονδιιτὰ φοζιερί.—φοζίμιθα οξη απάντα τὰ κακά οξιος αδοξίαν, αφνίαν, νόσον, ἀφιλίαν, θάναλον.—ἔνια γὰς καὶ δεῖ φοζεῖσθαι, καὶ καλόν τὸ δὲ μιὸ, αἰσχρὸν, κλ. "We are afraid indeed of fuch "things as are really dreadful;—and therefore we are afraid "of all real evils, fuch as difgrace, poverty, difeafes, want of friends, and death—It is right to be afraid of fome things, 'and wicked not to be afraid of them." Arist. When one called Xenophanes coward, because he would not play at dice with him, ὁμολογοῖ απάνυ δειλὸς εἶναι πρὸς τὰ αἰσχρος καὶ ἄλολμθ, "he owned that he was a coward, and had no courage, with regard to things that are wicked." Plut.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup> Δεῖ τὸν τοχαζόμενον τῶ μέσε ἀποχωρεῖν τῷ μᾶλλον ἐνατῖε - τῶν γὰρ ἄκερν, τὸ μέν ἐς ἐν ἀμαρθωλίθερον τὸ δὲ ἦτθον. "He who aims at a " medium,

position itself, which, when reason is absent, places sense and inclination in the chair, obliges not to permit the reins to our passions, or give them their full carreer; because if we do, they may (and will) carry us into such excesses, such dangers and mischiefs, as may sadly affect the sensitive part of us: that part itself, which now governs. They ought to be watched, and well examind: if reason is on their side, or stands neuter, they are to be heard (this is all, that I say): in other cases we must be deaf to their applications, strongly guard against their emotions, and in dua time prevent their rebelling against the sovereign faculty.

71,

J.

1

Ĭ.

32

t

Į.

2

GŽ

ij.

M

n it

de

ũ

ŧ,

I cannot forbear to add, the I fear I shall tire you with repetitions, that from what is said here and just before, not only the *liberty* men take in preferring what they like best, among present injoyments, meats, drinks, &c. so far as they are inmeent; but all those prudential and lawful methods, by which they endeavour to secure to themselves a comfortable and pleasant being, may be justified, and that obs. under prop. XIII. in sect. II. strengthend.

"medium, should depart from that (extreme) which is most contrary; --- for one of the two extremes has more of vice in it than the other." ARIST.--- In the same chapter he gives two other excellent rules, which I cannot but set down here. Exortiv dis webs a wirel substandered is user--- is rovivaller disults deplaced in a discount of it will be considered in the constant of the constant. "We ought to consider what (vices) we are most inclined to,--- and to bend ourselves to the contrary; --- as they do, who endeavour to make crooked slicks strait." And after, "Er wast the pleasure out and to be vices, we should take great care as to the pleasure of it; for we are very apt to have our judgtiment corrupted by pleasure."

Digitized by Google

If

## 328 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX.

If the gratification of an appetite be incompatible with reason and truth, to treat that appetite according to what it is, is to deny it: but if it is not, to use it as it is, is to consider it as an appetite clear of all objections, and this must be to comply with it. The humoring of such appetites, as lie not under the interdict of truth and reason, seems to be the very means, by which the Author of nature intended to sweeten the journey of life: and a man may upon the road as well mussle himself up against sun-shine and blue sky, and expose himself bare to rains and storms and cold, as debar himself of the innocent delights of his nature for affected melancholys want, and pain. Yet,

4. He must use what means be can to cure his own defects, or at least to prevent the effects of them; learn to deny temptations, or keep them at a proper distance d; even mortify, where mortification is necessary c; and always carry about him the sense of his being but a man. He who doth not do this, doth not conform himself to the seventh particular under the preceding prop. (doth not own that to be true,

which

e What should a man do to live? אומים "Should he destroy himself?" Mishn.

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 329 which he is supposed to have found true in himself); denies a defect to be what it is, to be something which requires to be supplied, or amended;

and is guilty of an omission, that will fall under

fect. I. prop. V.

Ċ

ĸ:

Ċ

. Al

ĺ

100

ide

Me,

bj

į,

I might here mention some precautions, with some kinds and degrees of mortification or felf-denial, which men will commonly find to be necessary. But I shall not prescribe; leaving them, who best know their own weak places and diseases, to select for themselves the proper remedies.

I shall only take notice, that since the self-denial here recommended can only respect things in themselves lawful and not unreasonable, and in favor of such our bare inclinations have been allowed to be taken for arguments and directions, it looks as if this advice to deny one's self or inclinations inferred a contradiction. But this knot will be quickly untied. For when we deny our inclinations in order to better our natures, or prevent crimes, tho to follow those inclinations might otherwise be right; yet in these circumstances and under this view there arises a good reason against it, and they, according to the established rule, must therefore give way: which is all that is intended self-

The last clause of the proposition takes in a great campass. It will oblige men, if they do but think well what they are, and consequently what others of the same kind with themselves also are, not to be proud, conceited, vain; but modest, and humble, and rather diffident of themselves: not to censure the failings of others too hardly, not to be over-

fevere

f No monkery, no superstitious or phantastical mortifications are here recommended.

330 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. fevere in punishing or exacting justice <sup>g</sup>, and particularly not to be revengeful; but candid, placable, mansuete: and so forth.

5. He ought to examine h bis own actions and conduct, and where he finds he has transgressed i, to repent. That is, if the transgression be against his neighbour, and the nature of it admits, to make reparation, or at least as far as he can: in other cases, when that which is done cannot be recalled, or repaird, or terminates in bimfelf only, to live however under a sense of his fault, and to prove by fuch acts as are proper, that he defires forgiveness, and heartily wishes it undone; which is as it were an essay towards the undoing of it k, and all that now can be 1: and lastly, to use all possible care not to relapse. All this is involved in the idea of a fault, or action that is wrong, as it presents itfelf to a rational mind. For fuch a mind cannot approve what is unreasonable, and repugnant to

s ארכור הרין, "the merciful man does good according to the best of his judgment," (which words I understand in the sense, that Rashi seems to put upon them, Gen. xliv. 10.)

h II magicar; red toefa; ri poe Stor in Michiagn; "Wherein

" have I transgressed? and what have I done? wherein have

" I failed in what was my duty?" Aur. Carm.

i Τίς γαρ είς τὸν ἀγῶνα τῶ βία σταρελθων ἄπτωτ 🕿 ἴμεινε; τίς δ' ἐκ ἐποσκελίσθείς; εἰδαίμων ὁ μὰ στολλάκις. " For who has gone " through the circuit of life, and kept his legs? nay, who is

"there that has not fallen quite down? He is a happy man,

" if he has not done so a great many times." PH. Jud.

k Quem pænitet peccasse, penè est innocens. "He that repents

" of his crime is almost innocent." SEN.

י Even a Jew fays, רישובה] שקולה כנגר כל הקרבנות fays, וישובה] "that repentance may be weighed against any sacrifice."

S. HHASID.

truth;

truth; that is, what is wrong, or a fault: nay more, it cannot but disapprove it, detest it. No rational animal therefore can act according to truth, the true nature of himself and the idea of a crime, if he doth not endeavour not to commit it; and, when it is committed, to repair it, if he can, or at least shew himself to be penitent. m.

If when a man is *criminal*, he doth not behave himself as such; or, which is the fame, behaves himself as being not such, he opposes truth confidently.

ĸ

to

eis

IJ,

And further, to act agreeably to what he is supposed to find himself to be, is to act as one who is in danger of relapsing: which is to be upon his guard for the future.

6. He must labor to improve his national faculties by such means, as are (fairly) prasticable by him, and consistent with his circumstances. If it he a disadvantage to be obnoxious to error, and act in the dark, it is an advantage to know such truths as may prevent this: if so, it is a greater advantage to know, or be capable of knowing, more such truths ": and then again, not to endeavour to improve those faculties, by which these truths are apprehended, is to shut them out, as being not what they are ".

And

m Exaction. "Have you spoke evil of any man? speak well of him for the future. Have you over-reached any man? make him fatisfaction. Have you been drunk? then fast." St Basil.

n "Ber pap to oils esteropia uniqueses athua. " Ror philosophy is really the best of all possessions." Just. M.

<sup>•</sup> And perhaps as if our own minds were not what they are. For πάνεις άνθερποι τὰ είδιο το είγο πία φύνει, "all men have naturally a thirst after knowledge." ARIST.

## 332 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX.

And moreover, by the inlargement of our rational faculties we become *more rational*; that is, we advance our natures <sup>p</sup>, and become more attentive to *rational injoyments*.

The ordinary means indeed of improving our minds are the inftruction of able men, reading, observation, meditation: but every man has not proper opportunities, or capacity for these, or but in some low degree; and no man is obliged beyond his abilities, and opportunities (by sect. IV. prop. II.) Therefore that mollification is added, by such means, &c.

Beside bealth, a comfortable and suitable provifion of externals is so necessary to the well-being of the whole man, that without it the rational part cannot dwell easy, all pursuits of knowledge will be liable to interruption, and improvements (commonly) impersect <sup>q</sup>. And so reason itself (which cannot betray its own interest) must for its own sake concur in seeking and promoting that, which tends to the preservation and happiness of

the

P Aristotle being asked, what he got by philosophy, answerd, Τὸ ἀνεπιτάκτως ωριδίν ἄ τινες διὰ τὸν ἀπὸ τῶν νόμων φόζον ωριδίσεν, " To do that without being commanded, which other people " do out of fear of the laws." And another time, how the learned differd from the unlearned, said, "Οσω οἱ ζῶντις τῶντωθνωκότων, τὰν ωπιδείαν ὕλεγεν ἀ μὰν εὐτυχίως εἶναι κόσμον, ἀ ταῖς ἀτυχίως καταθυγὰν. " Asmuch as the living do from the dead. Learn—" ing, he said, was an ornament to men when they were in " prosperity, and a refuge for them to flee to when they were " in adversity." D. LAERT.

q 'Λοθισατον γάρ, α ε' ράδιον, τα καλά απεάτθειν αχορήγητον όντα απολλά μεν γάρ απεάτθεται καθάπερ δί οργάνων, κτλ. "It is impossible, " at least it is very difficult for a man to do much good, if he want the necessaries of life; for many things are done as it were by instruments." ARIST.

the wbole. But the doing of this ingroffes time and industry; and before that which is fought can be obtaind (if it is ever obtaind), probably the use of it is lost: except where men live by the profession of some part of learning.

And as to them who are more free from worldly cares, or whose business and imployment brings them into a stricter acquaintance with letters, after all their endeavours (such is the great variety of human circumstances in other respects) they must be contented with several degrees and portions of knowledge. Some are blest with clean and strong constitutions, early instructions and other helps, succeeding incouragements, useful acquaintance, and freedom from disturbance: whilst others, under an ill state of body, or other disadvantages, are forced to be their own guides, and make their way as well as they can.

But notwithstanding all this, every man may in some degree or other endeavour to cultivate his nature, and possess himself of useful truths. And not to do this is (again) to cast off reason (which never can be reasonable), apostatize from humanity, and recoil into the bestial life.

at,

οÍ

ı

í¢

7. He must attend to instruction \*, and even ask advice; especially in matters of consequence. Not to do

<sup>\*</sup> Nam fuit quoddam tempus, cum in agris bomines passim bestiarum modo wagabantur, &c. "For there was a time, when men wanderd about the fields just as the beasts do now, &c." Cic.

The effect, which Xenocrates's lecture had upon Polemo, is remarkable: unius orationis saluberrima medicina sanatus, ex infami ganeone maximus philosophus evasit. "He was restored by

334 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. do this is to deny, that his faculties are limited and defective, or that he is fallible (which is contrary to that, which he is prefumed to be conscious of); and perhaps, that it is possible for another to know what he doth not.

Advice every man is capable of hearing, and the meaner a man's own improvements are, the more doth truth press him to submit to the counsel and opinions of others. Nor is every one only capable, but every one wants upon some occasions to be informed. In how many country affairs must the scholar take the rustic for his master? In how many other men of business, traders and mechanics? And on the other side, in respect of how many things does the generality of the world want to be taught by them, who are learned and honest?

There is or should be a commerce or interchange of counsel and knowledge, as well as of other things: and where men have not these of their own growth, they should thankfully receive what may be imported from other quarters.

I do not mean, that a man ought implicitly and blindly to follow the opinion of another to (this other being fallible too, as well as himself), unless the has in bimself a good reason so to do, which many times happens; but by the assistance of another, and hearing what he has to say, to find out

morg

<sup>&</sup>quot; by the most wholsome physic of one oration, and from an infamous debauchee became a very great philosopher." VAL. M.

<sup>\*</sup> Like them, who fubmit to their Hhakamim, " wife men," לוכר וא ימין שהוא שמאל וכר " though they " fhould affirm a man's right hand to be his left." In S. Iq-yaar. Many more instances might easily be given.

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 335 more certainly on which fide reason, truth, and bappiness (which always keep close together) do lie. And thus it is indeed a man's own reason at last, which governs.

ď.

Ė

ď.

ı

ä

137

ıď

He, who is governd by what another fays (or does) without understanding it and making the reason of it his own, is not governd by his own reason, and that is, by no reason that he has. To say one is led by the nose (as we commonly speak ) gives immediately the idea of a brute .

Lastly, He must labor to clear bis mind of those preoccupations and incumbrances which hang about it, and hinder him from reasoning freely, and judging impartially. We set out in life from such poor beginnings of knowledge, and grow up under such remains of superstition and ignorance, such influences of company and fashion, such insinuations

<sup>&</sup>quot;Not only we. The inde interface of To lead a man by the "nose," was used in the same sense by the Greeks.

W Nibil magis præstandum est, quam ne, pecorum ritu, sequamur antecedentium gregem, pergentes non qua eundem est, sed qua itur. "We ought to take the greatest care, not like cattle, to " follow the crowd that go before, and so go where others " go, and not where we should go." SEN. Something may perhaps be expected in this place concerning vogue and fashion, which feem to be public declarations of fome general opinion: shewing how far they ought to sway with us. I think, so far as to keep us from being contemned, derided, or marked, where that may lawfully and conveniently be done; especially in respect of trisling and little matters. But further a wise man will scarce mind them. That is a good sentence in Demophilus. Noin a zeirne sīra zaha, zār asiūr μέλλης αδοξήσαν φαῦ-A γ γ κειθώς καλε σεάγμαθο οχλο, "Do those things that " you yourself judge to be right, though men may have an ill " opinion of you for so doing; for the multitude are very ill " judges of what is right."

of pleasure, &c. that it is no wonder, if men get habits of thinking only in one way; that these habits in time grow confirmed and obstinate; and so their minds come to be overcast with thick prejudices, scarce penetrable by any ray of truth or light of reason. He therefore, who would use his rational faculties, must in the first place disentangle them, and render them sit to be used: and he, who doth not do this, doth hereby declare, that he doth not intend to use them; that is, he proclaims himself irrational, contrary to truth, if supposition the

The fum of all is this: it is the duty of every man, if that word expresses such a being as is before described, to behave himself in all respects (which I cannot pretend to enumerate) as far as he is able according to reason. And from hence it will follow, further, that,

fourth be true.

IV. Every man is obliged to live virtuously and piously. Because to practise reason \* and truth \* is to live after that manner. For from the contents of the foregoing sections it is apparent, that one cannot practise reason (or act according to truth) without behaving himself reverently and dutifully toward that Almighty being, on whom he depends; nor without justice and a tender regard to the properties of other men: that is, unless his injoyments be free from impiety, virtuous and harm-

Idem effe dicebat Socrates veritatem & virtutem. "Socrates faid, that virtue and truth were the same thing." Id.

lesa

<sup>\*</sup> Ipsa virtus brevissime recta ratio dici potest. "Virtue may briesty be called right reason." Cic. Quæ non aliud est quam recta ratio. "It is nothing esse but right reason." Sen.

Iruths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 337 less. And as to those virtues, which respect a man's felf, the same thing will be as apparent, when I have told what I mean by some of the principal ones.

I

17

T)

۲,

X.

5 1

тill

ΔĽ

7 į

ne

Z<sup>A</sup>

10

10

Prudence, the queen of virtues, is nothing but choosing (after things a have been duly weighd) and using the most reasonable means to obtain some end, that is reasonable. This is therefore directly the exercise of reason.

Temperance permits us to take meat and drink not only as physic for hunger and thirst, but also as an innocent cordial and fortifier against the evils of life, or even sometimes, reason not refusing that liberty, merely as matter of pleasure. It only confines us to such kinds, quantities, and seasons, as may hest consist with our health b, the use of our faculties c, our fortune, &c. and shew, that we do not think ourselves made only to eat and drink here d; that is, such as speak us to be what we are.

Chastity

Tá τ' iósla, τά τ' ἐσσόμενα, σκο τ' iósla. "The things that
 are, the things that will be, and the things that have been."

<sup>\*</sup> Viz. That a man cannot practife reason without practifing them.

b That faying of Timotheus to Plato, with whom he had supped the night before in the Academy, should be rememberd. 'These of Searchite—sis the viscosiar—integr. "This supper "will be of great use to us to-morrow (from the conversation "we have had.") Ap. ATHEN.

c Corpus onussum Hesternis vitiis animum quoque prægravat unà,  $\mathfrak{S}_c$ . "A body overcharged with yesterday's vices is a "load upon the mind also,  $\mathfrak{S}_c$ ." Hor.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Please their palates." Juv. Sic prandete commilitones tanquam apud inseros cænaturi. "Come, selhow-soldiers, let us dine to day in such a manner, as if we expected to sup Z "amongs?

## 238 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX.

Chastity does not pretend to extinguish our tender passions, or cancel one part of our nature: it only bids us not to indulge them against reason and truth; not give up the man to humor the brute, nor hurt others to please ourselves; to divert our inclinations by business, or some honest amusement, till we can gratify them lawfully conveniently, regularly; and even then to participate of the mysteries of love with modesty, as within a veil or sacred inclosure, not with a canine impudence h.

amongst the dead." (LEONID. ap. Val. Max.) may be turned to a general memento, no man knowing, how near his death may be.

e Τί εδές;—παλύν; "Επαγετέν καιόνα. "What is it you look upon?—a beautiful woman. Observe the rule (of right.")

ARR.

f Venerem incertam rapientes, more ferarum. "Laying hold of any women they meet, like beafts." Hor.

8 In which words are comprehended naturally (Τὸ μὰ τὰς σαρχ φύσην ἀδονὰς διώμεν, " not to pursue pleasures in an unna-

" tural way.")

h Not as Crates and Hipparchia (of whom see Diog. L. Sext. Emp. & al.), and indeed the Cynics in general are faid to have done: quibus in propatulo coire cum conjugibus mos fuit, " who " used to lie with their wives in public." LACT. Of whom therefore Cicero says with good reason, Cynicorum ratio [al. natio tota est ejicienda. Est enim inimica verecundiæ, sine qua nibil rectum effe potest, nibil bonestum. "The method (some cose pies have it, the nation) of the Cynics, ought entirely to be " rejected; for they are enemies to modesty, without which " nothing can be right, nothing virtuous." אל אשתו בצנטא "A man should go in unto his wife in er private." S. HHAS. That in Herodotus, "Auanidovi ensuguera ourenduelas nai air aidai youir, " that a woman should put off her " modesty with her cloaths," ought not to be true. Verecundia naturali habent provifum lupanaria ipsa secretum. " Even " public stews have a private place provided, out of natural. " modesty." St Aust. Frugality

G.

re

ho or

ند

1

Frugality indeed looks forward, and round about; not only confiders the man himself, but compassionates his family; knows, that, when the exactest computation is made that can be beforehand, there will still be found many unforeseen desiderata in the calendar of his expences; is apprehensive of the world, and accidents, and new occasions, that may arise, tho they are not yet in being i; and therefore endeavours wifely to lay in as much, as may give him some kind of security against future wants and casualties, without which provision no man, whose sense is not quite lost, or circumfcribed within the present minute, can be very easy k. To this end it not only cuts off all profufion and extravagance, but even deducts fomething from that, which according to the present appearance might be afforded 1; and chooses rather that he should live upon half allowance now, than be exposed (or expose any body else) to the danger of starving hereafter m, when full meals and former plenty shall make poverty and fasting more insupportable. But still it forbids no instance of generosity, or even magnificence, which is agreeable to

 $Z_2$ 

the

i Ele το της τυχης αθεκμάρθον άφος σσα. "Providing for con-"tingences that we cannot fo much as guess at." Ph. Jud.

k Simonides was wont to fay, Βελοίμην ἀν ἀποθανών τοῖε εχθροῖε μᾶλλον ἀπολιπεῖν, ἡ ζῶν ἐεῖσθαι τῶν φίλων, " I had rather leave fomething to my enemies when I die, than want friends " whilft I am alive." Stob.

<sup>1</sup> Non intelligant homines quam magnum vectigal sit parsimonia. "Men don't understand how great a revenue sparingness is."? Cic.

m Like them, who is τη νεότηλι τα τω γήερε εφόδια, σροκαταναλίσκεσιν, "in their youth devoured the provision that should have supported them in their old age," as in Ατμεν.

340 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. the man's station and circumstances, or (which is tantamount) to the truth of his case.

After the same manner I might proceed upon other particular virtues. But my notion of them must by this time be sufficiently understood: and therefore I shall only give this general advice. That you may take the truer prospect of any act, place yourself in your imagination beyond it (beyond it in time), and suppose it already done, and then see how it looks; always remembring, that a long repentance is a disproportionate price for a short injoyment. Or, fancy it done by some other man, and then view it in that speculum: we are commonly sharper-sighted in discerning the faults of others, than of ourselves °. And further, as to those virtues, which are faid to confift in the mean, it may be sometimes safer to incline a little more to one of the extremes, than to the other: as, rather to stinginess, than prodigality; rather to inflexibility, and even a degree of ill nature, than to dangerous complaifance, or easiness in respect of vice, and such things as may be hurtful; and fo on P.

Since

" ment,

Ea liberalitate utamur, quæ profit amicis, noceat nemini.

We should use such liberality, as may be of advantage to

our friends, but not to the hurt of any body else." C1c.

Non est incommodum, quale quodq;—-sit, ex aliis judicare: ut si quid dedeceat in aliis, vitemus & ipsi. Fit enim nescio quo modo, ut magis in aliis cernamus, quam in nobismet ipsis, si quid delinquitur. "It is by no means an ill way of judging of any thing, by seeing how it looks in others; so that, if any thing is unbecoming them, we may avoid it ourselves. For I don't know how it is, but we are apt to see faults in others more

<sup>&</sup>quot;than in ourselves." Cic.
P Olor, ir deinig nponire: Tic Edni Exoil: ; mi duvanno s, mudi negoGidon viaulòr, annà ualdourò noligior, un. "As if, at an entertain-

Since then to live virtuously is to practise reason and act conformably to truth, he, who lives so, must be ultimately happy, by sect. II. prop. XIV. and therefore not only the commands of reason, but even the desire of happiness (a motive, that cannot but work strongly upon all who think) will oblige a man to live so.

.IX

÷

ij.

Ė

À

ď

ď.

ij

r

, ū

U

άx

ΠĚ

e (

CC:

ill:

15

7.

نما

It may be collected even from experience, that the virtuous life compared with the contrary, if one looks no further than the present state, is the bappier life q; or, that the virtuous pleasures, when the whole account is made up, are the truer r. Who sees not, that the virtious life is full of dangers and solicitudes, and usually ends ill; perhaps in rottenness and rags, or at least in a peevish and despicable discontent ??

I am not of opinion, that virtue can make a man happy upon a rack ', under a violent fit of the

<sup>&</sup>quot;ment, any one drinks to another that has drank enough, he 
"ought not to be out of countenance, nor force himself, but
"refuse the cup." PLUT.

<sup>4</sup> Even Epicurus himself αχαίριστος ημοί τῶς τόν αροτών μόνην, "says that it is virtue only that is necessarily attended with "pleasure;" and δια τὸν ἰδοιὰν τὰς ἀροτας δοῦν αἰζοῦσθαι, "that "we ought to chuse virtue for the sake of such pleasure." Diog. LAERT.

<sup>\*</sup> Isocrates gives one reason for this, where he compares vitious pleasures with virtue. Έκει μὶν σεώτον ἐσθεντες, ὕς ερον ἐνωπάθημεν ἐνταῦθα δὶ μετὰ τὰς λύπας τὰς ἐδονὰς ἔχομεν. " In the one case, we have the pleasure first and the uneasiness afterwards; in the other case (that of virtue) we have the unea-

<sup>&</sup>quot;finess first, and the pleasure afterwards."

Whereas virtue is in the provision which
 will maintain us till we are old." BIAS ap. S. Baf.

t For who can bear such rants as that, Epicurus ait, sapientem, si in Phalaridis tauro peruratur, exclamaturum, Dulce est.

Z 3

342 The Religion of Nature. Sect. IX. the stone, or the like "; or that virtue and prudence can always exempt him from wants and fufferings, mend a strait fortune, or rectify an ill constitution; amidst so many enemies to virtue, so many infirmities as attend life, he cannot but be sometimes affested. But I have faid, and fay again, that the natural and usual effect of virtue is happiness; and if a virtuous man should in some respects be unhappy, vet still his virtue will make him less unhappy: for at least he injoys inward tranquillity, and a breast conscious of no evil. And which kind of life I pray ought one to prefer: that, which naturally tends to bappiness, tho it may be disturbed; or that, which naturally tends to unhappiness? In brief, virtue will make a man bere, in any given circumstances, as happy as a man can be in those circumstances: or however it will make him happy bereafter in some other state: for ultimately, all taken together, happy he must be.

Some may possibly wonder, why among virtues I have not so much as once named one of the cardinal, and the only one perhaps which they pretend to: I mean fortitude. That that, by which

& ad me nihil pertinet? "Epicurus says, that, if a wise man "were burnt alive in Phalaris's bull, he would cry out, How agreeable a thing is this, and it does not affect me at all?" SEN. Tully reports the same.

<sup>&</sup>quot;It is in the power of very few to act like him, qui dum warices exsecandas præberet, legere librum perseveravit, "who continued reading in a book, whilst they were cutting "fwellings out of his legs:" or him, qui non desit ridere, dum ob hoc ipsum irati tortores omnia instrumenta crudelitatis experirentur, "who continued laughing, tho his tormentors, who were enraged at him for it, tried all their instruments of cruelty upon that very account." Sen.

fo many heroes have triumphed over enemies, even the greatest, death itself; that, which distinguishes nations, raises empires, has been the grand theme of almost all wits, attracts all eyes, opens all mouths, and assumes the name of virtue by way of excellence; that this should be forgot!

7.

ī

P.

Ž,

t

T,

Ċ

ά

ï

e s

::3 :16

N.

ij.

ý

To attone for this omission I will make this appendix to the foregoing brief account. If fortitude be taken for natural courage (i. e. strength, activity, plenty of spirits, and a contempt of dangers resulting from these), this is constitution and the gift of God w, not any virtue in us: because if it be our virtue, it must consist in something, which we produce, or do ourselves x. The case is the same with that of sine features and complexion, a large inheritance, or strong walls, which may indeed be great advantages, but were never called virtues y. To have these is not virtue; but to use them rightly, or according to reason, if we have them.

That this is justly said, may perhaps appear from what is to be said on the other side. It may be a man's missortune, that he has not more courage, a greater stock of spirits, firmer health, and

stronger .

<sup>&</sup>quot; Ei μαλα καριεχείσσι, θεός σεν σοὶ τέγ' εδωκεν. "If you are " a very valiant man, yet it is the gift of God that you are " fo." Hom.

<sup>\*</sup> Propter virtutem jure laudamur, & in virtute recte gloriamur. Quod non contingeret, si id donum à Deo, non à nobis haberemus. "We are justly commended upon the account of our virtue, and it is right in us to boast of our virtue; which it "would not be, if it were the gift of God, and we had it not from ourselves." C1c.

y As that word is used here. For when it is used as in that ap. Luc. 'Apara μιν σώμαι 'σ ίσχυς, "virtue is the strength of the body," and the like passages, it has another meaning.

344 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. ftronger limbs, if he has a just occasion to use them; but it never can be reckond a vice or fault not to use what he has not: for otherwise it might be acrime not to be able to carry ten thousand pound weight, or outrun a cannon-ball.

Fortitude confiderd as a virtue confifts in standing and endeavouring to overcome dangers and oppositions, when they cannot be avoided without the violation of reason and truth. Here it is, that he, who is endowd with natural bravery, a healthful constitution, good bones and muscles, ought to use them, and be thankful to the Doner: and he who is not so favord, must yet do what he can: if he cannot conquer, he must endeavour to be patient and prudent. And thus he, who is naturally timorous, or weak, or otherwise infirm, may have as much, or more of the virtue of fortitude, than the hero himself; who apprehends little, and feels little, compared with the other, or possibly may find pleasure in a scene of dangerous action.

If a man can prevent, or escape any peril or trouble, salva veritate, he ought to do it: otherwise he neither considers bimself, nor them as being what they are; them not as unnecessary, himself not as capable of being burt by them; and so dashes against truth on the worse side z. But where that cannot be done, he must exert himself according to his abilities, whether great or little, and refer the success to the Divine providence. This is the true virtue of fortitude, which is nothing but endeavouring simply and honestly to act as truth requires; and

therefore

<sup>\*</sup> Καπτε καὶ κύμαθ۞ ἐκδὸς ἔτρρο Νπᾶ. " Guide the ship on the outside of the smoke and waves." Hom.

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 345 therefore is directly deducible from that notion, on which we have founded the morality of human acts.

It has for its object not only adversaries, noxious animals, and bold undertakings, but in general all the evils of life; which a man must labor by prudence to ward off, and where this cannot be done to bear with refignation, decency, and an humble expectation of an adjustment of all events in a future state: the belief of which I am now going to prove, in my manner, to be no vain nor groundless conceit.

V. Every one, that finds himself as before in prop. I. finds in himself at the same time a consciousness of his own existence and acts (which is life), with a power of apprehending, thinking, reasoning, willing, beginning and stopping many kinds and degrees of mo-

t i

hr

hat

2

TO:

WI

111

ale

ű

4

ú

tion

<sup>2</sup> Eiol d'ol raj ir olula diarei Correc, rur σωμάτων αὐτοῖς à μακοαῖε νόσοις, η επιπόνφ γήζα κατεσκελετευμένων,---την αληθή διαποιέσιν αν-Selar, dountal copias orres. " There are some that live retired in " their own houses, who have their bodies reduced to mere " skeletons, either by wasting diseases or laborious old age; " --- they, who labour for true courage, are fuch as exercise "themselves in true wisdom." PH. JUD. Non in viribus corporis & lacertis tantummodo fortitudinis gloria est, sed magis in virtute animi .--- Jure ea fortitudo vocatur, quando unusquisque seipsum vincit, iram continet, nullis illecebris emollitur atque inflectitur, non adversis perturbatur, non extollitur secundis, &c. "The true " excellency of courage does not confift fo much in the strength " of the body and arms, as in the virtue of the mind; --- that " is truly called courage, when a man subdues himself, keeps " under his passions, is not weakend or drawn aside by any " temptations; is not depressed in adversity, nor pussed up in " prosperity, &c." St Амвк.

346 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. tion in his own members, &c. b. He, who has not these powers, has no power to dispute this with me: therefore I can perceive no room for any difpute here, unless it be concerning the power of beginning motion. For they, who fay there is always the fame quantity of motion in the world, must not allow the production of any new; and therefore must suppose the animal spirits not to be put into motion by the mind, but only being already in motion to receive from it their directions into these or those canals, according as it intends to move this or that limb. But to this may be anfwerd, that, if the mind can give these new directions and turns to the spirits, this serves my purpose as well, and what I intend will follow as well

It is plain I can move my hand upward or downward or horizontally, faster or slower or not at all, or stop it when it is in motion, just as I will. Now if my hand and those parts and spirits, by which it is put into motion, were left to be governd by the law of gravitation, or by any motions already imprest upon them, the effects would be determind by rules of mechanism, and be necessary: the motion or rest of my hand would not attend upon my will, and be alterable upon a thought at my pleasure. If then I have (as I am sensible I have) a power of moving my hand in a manner, which it would not move in by those laws, that mere bodies al-

from it. And besides, it could not do this, if it

could not excite those spirits being at rest.

ready

<sup>&</sup>amp;c. "He that understands what fort of a being he himself is, will find that he hath something divine in him, &c." Cic.

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 347 ready in motion or under the force of gravitation would observe, this motion depends solely upon my will, and begins there °.

Sect. I

ho hasir

e this is

for any

cowerd:

re is alta

rorld, zi

and the

ot to ber

ing alter

CHOR I

intend:

nay bea

1000

es mr pa

OW SE

ths, it

or comp not at all ill. Nor

y which

ernd by

ilready

erniin.

he mo

pon#

ny plair

2 poz.

WOUL

dies 2<sup>).</sup>

di tilla

mlelfä, Cic

ready

VI. That, which in man is the subject or suppofitum of self-consciousness, thinks, and has the foresaid faculties, must be something different from his body or carcass.

For, first, he doth not I suppose find himself to think, see, hear, &c. all over, in any part of his body: but the seat of cogitation and reflexion he finds in his bead a: and the nerves, by which the knowledge of external objects is conveyd to him, all tend to the same place. It is plainly something, which resides there c, in the region of the brain, that by the mediation of these nerves governs the body and moves the parts of it (as by so many reins, or wires) s, feels what is done to it,

" not a natural motion, as fire has, it is manifest, that it m then be moved by the soul." GREG. THAUM.

d Which is, de eiπειν, ολεύε is ε των αὐσθάσεων, " as it were the "feat of fenfation." ΑπτΕΜ.

e "Οπε ὁ βασιλεύς, ἐκιῖ καὶ οἱ ἀκουόςοι δορυφόςοι δὲ αἰσθάσεις πε τε, σειρί κεφαλὸν ἔσαι. "Where the king is, there are his guards also; now the senses are the guards of the mind, and these are about the head." Ph. Jup.

f Ta μέρα το σώμα] & αλογαίστι, αλλ' δταν όρμη γένη αι, σείσαν] & ασπερ άνιας το λογισμο, ασίνα τέτακ] αι και συνθείαι και υπακούει.

"The members of the body are not endued with reason, but,

" as foon as any appetites arise, the reason directs them as a

" bridle, and all things are regulated, adjusted, and submit to it." PLUT.

fees

Εἰμάῖο ἔξωθον κινεῖῖαι [τὸ σῶμα] ἀς τὰ ἄψυχα, μάῖο φυσικῶς ἀς τὸ σῶρ, δῶλον ὅτι ὑπὸ ψυχῶς κινεῖται, κλ. "If (the body) be not moved
 " by fomething external, as things inanimate are; or if it has
 " not a natural motion, as fire has, it is manifest, that it must

348 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. fees through the eyes, hears through the ears, &c. 5.

Upon amputation of a limb h this thing (whatever it is) is not found to be diminished, nor any of its faculties lost. Its sphere of acting, while it is confined to the body, is only contracted, and part of its instrument lost. It cannot make use of that which is not, or which it has not.

If the eyes be shut, or the ears stopt, it cannot then see, or hear: but remove the obstruction, and it instantly appears that the faculty, by which it apprehends the impressions made upon the organs of sensation, remaind all that while intire; and that so it might have done, if the eyes, or ears had never been opend again; or, if the eyes had been

enim est ullus sensus in corpore, sed---viæ quasi quædam sunt ad oculos, ad aures, ad nares à sede animi persoratæ. Itaque sæpe aut cogitatione aut aliqua vi morbi impediti, apertis atque integris & oculis & auribus, nec videmus, nec audimus: ut facile intelligi possit, animum & videre, & audire, non eas partes, quæ quasi sensis se sunimi: quibus tamen sentire nihil queat mens, nisi id agat, & adsit. "We do not now see objects with our eyes; for there is no perception in the body,---but there are par"ticular passages which go from the seat of the soul to the

cyes, the ears, and the noie. Wherefore when we are very

"thoughtful, or when we are hinderd by any violent disease,
"we neither see nor hear, though our eyes and ears be open

" and found; whence we may eafily apprehend, that it is the foul that fees and hears, and not those parts, which are as

" it were the windows of the foul, and which it cannot make use of, unless it be present and attends to it." Cic.

h Or even detracto corpore multo, " if a great part of the body were pulled off," as Lucretius speaks.

1 Πολλακις των των χειεών των των σοδών επεικομμένων, ολόκλης επείνη [ή ψυχή] μένει. "Very often when the hands and legs " are cut off, yet the foul remains entire." Chrys.

out,

out, or the ears quite disabled. This shews in general, that, when any sense or faculty seems to be impaired or lost by any bodily hurt, after a sever, or through age, this doth not come to pass, because it is the body that perceives and has these faculties in itself; but because the body loses its instrumentality, and gives that which is the true subject of these faculties no opportunity of exerting them, or of exerting them well: tho it retains them as much as in the case before, when the eyes or ears were only shut \*. Thus distinct are it and its faculties from the body and its affections. I will now call it the soul.

1

Again, as a man peruses and considers his own body, doth it not undeniably appear to be something different from the considerer? And when he uses this expression my body, or the body of me, may it not properly be demanded, who is meant by me, or what my relates to? It cannot be the body itself: that cannot say of itself, it is my body, or the body of me. And yet this way of speaking we naturally fall into, from an inward and habitual sense of ourselves, and what we are, even tho we do not advert upon it.

What I mean is this. A man being supposed a person consisting of two parts, soul and body, the whole person may say of this or that part of him, the soul of me, or the body of me: but if he was ei-

ther

k Therefore Arifiotle says, if an old man had a young man's eye, βλίποι & δοπορ καὶ οιί . Πε ο το γπεας, ε τῷ τὰν ψυχὰν πεπουθίναι τι, ἀλλ ν ῷ καθάπορ ἐν μέθαις καὶ νόσοις, κτλ. " He " would see like a young man. So that, in old age, the soul is " not affected; but is in the same state, as when a man is in drink, or in any distemper."

ther all foul, or all body, and nothing else, he could not then speak in this manner: because it would be the same as to say the foul of the foul, or the body of the body, or the I of me. The pronoun therefore (in that saying my body, or the body of me) must stand for something else, to which the body belongs 1; or at least for something, of which it is only a part, viz. the person of the whole man m, And then even this implies, that there is another part of him, which is not body.

It is plain there are two different interests in men on the one side reason, on the other passion: which, being many times directly opposite, must belong to different subjects. There are upon many occasions contests, and as it were wars between the mind and the body: so far are they from being the same thing.

Lastly, there is we may perceive fomething within us, which supports the body (keeps it up), directs its motion for the better preservation of it, when any hurts or evils befall it, finds out the means of its cure, and the like; without which it would fall to the ground, and undergo the fate of common matter. The body therefore must be considered as being under the direction and tuition of

fome

<sup>1</sup> Hierocles (with others) accounts the foul to be the true man. Συγάρει ή ψυχή τὸ δὶ σῶμα σότ. " It is the foul that is you, and " the body that is yours."

m So Plate uses the word Aυτος, "Self," for the whole of the man; by which the soul, as one part of it, is called \*τῆμα, " a possession."

n Φαίνδιαι όι αὐτοῖς καὶ ἀκκό τι παρὰ τὸν κόγον πεφυκὸς, ὁ μάχεταί τε καὶ ἀνδιθείνει τῷ λόγω. " It is evident, that there is fomething " else in us beside reason, which wars against and contradicts reason." Arist,

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 351' fome other thing, which is (or should be) the governor of it, and consequently upon this account must be concluded to be different from it.

VII. The foul cannot be mere matter. For if it is, then either all matter must think; or the difference must arise from the different modification, magnitude, figure, or motion of some parcels of matter in respect of others; or a faculty of thinking must be superadded to some systems of it, which is not superadded to others. But,

In the first place, that position, which makes all matter to be cogitative, is contrary to all the apprehensions and knowledge we have of the nature of it; nor can it be true, unless our senses and faculties be contrived only to deceive us. We perceive not the least symptom of cogitation, or sense in our tables, chairs, &c.

Why doth the scene of thinking lie in our beads, and all the ministers of sensation make their reports to something there, if all matter be apprehensive, and cogitative? For in that case there would be as much thought and understanding in our beels, and every where else, as in our beads.

If all matter be cogitative, then it must be so quaternus matter, and thinking must be of the effence and definition of it: whereas by matter no

)]]•

¢

123

14

Ç\$

more

<sup>•</sup> Whether any form, modification, or motion of matter can be a human foul, seems to be much such another question as that in one of Seneca's epistles, An justitia, an fortitudo, prudentia, ceteræque wirtutes, animalia sint. "Whether justice, or fortitude, or prudence, and the rest of the virtues, be living creatures."

352 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. more is meant but a substance extended and impenetrable to other matter. And since, for this reason, it cannot be necessary for matter to think (because it may be matter without this property), it cannot think as matter only.

If it did, we should not only continue to think always, till the matter of which we consist is annihilated, and so the affertor of this doctrine would stumble upon immortality unawares; but we must also have thought always in time past, ever since that matter was in being; nor could there be any the least intermission of actual thinking: which does

not appear to be our case.

If thinking, self-consciousness, &c. were essential to matter, every part of it must have them: and then no system could have them. For a system of material parts would be a system of things conscious every one by itself of its own existence and individuality, and consequently thinking by itself: but there could be no one act of self-consciousness or thought common to the whole. Juxta-position in this case could signify nothing: the distinction and individuation of the several particles would be as much retained in their vicinity, as if they were separated by miles.

In the next place, the faculties of thinking, &c. cannot arise from the fize, figure, texture, or motion of it: because bodies by the alteration of these only become greater or less; round or square, &c. rare, or dense; translated from one place to another with this or that new direction, or velocity; or the like: all which ideas are quite different from that of thinking; there can be no rela-

tion

tion between them P. These modifications and affections of matter are so far from being principles or causes of thinking and acting, that they are themselves but effects, proceeding from the action of some other matter or thing upon it, and are proofs of its passivity, deadness, and utter incapacity of becoming cogitative. This is evident to sense.

They, who place the effence of the foul in a certain motion given to some matter (if any such men there really be) should consider, among many other things, that to move the body spontaneously is one of the faculties of the soul q; and that this, which is the same with the power of beginning motion, cannot come from motion already begun, and imprest ab extra.

Let the materialist examine well, whether he does not feel fomething within himself, that acts from an internal principle: whether he doth not experience some liberty some power of governing himself, and choosing: whether he does not injuy a kind of invisible empire, in which he commands his own thoughts, sends them to this or that place,

E

ie

,, ī

ŋ í

135

;)

۲

Ü

r)

:1

P Nouv is a sour year year of yelf ar the dronte rour yearshoos.

No body can produce a mind, for how can understanding to come out of that which has no understanding?" SALLUST.

<sup>4</sup> That the foul is the principle of motion, or that which begins it in us, is (tho it wants no testimony) often said by the ancients. Φασὶ γὰρ ἔνιοι, ἢ μάλισα, ἢ ανερότως ψυχὰν εἶναι τὸ κινοῦν.

4 Some affirm, that the soul is the chief and the first mover.

ARIST. Ἡ ψυχὰ τὸ ἔνδοθο, κινοῦν τὰ σώματα, κρὶ αὐτοκίνατον. "It is the soul that moves the body from within, and is a self
moving being." SIMPL. ᾿Αρχὰ κινόσεως, "The principle of motion." PLOTIN.

354 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. imploys them about this or that business, forms such and such designs and schemes: and whether there is any thing like this in bare matter, however fashiond, or proportiond; which, if nothing should protrude or communicate motion to it, would for ever remain fixt to the place where it happens to be, an eternal monument of its own being dead. Can such an astive being as the soul is, the subject of so many powers, be itself nothing but an accident?

When I begin to move myself, I do it for some reason, and with respect to some end, the means to effect which I have, if there be occasion for it, concerted within myself: and this doth not at all look like motion merely material (or, in which matter is only concernd), which is all mechanical. Who can imagine matter to be moved by arguments, or ever placed syllogisms and demonstrations among levers and pullies?

t Τάχετοι νές: διά φαιτός γάρ τρέχει. "The foul is very quick, "for it runs every where." ΤΗΑL. ap. Diog. L.

We

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Η φοχή σειείασε σάσαι ράν, οι ράς ιπ' είσχου, κλ. '' The '' foul can take a view over the whole earth, and ascend from 't hence into heaven." ΜΑΧ. ΤΥΚ.

<sup>•</sup> What a ridiculous argument for the materiality of the foul is that in Lucretius? Ubi propellere membra, Conripere ex fomno corpus, &c. videtur (Quorum nil fieri fine tactu posse videnus, Nec tactum porro sine corpore); nonne fatendum est Corporea natura animum constare, animamq; ? "For do we not see that the mind moves the several members, wakes the body out of sleep, &c. (none of which can be done without touching it, and there can be no such thing as touching, without matter) must not we own then, that the soul and mind are material?" If nothing can move the body, but another body, what moves this? The body might as well move itself, as be moved by one that does.

We not only move ourselves upon reasons, which we find in ourselves, but upon reasons imparted by words or writing from others, or perhaps merely at their desire or bare suggestion. In which case, again, no body sure can imagine, that the words spoken or written (the sound in the air, or the strokes on the paper) can by any natural or mechanical efficience cause the reader or hearer to move in any determinate manner (or at all). The reason, request, or friendly admonition, which is the true motive, can make no impression upon matter. It must be some other kind of being, that apprehends the force and sense of them.

r

J

Ľ

Ť

Do not we see in conversation, how a pleasant thing faid makes people break out into laughter; a rude thing into passion, and so on? These affections cannot be the physical effects of the words fpoken: because then they would have the same effect, whether they were understood, or not. And this is further demonstrable from hence, that tho the words do really contain nothing, which is either pleafant, or rude; or perhaps words are thought to be spoken, which are not spoken; yet if they are apprehended to do that, or the found to be otherwife than it was, the effect will be the fame. It is therefore the fense of the words, which is an immaterial thing, that by passing through the understanding and causing that, which is the subject of the intellectual faculties, to influence the body. produces these motions in the spirits, blood, muscles.

They, who can fancy, that matter may come to live, think, and act spontaneously, by being reduced to a certain magnitude, or having its parts placed after a certain manner, or being invested A a 2 with

356 The Religion of Nature. Sect. IX. with fuch a figure, or excited by fuch a particular motion: they, I fay, would do well to discover to us that degree of fineness, that alteration in the situation of its parts, &c. at which matter may begin to find itself alive and cogitative; and which is the critical minute, that introduces these important properties. If they cannot do this, nor have their eye upon any particular crisis, it is a sign they have no good reason for what they say. For if they have no reason to charge this change upon any particular degree or difference, one more than another, they have no reason to charge it upon any degree or difference at all; and then they have no reason, by which they can prove that such a change is made at all. Besides all which, since magnitude, figure, motion are but accidents of matter, not matter, and only the substance is truly matter; and fince the substance of any one part of matter does not differ from that of another, if any matter can be by nature cogitative, all must be so. But this we have feen cannot be.

so then in conclusion, if there is any such thing as matter that thinks, &cc. this must be a particular privilege granted to it: that is, a faculty of thinking must be superadded to certain parts or parcels of it. Which, by the way, must infer the existence of some Being able to confer this faculty; who, when the ineptness of matter has been well considered, cannot appear to be less than omnipotent, or God. But the truth is, matter seems not to be capable of such improvement, of being made to think. For since it is not the essence of matter, it cannot be made to be so without making matter another kind of substance from what it is. Nor can it

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 357 be made to arise from any of the modifications or accidents of matter; and in respect of what else can any matter be made to differ from other matter?

W;

ĽĮ.

Ġ,

m

Ť

1

F:

ŧċ

Ė

11

,

ė

d

rk.

(0):

, ډ

*(6* 

Ľ,

g Ė

The accidents of matter are so far from being made by any power to produce cogitation, that some even of them shew it incapable of having a faculty of thinking superadded. The very divisibility of it does this. For that which is made to think must either be one part, or more parts joind together. But we know no fuch thing as a part of matter purely one (or indivisible). It may indeed have pleased the Author of nature, that there should be atoms, whose parts are actually indiscerpible, and which may be the principles of other bodies: but still they consist of parts, the firmly adhering together. And if the feat of cogitation be in more parts than one (whether they lie close together, or are loose, or in a state of sluidity, it is the fame thing), how can it be avoided, but that either there must be so many several minds, or thinking substances, as there are parts (and then the consequence, which has been mentiond, would return upon us again); or else, that there must be fomething else superadded for them to center in, to unite their acts, and make their thoughts to be one? And then what can this be, but some other fubstance, which is purely one?

Matter by itself can never intertain abstracted and general ideas, such as many in our minds are ". For could it reflect upon what passes within itself

•

Digitized by Google

ĸ

<sup>&</sup>quot; Diogenes, tho he could fee the table, and the pot, could not by his eyes fee Ptato's τεαπιζότης, & μυαθότης, " tableity, or potteity;" that is, he could not fee, what it was that conflicted them a table or a pot." Diog. L.

258 The Religion of Nature. Sect. IX. it could possibly find there nothing but material and particular impressions; abstractions and metaphyfical ideas could not be printed upon it w. How could one abstract from matter who is himself nothing but matter? And then as to material images themselves, which are usually supposed to be imprest upon the brain (or some part of it), and stock the phantasy and memory, that which peruses the impressions and traces there (or any where) must be something distinct from the brain, or that upon which these impressions are made: otherwise it must contemplate itself, and be both reader and book. And this other distinct contemplating being cannot be merely corporeal, any more than the body can perceive and think without a foul. For fuch a corporeal being must require fense, and suitable organs, to perceive and read these characters and vestigia of things; and so another organized body would be introduced, and the same questions and difficulties redoubled, concerning the foul of that body and its faculties \*,

If my foul was mere matter, external visible objects could only be perceived within me according to the impressions they make upon matter, and not otherwise. Ex. gr. the image of a cube in my mind (or my idea of a cube) must be always un-

der

w Plato, & of sopol, "the wife men" (more generally) fay, that the foul indeed perceives objects of fense by the mediation of the body; but there are 10172, "intellectual things," which it doth 223 auxil 239upsio 321, "meditate upon by it-felf." Id.

<sup>×</sup> Such a soul must be indeed as Greg. Thaum. has it, σωμα τω ψυχον. "Ατοπον δι ψυχῶς ψυχῶν κέχειν, " an animated body. For it is absurd to speak of the soul of a soul."

der some particular prospect, and conform to the rules of perspective; nor could I otherwise represent it to myself: whereas now I can form an idea of it as it is in itself, and almost view all its bedre at once, as it were incompassing it with my mind,

i

ij,

ĥ

*i*:

D

Ľ!

Ľ.

ŋ

αi

'n.

2.1

į

3

i

Į.

İ

I can within myself correct the external appearances and impressions of objects; and advance, upon the reports and hints received by my fenses, to form ideas of things that are not extant in matter. By seeing a material circle I may learn to form the idea of a circle, or figure generated by the revolution of a ray about its center: but then recollecting what I know of matter upon other occasions, I can conclude there is no exact material circle. So that I have an idea, which perhaps was raifed from the hints I received from without, but is not truly to be found there. If I see a tower at a great distance, which according to the impressions made upon my material organs feems little and round, I do not therefore conclude it to be either: there is something within, that reasons upon the circumstances of the appearance, and as it were commands my fense, and corrects the impression: and this must be fomething superior to matter, since a material foul is no otherwise impressible itself, but as material organs are. Instances of this kind are endless. (v. p. 92, 93.)

If we know any thing of matter, we know, that by itself it is a lifeless thing, inert, and passive only; and acts necessarily (or rather is acted) according to the laws of motion and gravitation. This passiveness seems to be essential to it. And if we know any thing of ourselves, we know, that we are conscious of our own existence and acts (i. e.

Aa4 tha

360 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. that we live); that we have a degree of freedom; that we can move ourselves spontaneously; and in short, that we can, in many instances, take off the effect of gravitation, and impress new motions upon our spirits (or give them new directions), only by a thought. Therefore to make mere matter do all this is to change the nature of it; to change death into life, incapacity of thinking into cogitativity, necessity into liberty. And to fay, that God may superadd a faculty of thinking, moving itself,  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}$  to matter, if by this be meant, that he may make matter to be the suppositum of these faculties (that substance, in which they inhere), is the same in effect as to fay, that God may superadd a faculty of thinking to incogitativity, of acting freely to necessity, and so on. What sense is there in this? And yet so it must be, while matter continues to be matter.

That faculty of thinking, fo much talked of by fome as superadded to certain systems of matter, fitly disposed, by virtue of God's omnipotence, tho it be so called, must in reality amount to the fame thing as another substance with the faculty of thinking. For a faculty of thinking alone will not make up the idea of a human foul, which is indued with many faculties; apprehending, reflecting, comparing, judging, making deductions and reasoning, willing, putting the body in motion, continuing the animal functions by its presence, and giving life; and therefore, whatever it is that is fuperadded, it must be fomething which is indued with all those other faculties. And whether that can be a faculty of thinking, and so these other faculties be

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 361 be only faculties of a faculty, or whether they must not all be rather the faculties of some subfance, which, being (by their own concession) superadded to matter, must be different from it, I do leave the unprejudiced to determin.

73

0.2.

15), **a** 

....

1

Ţ

lr î

Ţ

ies ies

12

1 M

i ve

: 1

:::

CITA CITAL

200

it of

100

1000

j, 300

rent.

0

nd gir

s fine

10

(11) 1

2(1) 05

If men would but feriously look into themselves, I am persuaded the foul would not appear to them as a faculty of the body, or kind of appartenance to it; but rather as some fubstance, properly placed in it, not only to use it as an instrument, and act by it, but also to govern it (or the parts of it; as the tongue, hands, feet, &c.) according to its own reason. For I think it is plain enough, that the mind, tho it acts under great limitations, doth however in many instances govern the body arbitrarily: and it is monstrous to suppose this governor to be nothing but some sit disposition or accident (superadded) of that matter which is governd. A ship it is true would not be sit for navigation, if it was not built and provided in a proper manner:

but

y This is worse than \(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\chi}\chi^2\tilde{\chi}\chi^2\chi^2\chi\chi^2\chi^2\chi\chi^2\chi^2\chi\chi^2\c

z If the foul is only an accident (or attribute) of the body, how comes this accident to have (or be the support of) other accidents, contrary ones too? As when we say, אונפש חכלה וכן עוביי ביי מיי אונפש חכלה וכן וונפש חכלה וכן יי a wise foul, or a foolish foul." S. Haemun.

but then, when it has its proper form, and is become a system of materials fitly disposed, it is not this disposition that governs it. It is the man, that other substance, who sits at the helm, and they, who manage the sails and tackle, that do this. So our vessels without a proper organization and conformity of parts would not be capable of being acted as they are; but still it is not the shape, or modification, or any other accident, that can govern them. The capacity of being governd or used can never be the governor, applying and using that capacity. No there must be at the helm something distinct, that commands the body, and without which it would run adrift, or rather sink.

For the foregoing reasons it seems to me, that matter cannot think, cannot be made to think. But if a faculty of thinking can be superadded to a system of matter, without uniting an immaterial substance to it b; I say, if this can be, yet a human body is not such a system, being plainly void of thought, and organized in such a manner as to transmit the impressions of sensible objects up to the brain, where the percipient, and that which restets upon them, certainly resides: and therefore that, which there apprehends, thinks, and wills, must be that system of matter to which a faculty of thinking is superadded. All the premisses then well considered, judge I beseech you, whether instead of saying,

that

<sup>்</sup> எட்டாளு சி என்ற இரும்பான அதி இதிரகு. "For that which uses, and that which is used, are two different things." PLATO.

b Or, if to a thinking fubflance can be superadded the modification of solidity. Which way of speaking, tho I do not remember to have met with it any where, nor doth it seem to differ much from the other, yet would please me better.

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 363 that this inhabitant of our heads (the foul) is a system of matter, to which a faculty of thinking is superadded, it might not be more reasonable to fay, it is a thinking substance intimately united to some fine material vehicle, which has its residence in the brain. Tho I understand not perfectly the manner, how a cogitative and spiritual substance can be thus closely united to such a material vehicle; yet I can understand this union as well, as how it can be united to the body in general (perhaps, as how the particles of the body itself cohere together), and much better than how a thinking faculty can be superadded to matter: and beside, feveral phanomena may more easily be solved by this bypothesis; which (tho I shall not pertinacioully maintain it) in short is this. Viz. that the human foul is a cogntative substance, clothed in a material vehicle, or rather united to it, and as it were inseparably mixt (I had almost said incorporated) with it ': that these act in conjunction, that, which affects the one, affecting the other: that the foul is detaind in the body (the head or brain) by some sympathy or attraction between this material vehicle and it, till the habitation is spoild, and this mutual tendency interrupted (and perhaps turned into an aversion, that makes it sly off), by some hurt, or disease, or by the decays and ruins

Ī

1

I

b

3

Ż

.

ź

ż

c It is worth our consideration, whether active power be not the proper attribute of spirit, and passive power of matter. Hence may be conjectured, that created spirits are not totally separate from matter, because they are both active and passive. Pure spirit, viz. God, is only active; pure matter is only passive; those Beings, that are both active and passive, we may judge to partake of both. Hum. Underst,

364 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. of old age, or the like, happening to the body: and that in the *interim* by means of this vehicle motions and impressions are communicated to and fro. But of this perhaps something more by and by.

VIII. The foul of man subsists after the dissolution of his body: or, is immortal. For,

1. If it is immaterial, it is indiscerpible, and therefore incapable of being dissolved or demolished, as bodies are d. Such a being can only perish by annihilation: that is, it will continue to subsist and live, if some other being, able to do this, doth not by a particular act annihilate it. And if there is any reason to believe, that at the death of every man there is always such a particular annihilation, let him that knows it produce it. Certainly to reduce any substance into nothing requires just the same power as to convert nothing into something: and I fancy they, who deny the immortality of the soul, will be cautious how they admit any such power.

2. If the foul could be material; that is, if there could be any matter, that might be the subject of those faculties of thinking, willing, &c. yet still, since we cannot but be sensible, that all these are faculties of the felf-same thing; and that all the several acts of the mind are acts of the same thing, each of them individual and truly one: I say, since

d This is Socrates's argument in Plato. The foul is altogether αδιάλυτω, "indiffolvible," and therefore ἀτάλιθεω, "can" not be destroyd." Which Cicero interprets thus: nec difcerpi, nec distrabi potest; nec interire igitur, " it can neither be divided nor separated into parts, and consequently cannot be destroyd."

Iruths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 365 it is so, this matter must be so perfectly united in itself, so absolutely one, as no matter knowable by us can be. And then the least that can be allowed is that it should be truly solid, and not actually divisible; that is, such as no natural cause could destroy.

a.r

bo::

Ve X

101

br 🛎

b

475

fz

22

. Z

J.

I

2001

fil,

W.J.

j. C

ž of

11,

ie ar he k

LL,

lin.

0.

ملتة"

1.4

ier be Lindia

i

To introduce matter with a faculty of thinking, or a thinking matter, is to introduce matter with a new and opposite property; and that is to introduce a new species of matter c, which will differ as essentially from the other common unthinking kind, as any species whatsoever doth from its opposite in scala pradicamentali, even as body doth from spirit. For thinking and unthinking differ as corporeal and incorporeal. And if so, this thinking matter must always continue to think, till either it is annihilated, or there is a transmutation of one species into another: and to take refuge in either of these expectations is at least to expect omnipotence should interpose to help out a bad cause.

If any one should say, that God might by virtue of his omnipotence superadd to certain parcels of matter a fourth dimension, I should not perhaps dispute the Divine power: but I might say, that such matter, existing under sour dimensions,

would

Lucretius seems to be aware of this. Jam triplex animi est natura reperta: Nec tamen hac sat sunt ad sensum cuncta creandum, &c. Quarta quoq; his igitur quædam natura necesse est Attribuatur: ea est omnino nominis expers. "The soul is sound to be made up of three parts, nor are all these sufficient to produce understanding, &c. It is necessary therefore that some other particular sourth nature should be added to these: and this we have no name at all for."

would effentially differ from that, which cannot exist under four, or which can exist but only under three; and that this four-dimensiond matter must always remain such, because no substance can be changed into or become another, essentially different, nor do we know of any, that by the course of nature ceases totally to be, or is reduced to nothing.

3. The next argument shall proceed by way of objection and answer. Because a removal of the principal objection against any thing is a good argument for it. Obj. It feems as if thinking was not effential to the foul, but rather a capacity of thinking under certain circumftances. For it doth not think, when it lies conceald in the primitive rudiment of the man, in the womb, perhaps in the beginnings of infancy, in sleep, in a swoon: and the reason of this feems to lie in the circumstances of the body, which either is not sufficiently extended, and prepared; or for a while imploys the spirits wholly in the digestion of its aliment, and other offices in the animal œconomy; or by some external attack, or the working of some enemy got into it, hath its parts diforderd, and the paffages fo poffest, that the blood and other fluids can scarce break through; or after some such manner is preternaturally affected. And therefore the question to be resolved is not, whether the foul is material or immaterial; and much less, whether it will be annibilated at death; but, whether that foul (be it what it will), which ceases to think, when the body is not fithy difposed, can think at all, when the body is quite disfolved, and leaves the foul no opportunity of actuating

ating it any more, or operating by it f. Ans. If this objection cannot be fully answerd, till we know more of the nature of spiritual beings, and of that vinculum, by which the soul and body are connected, than we do at present, it must not therefore be looked upon as certainly unanswerable in itself; and much less, if only it cannot be answerd by me. It may perhaps be possible to turn it even into an argument for the immortality of the soul.

af f

0t r**d** 

搜

Œ

H

CT.

Dă:

ģſ

The bridge

i th

it

, 2

r d

ŒΚ

:::<del>!</del>,

nir

ini.

560 | FL:

11.3

À.

The foul it cannot be denied is a limited being. or a being, which acts under limitations: thefe limitations at different times are different, its activity and faculties being more obstructed or clogd at one time than another, and most of all in sleep, or a deliquium: as these obstructions are removed, it acts more clearly and freely: and therefore if the state of the foul in the body (its confinement there) may be confiderd as one general and great limitation, why, when this limitation shall be taken off (this great obstruction removed), may it 8 not be allowd to act with still greater freedom and clearness; the greatest it is capable of? Whilst it remains in the brain, it can as it were look out at a few apertures; that is, receive the notices of many things by those nerves and organs, which

f If Lucan by fensus "fense," means all manner of apprehension and knowledge, there is no room for that disjunction:

Aut nibil est sensus à morte relictum, Aut mors ipsa nibil.

"Either there remains no sense at all in the soul after death,
"or death itself is nothing." For if the former part be true, the other will sollow.

E Velut è diutino carcere emissus [animus]. " (The soul) is as it were let out of a prison, in which it has been a long while." Sen.

268 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. are the instruments of sensation: but if any of those avenues to it be stopt, that branch of its knowledge is for a time cut off. If those tracks in the brain, or those marks, whatever they are, and where ever they are imprinted, upon which our memory and images of things feem to depend, are filled up or overcast by any vapor, or otherwise darkend, it can read them no more, till the cloud is dispersed. (For it cannot read what is not legible. and indeed for the present not there.) And since even in abstracted reflexions the mind is obliged to make use of words h, or some kind of signs, to fix its ideas, and to render them tractable and stable enough to be perused, compared,  $\mathcal{C}_{c}$ , and this kind of language depends upon memory; whilst this is intermitted, the use of the other is taken away, with all that depends upon it. This is the present flate of the foul: and from hence the reason appears in some measure, why we do not think in found fleep, &c. but it does not follow from hence. that the foul cannot subsist and act under more inlarged circumstances. That, which, being confined to the body, and able to act only according to the opportunities this affords, can now perceive visible objects only with two eyes (at two windows 1), because there are no more, might doubtless see with four, if there were so many properly placed

i Θυείδιε γάρ διτως τῶς ψυχῆς ωὶ αἰσθάσεις. "The senses are the windows of the soul," Bas.

and

h Those kinds of animals, which do not speak, do not reafon: but those, which do the one, do the other. Therefore
ገጋገጋ ነገ, "a living" (or Arab. ጋሀአኔ, "a speaking ani" mal") is a rational animal: and አሪንው signifies both speech and reason, as going together.

I

ļ

ŭ.

. 2

ă

7

oí

Ų.

Ė

3

. 1

Ľ,

į.

he

Ė

17

χĽ

52.

ij

and disposed; or if its habitation were all eve (window all round), might see all round. And so, in general, that, which now can know many things by the impressions made at the ends of the nerves. or by the intervention of our present organs, and in this fituation and inclosure can know them no other way, may for all that, when it comes to be loofed out of that prison k, know them immediately, or by some other medium. That, which is now forced to make shift with words and figns of things in its reasonings, may, when it shall be set at liberty and can come at them, reason upon the intuition of things themselves, or use a language more spiritual or ideal. I say, it is not impossible, that this should be the case; and therefore no one can say, with reason, that it is not: especially, since we find by experience, that the foul is limited; that the limitations are variable; that we know not enough of the nature of spirit to determin, how these limitations are effected: and therefore cannot tell, how far they may be carried on, or taken off. This suffices to remove the force of the object tion. But further.

A man, when he wakes, or comes to bimself (which phrase implies what I am going to say), immediately knows this, and knows himself to be the same soul that he was before his sleep, or fainting away. I will suppose, that he is also conscious to himself, that in those intervals he thought not at all (which is the same the objector must suppose):

Вb

that

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Asapx mai dodudle is to to saile Sedten singuspesses."
When it shall dwell upon the stage of the universe, without a slesh and without a body." Ph. Jud.

370 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. that is, if his body had been cut to pieces, or moulderd to dust, he could not have thought less: for there is no thinking less than thinking not at all. From hence then I gather, that the foul preserves a capacity of thinking, &c. under those circumstances and indispositions of the body, in which it thinks no more, than if the body was destroyd; and that therefore it may, and will preserve it, when the body is destroyd. And if so, what can this capacity be preserved for? Certainly not, that it may never be exerted. The Author of nature doth not use to act after that manner. So that here is this dilemma to be opposed to the objection. In sleep and fwoonings the foul doth either think, or not. If it does, the objection has no foundation: and if it doth not, then all that will follow, which I have iust now said.

If we should suppose the foul to be a being by nature made to inform some body, and that it cannot exist and act in a state of total separation from all body; it would not follow from hence, that what we call death, must therefore reduce it to a state of absolute insensibility and inactivity, which to it would be equal to non-existence. For that body, which is so necessary to it, may be some fine vebicle, that dwells with it in the brain (according to that bypothesis p. 361.) and goes off with it at death. Neither the answers to the objection, nor the case after death will be much alterd by fuch a supposition. And fince I confess I see no absurdity in it, I will try to explain it a little further. We are fenfible of many material impressions (impressions made upon us by material causes, or bodies): that there are such we are sure. Therefore there must be Some fome matter within us, which being moved or pressed upon, the soul apprehends it immediately. And therefore, again, there must be some matter to which it is immediately and intimately united, and related in such a manner, as it is not related to any other. Let us now suppose this said matter to be some refined and spirituous vehicle, which the soul doth immediately inform; with which it sympathizes; by which it acts, and is acted upon; and to which it is vitally and inseparably united: and that this animated vehicle has its abode in the brain, among

1 So Hierocles distinguishes το αυγοκδις ιμών σάμα, ο ή ψυχής אומלפי אין אומל, " our glorious body, and the thin vehicle of the " foul," from that, which he calls to Irold imar suma, " our " mortal body," and to which the former communicates life. To auyonde เท่นตา อย่นสโเ ซออร์อบ อตินล อากาวารา or. "The mortal and " the glorious body adhere to, and grow up with each other." Id. This fine body he calls also fuxuar ouna, "a living body," and arroyalmer synus, " a spiritual vehicle." In Nishm. bbaiy. there is much concerning that fine body, in which the foul is clothed, and from which it is never to be separated, according to an old tradition. Men. b. Ifr. gives us the fum of it in fuch words as thefe. יש גוף דק עד מאר בו מתלבש הנשמה ישרם ביאה לעולם "There is a very thin fine body, with "which the foul is clothed, before it comes into the world:" and מלשמות המה בבריאתם הראשונה נקשרות afterward, עם גשמים דקים רוחניים מהמבע השמימי בלתי משגים לחוש הראות. והנשמות לא יתפרדו מאותם הגשמים הדקים הרוחניים כל ימי עולם אם קודם ביאם לגוף ואם בחיותם עמו וגם אחרי הפרדם ממנו. "These souls, at their first creation, were joined with some " thin, spiritual, and celestial bodies, which cannot be per-" ceived by our eyes. Neither can these thin spiritual bodies " be separated from those souls so long as the world lasts, " neither before they came into this (gross) body, nor whilst " they remain in it, nor after they are separated from it." Saadias long before him joins to the foul 77 15, " a thin ירק [יותר ון] מן הגלגלים which he fays is ירק [יותר ון] מן thinner than the ether in the skies," &c.

Œ

i

ß

Ħ

C

ď

五世世

í

í

ŀ

Ň

į,

Bb 2

tha

272 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. the heads and beginnings of the nerves. Suppose we also, that when any impressions are made upon the organs or parts of the body, the effects of them are carried by the nerves up to their fountain. and the place, where the foul in its vehicle is; and there they communicate their feveral motions or tremors to this material vehicle (or by their motions, or tendency to motion, press upon) it; so that the foul, which inhabits it in a peculiar manner, and is thoroughly possest of it, shall be apprehenfive of these motions or pressures: and moreover, that this vebicle so guarded and incompassed by the body as it is, can be come at or moved by external objects no other way, but by the mediation of the nerves; nor the foul, by confequence, have any direct intelligence concerning them, or correspondence with them, any other way. And as we fuppose the soul to receive notices of things from without in this manner, so let us suppose, on the other fide, that by moving its own vehicle it may produce motion in the contiguous spirits and nerves, and so move the body: I mean, when nothing renders them unfit to be moved. Let us suppose further, that the foul by means of this vehicle feels or finds those prints and portraits, or those effetts and remains left by objects on the mind in some manner or other, which cause the remembrance of words and things: I mean again, when they are not filled up, or obscured by any thing; or, when there are any fuch to be felt. And laitly, let us suppose, that if the foul in its more abstracted and purer reasonings, or more spiritual acts, has any occasion for matter, to serve it, the matter of this vebicle is that which is always with it, and ferves it. All which

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 373 which it is easy to understand, and perhaps not very difficult to suppose. On the contrary, by many fymptoms it appears most probable, that that matter, to which the mind is immediately prefent, and in which is its true shekinah, is not the whole gross body, but some subtile body, placed (as I have faid) in the region of the brain. For there all the conveyances of sensible species conspire to meet, and there in reflexion we find ourselves: when a limb is loft, the foul, 'tis true, loses an opportunity of receiving intelligence from or by it, and of using it, but perceives no loss in itself: and tho the body, many parts of it at least, are in a perpetual flux and continually altering, yet I know that the substance, which thinks within me now (or rather, which is I), is, notwithstanding all the changes my body has undergone, the very same which thought above fifty years ago, and ever fince; when I playd in such a field, went to such a school, was of such a university, performed such and fuch exercises, &c m. If you would permit me to use a school term, I would say the egoity a

3

):

Œ.

ű

Ŕ

æ

ű.

ď

11

35

Ë

ľ

ď

1

ŗ,

'n

1

'nΙ

1

m Cùm corpora quotidie nostra fluant, & aut crescant aut decrescant, ergo tot erimus homines, quot quotidie commutamur? aut alius fui, cùm decem annorum essem; alius, cùm triginta; alius cùm quinquaginta, alius, cùm jam toto cano capite sum? "Be-" cause our bodies are continually altering, and either in-" creasing ordiminishing, shall we therefore be as many different men, as we undergo perpetual changes? Or was I one person when I was ten years old, another when I was thir-" ty, another when I was fifty, and another now I am grey-" headed." St Jerom. So it must be, if our souls are nothing different from our bodies.

n I would say the egoity remains, that is, that by which I am the same I was; Tully has his Lentulitas "Lentulity," and B b 3 Appietas

374 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. remains. Now to answer the objection, and apply all this to our purpose. Why do we not perceive external objects in our fleep, or a swoon? Because the passages are become impracticable, the windows shut, and the nerves, being obstructed, or some how renderd for the time useless, can transmit no information to it. Why however does it not reafon and think about something or other? Because, all the marks by which things are rememberd being for the present choked up or disorderd, the remembrance of those objects, about which it is wont to imploy itself, and even of the words (or other figns), in which it uses to reason, and to preserve the deductions and conclusions it makes, is all fufpended and lost for the time; and so its tables being coverd, its books closed, and its tools locked up, the requisites for reasoning are wanting, and no fubject offers itself, to exercise its thoughts, it having yet had little or no opportunity to take in bigher objects and more refined matter for contemplation. And to conclude, if it be demanded, why any one should imagin, that the foul may think, perceive, act after death, when it doth not do this in fleep, &c. the answer is; because those inclosures and impediments, which occasiond the forementiond intermissions, and those great limitations under which it labors at all times, will be removed with its inlargement out of the body. When it shall in its proper vehicle be let go, and take its flight into the open fields of heaven, it will then be bare to the immediate impressions of ob-

Appietas "Appiety;" that is, that by which Lentulus remained Lentulus, and Appius remained Appius; in the same form, tho not just the like sense.

jects:

7

C X

i

I

Ä.

Ų

1

lt

ĸ

1

Ċ

M)

'n

f

iects: and why should not those impressions, which affected the nerves that moved and affected the vehicle and foul in it, affett the vehicle immediately, when they are immediately made upon it, without the interpolition of the nerves? The hand, which feels an object at the end of a staff, may certainly be allowd to feel the fame much better by immediate contact, without the staff. Nay, why should we not think, that it may admit of more objects and the knowledge of more things, than it can now; fince being exposed all round to the influences of them, it may be moved not only by visible objects just at the extremities of the optic nerves, by founds at the ends of the auditory, &c. but become as it were all eye to visible objects, all ear to audible, and so on? And why should we not think this the rather, because then the soul may be also perceptive of finer impressions and ethereal contacts, and confequently of more kinds of objects, such as we are now incapable of knowing? And then, this being so, why should we not presage, that other indowments, as faculties of reasoning, communicating thoughts, and the like, will be proportionable to fuch noble opportunities of knowledge? There feems to be nothing in this account impossible; and therefore nothing, but what may be.

If we do but attend, we must see every where, that many things are by ways, which we do not, nor can understand; and therefore we must be convinced, even from hence, that more may be; and therefore that the objection before us, tho we could not falve the difficulties in it, and what is supposed here should be all rejected as chimerical, yet ought to be no prejudice against the belief of the Bb 4 immor376 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. immortality of the soul, if there is any (but one)

good reason for it.

But if we can in any tolerable manner (which in our present circumstances is as much, as can be expected) account for the difficulties objected, and those the greatest belonging to this matter, and shew how it is possible that they may consist with immortality, this will greatly corroborate the arguments for it, if not be one itself. This I hope is done: or if I have not spoke directly to every part of the objection, from what has been done that defect may easily be supplied.

4. We may conclude the fouls of men to be immortal from the nature of God. For if he is (which fure no body doubts) a Perfect being, He, as fuch, can do nothing inconsistent with perfect or right reason. And then no being, nor circumstance of any being, can come from Him as its cause, which it is not agreeable to fuch reason should be: or (which is the same), He cannot but deal reasonably with all His dependents. And then again, if we are in the number of these, and the mortality of the human foul does not confift with reason. we may be fure it is immortal: as fure as we can be of any thing by the use of our faculties; and that is, as fure as we can be of any thing. Whether therefore that doth confift with reason, or not, is to be inquired.

To produce a being into a state of clear bappiness in any degree, can be no injury to it; or into a state of mixt bappiness, provided the happiness certainly overbalances the contrary, and the unhappy or suffering part be not greater than what that being would choose in order to obtain the happiness,

Digitized by Google

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 377 or rather than lose it. Nor, again, can any wrong be done by producing a being subject to more mifery than happiness, if that being hath it in bis own power to avoid the mifery, or so much of it, as may leave the remainder of misery not greater, than what he would rather fustain than miss the proportion of happiness. The only case then, by which wrong can be done in the production of any being, is, when it is necessarily and irremediably to be miserable, without any recompense, or balance of that mifery e: and this indeed is a case so grievous, so utterly irreconcilable to all reason, that the heart of a reasoning and considering man can fcarce bear the thought of it. So much every one must understand of the nature of reason and justice as to allow these things for truths incontestable.

1

Ç.

, 1

Ţ

Ė

į,

Ľ

of

ż

: 1

í.

i)

W,

à Œ

a a

) **PC** 

Now then he, who says the foul of man is mortal, must say one of these two things: either that God is an unreasonable, unjust, cruel Being; or that no man in respect of this life (which according to him is all), has a greater share of misery, unavoidable, than of happiness. To say the former is to contradict that, which I presume has been proved beyond contradiction. To which I may add here, that this is to avow such an unworthy, im-

pious

278 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. pious notion of the Supreme being, as one would not entertain without caution even of the work of men; fuch a one, as even the person himself, who favs this, must know to be false. For he cannot but fee, and must own many instances of the reasonableness and beneficence of the Deity: not one of which could be, if cruelty and unreasonableness were His inclination; fince He has power to execute His own inclinations thoroughly, and is a Being uniform in his nature. Then to fay the latter is to contradict the whole story of mankind, and even one's own senses. Consider well the dreadful effects of many wars, and all those barbarous defolations, which we read of: what cruel tyrants there are, and have been in the world, who (at least in their fits) divert themselves with the pangs and convulsions of their fellow-creatures p: what flavery is q, and bow men have been brought into

<sup>\*\*</sup> C. Cæfar—Senatores & Equites—cecidit, torsit, non quæstienis, sed animi causa. Deinde quosdam ex illis—ad lucernam decollabat.—Torserat per omnia, quæ in rerum natura tristissima sunt, sidiculis, &c. "C. Cæsar—the Senators and the Knights—" killed and put to the rack (a great many) not in order to shill find out the truth, but for their own pleasure only. Afterwards he cut off the heads of some—by candle-light—" tormented others, by all the most cruel tortures that could be thought of in nature; stretched them with cords, &c." Sen. Homo, sacra res, jam per lusum & jocum occiditur. "A" man, who is a divine creature, is slain out of sport and jest."

I Slaves were reckond among beafts of old. Ο ὅτο γὰρ γυνὰ πίσεντας, οὕτ' ἀ ἀτδεμεισύγ' οι. "For you are not really a woman, " nor are you to be reckond of human race." EURIP. And fometimes as mere instruments and tools. 'Ο γὰρ δῦλος εμψυχος δργαιος τὸ δ΄ ἔργαιος ἄψυχος δῦλος. " For a slave is a living instrument: " ment:

that lamentable state: how many have been ruind by accidents unforeseen: how many have suffered or been undone by unjust laws, judges, witnesses, or the causes of them, and of great torments, into the world with them: how many more, such bodily infirmities and disadvantages, as have renderd

E.

ŀ

Ċ,

I

z١

Œ

g.

" ment: and an instrument is a lifeless slave." Arist. Their sad condition I will set down in Plato's words. Our dide's sure γ' is i το σαθημα, το αδικείσθαι άλλα ανδεαπόδε τενος, εξείτον τεθναια ίστι εξείτ δίσε αδικάμενος, καὶ σροπολακιζόμενος, με οδίσε ές ir αυτός αυτός βουθείν, μεδε άλλα ε αν κάθεσα. "To be injured is not the suffering of a man but of a slave, to whom death is better than life: who, if he be unjustly treated and abused, is wholly unable to help himself, and no body else has any concern for him."

Those αρίντοι και απισοι δυσυχίαι, " unspeakable and incre-"dible calamities," which the redorate, " collectors of the " taxes," had brought upon the cities of Asia, are too many to be transcribed: but some account of them is to be seen in Plut. v. Luc. which may serve for one instance out of thoufands. It may be reckond madness indeed, maximas virtutes, quasi gravissima delicta, punire, "to punish the greatest vir-" tues, as if they were the greatest crimes;" as Val. M. says. speaking of *Phocion*'s case: but such madness has been very common, and men have sufferd even for their virtue. Ochus cruelly put to death, Ocham sororem --- , & patruum cum centum amplius filiis ac nepotibus---, nulla injuria lacessitus, sed quod in bis maximam apud Persas probitatis & fortitudinis laudem confiftere widebat, "his fifter Ocha ..., and his uncle with a hundred " of his fons and grandfons --- , without being provoked by any " injury, but only because he saw that they were in great reof putation amongst the Persians for probity and valour." Id. And Seneca having recommended the example of Gracinus Julius (Julius Græcinus, ap. Tacit. the father of Julius Agricola), adds, quem C. Cafar occidit ob hoc unum, quod melior vir erat. quam esse quemquam tyranno expediret, " whom C. Casar killed for this reason only, because he was a better man, than it " was expedient for a tyrant that any man should be."

their

280 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. their whole lives uneafy: how many are born to no other inheritance but invincible poverty and trouble? Instances are endless: but, for a little take of the condition of mankind here, reflect upon that ftory related by Strabo (from Polybius) and Plutarch, where, even by order of the Roman fenate, P. Ænylius, one of the best of them too, at one prefixt hour facked and destroyd feventy cities, unawares, and drove fifteen myriads of innocent perfons into captivity; to be fold, only to raise pay for the merciles soldiers and their own executioners. Peruse that account of the gold-works in the confines of Egypt given by Diodorus: and think over the circumstances of the unfortunate laborers there, who were not only criminals, or men taken in war, but even fuch as calumny, or unjust power had doomd (perhaps for being too good) to that place of torment; many times with all their relations and poor children . Or, once for all, take a view of servitude, as it is described by Pignorius. To pass over the Sicilian tyrants, him of Phera, Apollodorus t, and the like, of which history sup-

plies

<sup>\*</sup> Oi dinais διαδολαίς στεμπεσύθες, και δια δυμόν είς φυλακάς σκασεδοδομίνες, στο τι μεν αυτοί, στοτε δι και μετα στόσες συγενείας. 
"Some fell either by false accusations, or they were arbitrarily delivered up to prison, sometimes themselves only, and fometimes all their relations with them."

<sup>\*</sup> Mentiond by Cicero with Phalaris. He was tyrant of Caffandria, and is represented (out of Polyanut) as someotors and suffalls adilor, δου ακρ' Ελλησιν ή σαικό Βας Calegic εννομίνησαν, " as the bloodiest and most cruel of all the tyrants that ever reigned in Greece, or amongst the Barbarians." Yet Elian says, Έν πο οἶνει ὑπαιαρλεγόμενος κρὶ ὑπεξαπτόμενος, εγίνειο ουτικότειος, κλι. "That, when he was heated and inflamed with wine, " then he was still more bloody."

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 381 plies plenty; confider those terrible proscriptions among the Romans", with the reigns of most of their emperors, more bloody than Lybic lion, or Hyrcanian tiger, even some of the Christian emperors not excepted. Read the direful and unjust executions reported by Amm. Marcellinus: among hundreds of others that of Eufebius . Every whifper in those times or light suspicion brought upon men the question and tortures inconceivable. Men's very dreams were once interpreted to be treason; and they durft scarce own, that they had ever flept . What inhuman punishments were used among the Perfians, in an arbitrary manner too; and many times extended to whole families, and all the kindred, tho not concerned 2? But instead of enumerating here burnings, crucifixions, breakings upon the wheel, impalings, suapiouss, &c. I choose to refer

1

a

T.

ř.

Ç,

Ti

,

186

1

9

you

It is faid of Sylla's peace, after Marius's party were broken, Pax cum bells de crudelitate cortavit, & vicit, "That the peace rivalled the war in cruelty, and overcame it." So Aust.

w Qui ita evisceratus, ut cruciatibus membra deessent, implorans cæle justitiam, torvum renidens sundato pestore mansit immobilis, &c. "Whose bowels were torn out in such a manner, that they wanted members to torment; he called upon heaven for justice, and looking sternly with a calm countenance, "he continued unmoved by his sirm resolution, &c." In the reign of Constantius.

<sup>\*</sup> Marebantque dotti quidam, quod apud Atlanteos nati non effent, ubi memorantur somnia non videri. "Some learned men "were very sorry that they were not born amongst the Atlantes, of whom it is reported that they never dream."

y V. Plut. in v. Artax.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ob noxam unius omnis propinquitas perit. "All the whole in eighbourhood perished for the fault of one single person." AMM. MARC.

382 The Religion of Nature. Sect. IX. you to those authors, who have designedly treated of the torments and questions of the ancients. Look into the history of the Christian Church, and her martyrologies: examin the prisons of the inquisition, the groans of which those walls are conscious. and upon what flight occasions men are racked and tortured by the tormentors there: and, to finish this detail (hideous indeed, but too true) as fast as I can, confider the many massacres, persecutions, and miseries consequent upon them, which false religion has caused, authorized, sanctified. Indeed the bistory of mankind is little else but the history of uncomfortable, dreadful passages: and a great part of it, however things are palliated and gilded overis scarcely to be red by a good-natured man without amazement, horror, tears. One can scarce look into a news-paper, or out at his window, but hardships and sufferings present themselves, in one shape or other. Now among all these millions, who have fufferd eminently, can it be imagind, that there have not been multitudes, whose griefs and pangs have far outweighd all their injoyments; and yet who have not been able, either by their innocence, their prudence, or any power in them, to escape that bitter draught, which they have drunk? And then, how can we acquit the justice and reasonableness of that Being, upon whom these poor creatures depend, and who leaves them fuch great losers by their existence, if there be no future state, where the proper amends may be made? So that the argument is brought to this undeniable iffue; if the foul of man is not immortal, either there is no God, upon whom we depend; or He is an unreasonable Being; or there never has been any

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 383 any man, whose sufferings in this world have exceeded his injoyments, without his being the cause of it himself. But surely no one of these three things can be said. Ergo—

s. La

201

lt :

)Tig

i.

ÚĒ

3Ï

. COL

ŀ

iir

en.

:27

11

u, u

À E

di Z

. 3

1

ثقأ أكا

100

1121

liiğ'

rtal t

nd; 🛚

لمتلأنثا

That, which aggravates the bard case of the poor sufferers mentioned above, if there be no future state, in which their past sufferings may be brought into the account, and recompensed, is, that many times their persecutors and tormentors pass their lives in plenty and grandeur: that is, the innocent have not only the portion, that properly belongs to the criminal and unreasonable part of mankind, but the guilty have that, which belongs rather to the innocent 2. Such a transpofition of rewards and punishments, ending in itself, without any respect to something which is to follow hereafter, can never consist with the nature of a Governor, who is not very much below rational: a thought, which God forbid any one should dare to admit of Him. To suppose the virtuous and wife left ultimately but in the same state with the unjust and profligate is to suppose such a constitution of nature, as never can flow from a principle of reason, a God of truth and equity: and therefore such a conflitution, as leaves the former in a worse condition than the other, can much less be supposed.

Digitized by Google

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Dies deficiet, si velim numerare, quibus bonis male evenerit: mec minus, si commemorem, quibus improbis optime. "The day "would not hold out, if I should undertake to enumerate all the good men whom evil befel; nor would it, if I should "reckon up all the wicked men, that have fared best of all." Cic. This is justly said; the I account his instances not the most apposite.

## 384 The Religion of Nature. Sect. IX.

Obj. It hath been faid, that virtue tends to make men's lives happy even here, &c. and how then can the virtuous be supposed ever to be so very miserable? Ans. In ordinary cases virtue doth produce happiness: at least it has indeed a natural tendency to it; is the mean, by which it is most likely to be attaind; and is therefore the way, which a wife man would choose for his own fake. But then it doth not follow from hence, that there are no perturbations in human affairs; no cases, in which the usual effect of virtue may be overpowerd by diseases, violence, disasters. It doth not render men invulnerable: cannot command the seafons; nor prevent many great calamities, under which virtue and vice must fall undistinguishd. (There may be a direct road to a place, and fuch a one, as he, who fets out for that place, ought to be found in, and yet it is possible he may meet with robbers or accidents in it, that may incommode, or hurt him in his journey.). On the other fide, vice and wickedness may be so circumstantiated as to be attended with much greater pleasure than pain, contrary to the tendency of its nature: that is, a wicked man may be of a healthful make, born to riches or power, or fortunately placed for attaining them; and from the advantage of a strong body, an ample fortune, many friends, or lucky hits, he may derive pleasures, which shall exceed the present inconveniencies and sufferings naturally following from his vices b.

Men's

b Yet according to Ariflotle he cannot be happy for all that. His opinion Diog. L. represents thus: τὸν ἀνθὸν μιὰ είναι κύτάρκα περές ενδαιμονίαν περέποθαι γάρ τῶν το πορὲ σῶμα τὰ τῶν ἐκδὸς ἀγαθῶς — τὸν —

## Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 385

W R

'n,

ůς

Ф

1

ĊŲ

ı

Ċ

Ŕ:

牌

ŭ5

ŗ

Ţ.

'n

D

Ш

Men's circumstances have a natural influence with respect to the present pleasures or sufferings, as well as their virtue or vice. No body fure ever faid, that all depends only upon these: nor, when the natural tendence of them is afferted, is the natural tendence or effect of the other denied. Therefore indeed, when it is faid that virtue naturally tends to make men happy even here, the meaning only is, that it tends to make men happy in proportion to their circumstances; and vice does the contrary. It is naturally productive of that part of happiness, which is in our own power, and depends upon ourselves; makes men more truly happy, whatever their circumstances are, than they could be without it, and commonly tends to mend their worldly circumstances too: but it is not afferted, that virtue can always intirely correct them, or make men so completely happy in this life, as that their injoyments shall exceed their mortifications; no more than the vices of some particular men, tho they bereave them of many folid pleasures, and bring troubles upon them too, do hinder their worldly injoyments from being greater than their present sufferings. Not only our being, but our place, with the time, and manner of our being in this world depend upon the Author of the scheme, the manner of behaving ourselves in our station (ac-

Cc

cording

<sup>—</sup> τὰν μίν τοι κακὶκν αὐτάζεν σερὸς κακοδαιμονίαν, κὰν ὅτι μάλισα σαρῷ αὐτῷ τὰ ἐπτὸς ἀγαθὰ καὶ τὰ σειρὰ σᾶμα. "Virtue is not alone fufficient to produce happines, because external good things and things relating to the body are also necessary—; but vice is of itself sufficient to produce misery, and especially, if external good things and the things relating to the body are joined with it."

386 The Religion of Nature. Sect. IX.

cording to our indowments, and the talents we have) only depends upon us. And perhaps (which has been hinted already) He has so orderd things on purpose, that from the various compositions of men's circumstances with the natural effects of their virtues and vices, and the many inequalities arising thence, they might see the necessity and certainty of another state: and that for this reason there should always be some remarkable instances of oppress innocence and flourishing wickedness.

The upshot is, that upon comparing these pleafures, which are the natural effects of virtue with those sufferings, which are the natural effects of ill constitution or other calamity. these are many. very many times found to exceed: and è contrario. upon balancing those evils, which are the genuin effects of vice, against the advantages resulting from a fortunate estate, these may often be found to outdo the other. Both contrary to reason, if all ends with this life, and after death be nothing. For my part, if there were only some few, nay but one instance of each kind in the world (unfortunate virtue, and prosperous wickedness), it would be to me a sufficient argument for a future state: because God cannot be unjust or unreasonable in any one instance. It must not be forgot here, that many times men of great vices have also great virtues, and the natural effect of these may qualify that of the other, and being added to their favourable circumstances may help to turn the fcale.

If there is no other beside the present being, the general and usual state of mankind is scarce consistent with the idea of a reasonable Cause. Let us consider

ect !

:23 r

S'RL

d de

÷ů.

din:

'nΩ,

115 720

ghu

. .

in **X** 

T20

ijΩ.

( D

Æ.

....

- 14

1

167

Į.

Ľª.

rit.

ere, I

765

7 91E

U.H -

20,

l(GQ)

مار ملعدد0)

consider it a little '. Not to mention what we must fuffer from the very fettlement and condition of this world by hunger, thirst, heat, cold, and indispofitions; like leaves one generation drops, and and other springs up, to fall again, and be forgotten d. As we come into the world with the labor of our mothers, we foon go out of it with our own. Childbood and youth are much of them lost in insensibility or trifling, vanity and rudeness; obnoxious to many pains and accidents; and, when they are spent in the best manner, are attended with labor and dis-When we reach that ftage of life, which usually takes us from our nearest relations, and brings us out into the world, with what difficulty are proper imployments and stations found for us? When we are got out, and left to scramble for ourfelves, how many bardships and tricks are put upon us, before we get the fagacity and dexterity to fave ourselves? How many chances do we stand? How troublesome is business made by unreasonableness, ill nature, or trifling and want of punctuality in the persons with whom we deal? How do we find ourselves instantly surrounded with snares from de-

Cc 2

Et valet annales nostrorum audire laborum. "And it may be of use to hear a catalogue of our missortunes." For as Seneca says, Nulli contigit impunè nasci, "No man is born free of them."

d \*Ounteg φύλλων γενελ, τοιάδε τὸ drδεῶν.—ἡ μὲν φύει, ἡ δ' ἀπολήγει.

The life of man is like the leaves of trees;—fome fpring
forth, and others wither." Hom. This is true not only of
fingle men, but even of cities (famous ones), kingdoms, empires. One may fay the fame concerning many of them, that
Florus fays of Veii: Laborat annalium fides, ut Veios fuiffe credamus. "The credit of history is not quite fufficient, to conwince us that there ever was any fuch city as Veii."

figning men, knaves, enemies (of which the best men have some), opposite interests, factions, and many times from a mischievous breed, whose childish or diabolical humor seeks pleasure in the uneafiness of other people? Even in many of those injoyments, which men principally propose to themselves, they are greatly disappointed, and experience shews, how unlike they are to the antecedent images of them. They are commonly mixt : the apparatus to most of them is too operose: the completion of them feldom depends upon our felves alone, but upon a concurrence of things, which rarely hit all right f: they are generally not only less in practice, than in theory, but die almost as foon as they are: and perhaps they intail upon us a tax to be paid after they are gone. with the history of human life: the affairs go profperoully, yet still perhaps a family is increasing, and with it new occasions of folicitude are introduced, accompanied with many fears and tender apprehensions. At length, if a man, through many cares and toils and various adventures, arrives at old age, then he feels most commonly his pressures rather increased, than diminishd, and himself less able to support them g. The business he has to do

grows

Labor voluptalq, dissimillima natura, societate quadam inter fe naturali sunt juncta. "Pain and pleasure, tho, in the nature of things, the most unlike each other, yet are united by fome natural bond." LIVY.

f Senfible of this, Socrates used to say, the rais iderais, μὶ σας αλλων, αλλα στας iμων θης σθαι, "We ought to seek pleasures "from ourselves, and not from others." Stob.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Senex, & levissimis quoq; curis impar, " I am an old man, and unequal to the smallest cares:" as Seneca, of himself, in Tacitus.

10.2

) ,2EC

31

7

n to the

vi.

S. 12

.....

....

بند

: 2

7

معریان معدد تا

113

- 112. -----

X.

ing Mari

للت

100

0.3

5.02

grows urgent upon him, and calls for dispatch: most of his faculties and active powers begin now to fail him apace: relations and friends, who might be helpful to him (and among them perhaps the dear Confort of all his joys, and all his cares h) leave him, never to return more: wants and pains all the while are multiplying upon him: and under this additional load he comes melancholy behind, tottering, and bending toward the earth; till he either flumbles upon fomething which throws him into the grave i, or fainting falls of himself. And must he end here? Is this the period of his being? Is this all? Did he come into the world only to make his way through the press, amidst many justlings and hard struggles, with at best only a few deceitful, little, fugacious pleafures interspersed, and so go out of it again? Can this be an end worthy a first Cause perfettly reasonable? Would even any man, of common sense and good nature, fend another upon a difficult journey, in which, tho he might perhaps now and then meet with a little fmooth way, get an interval for rest and contemplation, or be flatterd with some verdures and the smiles of a few daisies on the banks of the road; yet upon the whole he must travel through much dirt, take many wearisom fteps, be continually inquiring after fome clew or directions to carry him through the turnings and intricacies of it, be puzzled how to get a competent viaticum and pay his reckonings, ever and

Cc 3

anon

h Rogus aspiciendus amatæ Conjugis, &c. "You must see the "funeral pile of your beloved Wife." Juv.

i Σμίπεα σαλαιά σώματ' εὐτάζει ἐοπά. " A small matter will " push an old man into his grave." Soph.

anon be in danger of being lost in deep waters, and beside forced all the while to sence against weather, accidents, and cruel robbers, who are every where lying in wait for him: I say, would any one send a man upon such a journey as this, only that the man might faint and expire at the end of it, and all his thoughts perish; that is, either for no end at all, or for the punishment of one, whom I suppose never to have hurt him, nor ever to have been capable of hurting him? And now can we impute to God that, which is below the common size of men. ?

I am apt to think, that even among those, whose state is beheld with envy, there are many, who, if at the end of their course they were put to their option, whether, without any respect to a future state, they would repeat all the pleasures they have had in life, upon condition to go over again also all the same disappointments, the same vexations and unkind treatments from the world, the same secret pangs and tedious hours, the same labors of body and mind, the same pains and sicknesses, would be far from accepting them at that price 1.

But

<sup>1</sup> Non mehercule quisquam accepisset [witam], nist daretur insciis. "Truly nobody would accept of (life), if it was not given them when they did not know it." SEN,

But here the case, as I have put it, only respects them, who may be reckond among the more fortunate passengers: and for one, that makes his voyage fo well, thousands are tost in tempests, and lost ". How many never attain any comfortable fettlement in the world? How many fail, after they have attaind it, by various misfortunes? What melancholy, what diffractions are caused in families by inhumane or vitious husbands, false or peevish wives, refractory or unhappy children; and, if they are otherwise, if they are good, what forrow by the loss of them? How many are forced by necessity upon drudging and very shocking imployments for a poor livelihood? How many subsist upon begging, borrowing, and other shifts, nor can do otherwise? How many meet with fad accidents, or fall into deplorable diseases? Are not all companies, and the very streets filled with complaints, and grievances, and doleful ftories? I verily believe, that a great part of mankind may ascribe their deaths to want and dejection. Seriously, the present state of mankind is unaccountable, if it has not some connexion with another, and be not as it were the porch or entry to it ".

העולם הזה דומה לפרוזרור בפני העולם הבא יה "This world is only like a porch to the world to come." P.

Авоти.

:â.1

2.3, 2

....

77

074 🕏

iz;

C na

cla

....

R:

77.7

3 12

.. **V** 

Ţ

...)

S 27

i: VI Jil I

٦,

الأبكية

n 25

21,5

نظاوج

اعزد

P2 14.

Will

EC 103

1

TO C

arete 1

COL F

ŀ

Cc 4 There

m Paulisper te crede subduci in montis ardui werticem celsiorem; speculare inde rerum infra te jacentium facies; & oculis in diversa porrectis, sluctuantis mundi turbines intuere. Jam seculi & ipse miseraberis, &c. "Imagin yourself to be removed to the top of some very high mountain, and see how the things that are below you look; and turning your eyes every way, best hold the trouble of a stormy world. And then you will take pity on the inhabitants, &c." St Cypr.

## 392 The Religion of Nature. Sect. IX.

There is one thing more, of which notice ought to be taken. To one, who carefully peruses the story and face of the world, what appears to prevail in it? Is it not corruption, vice, iniquity, folly, at least? Are not debauching, getting per fas aut nefas, defaming one another, erecting tyrannies of one kind or other, propagating empty and fenfeless opinions with bawling and fury the great business of this world? And are not all these contrary to reason? Can any one then with reason imagin, that reason should be given, tho it were but to a few, only to be run down and trampled upon, and then extinguishd? May we not rather conclude, that there must be fome world, where reason will have its turn, and prevail and triumph? Some kingdom of reason to come ??

5. In the last place, that great expessation which men have, of continuing to live in another state, beyond the grave, has I suppose been commonly admitted as one proof, that they shall live; and does seem indeed to me to add some weight to what has been said. That they generally have had such

P Beside, there being no satiety of knowledge in this life, we may hope for suture opportunities, when our faculties shall be exalted, &c. The adobeses is dias in bill within interior invitation in interior invitation in interior in interior. They who are desirous of truth, and of seeing things as they really are, can never be fully satisfied here." Plut.

o O si possis in illa sublimi specula constitutus aculos tuos inserere secretis, recludere cubiculorum obductas sores, & ad conscientiam luminum penetralia occulta reserare, &c. "O that, when you are placed upon the top of that high tower, you could cast your eyes into the secret places, and unbar the doors of bedchambers, and lay opon their secret recesses to the discovery of the light, &c." Cypr.

an expectation, can scarce be denied. The histories of mankind, their deifications, rites, stories of apparitions, the frequent mention of a bades, with rewards and punishments hereafter, &c. all testify, that even the Heathen world believed, that the fouls of men furvived their bodies. Their ignorance indeed of the seats and circumstances of the departed has begot many errors and superstitions; and these have been multiplied by licentious poets and idle visionairs: but this, being no more than what is usual in the like cases, ought to be no prejudice against the fundamental opinion itself.

C: (72

nis:

33)

-7,0

5.7

1.

e todas Leinas

IZ.

, (2)

ai. Cii

e ir

12

713

ï

11.

ĽÌ

Jū

1

nii Ca

12.0

cidi:

Ů.

î X

2

30

. .

72

21 **2**1

1

Cicero q, tho he owns there were different opinions among the Greek philosophers about this matter; that, quod literis extet, Pherecydes Syrus primum dixit, animos hominum esse sempiternos; that Pythagoras and his school confirmed this opinion; that Plato was the man, who brought a reason for it, &c. yet tells us plainly, naturam ipsam de immortalitate animorum tacitam judicare; that nescio quomodo inbæret in mentibus quasi sæculorum quoddam augurium; that permanere animos arbitramur confensu nationum omnium; and more to this purpose. Now if this consent was only the effect of some tradition, handed from parents to their children; yet fince we meet with it in all the quarters of the world (where there is any civility or fense), and in all ages, it feems to be coeval to mankind itself, and born with it. And this is fufficient to give a great authority to this opinion of the foul's immortality. But this is not all. For it is supported by all the foregoing arguments, and many other reafonings and fymptoms which we may find within

9 In Tufc. difp.

ourselves.

394 The RELICION of NATURE. Sect. IX. ourselves. All which, put together, may at least justify an expectation of a future state: that is, render it a just or reasonable expectation: and then this reasonable expectation grows, by being such, into a further argument, that there will be such a state.

Fancy a man walking in some retired field, far from noise, and free from prejudice, to debate this matter with himself, and then judge, whether such meditations as these would not be just. "I think I " may be fure, that neither lifeless matter, nor the " vegetative tribe, that stone, that slower, that tree " have any reflex thoughts: nor do the fenfitive " animals, that sheep, that ox, seem to have any " fuch thing, or but in the lowest degree, and in " respect of present objects only. They do not " reason, nor discourse. I may therefore certainly " pretend to be something much above all these " things . I not only apprehend and confider " these external objects acting at present upon my " nerves, but have ideas raised within myself of a " higher order, and many: I can, not only repre-" fent to myself things, that are, or bave been, but " deduce many other from them, make excursions " into futurity, and foresee much of what will be, " or at least may be; by strict thinking I had al-

" most

r Methinks those philosophers make but an odd appearance in story, who, looking big and fastuous, at the same time professed, that their own souls were not superior to those of gnats, &c. οι ταὶς ἐρρῦς ἀνεσπακότες μποθε κατὰ τῶν ἐσίαν διαθεθείν ἀπεθενανίοι ἐμπιδιῶν το ἐνολῶς, ποὶ μυδιας,—ποὶ συὸς ψυχῶς—τὰν σεῶν αὐτῶν είλασοροιθατών ψυχῶν. "These men, who are so swelled with pride, " affirm, that, as to the substance, there is no difference betwixt the soul of a philosopher, and that of a gnat, or a worm, or a sty,—or the soul of a hog." as Euseb.

:. <u>}</u>

27

= +

Ài.

, TE

....2

in.

....

-12

. 17:

. 10

TH.

34

4

Ţ.

Ä.

XI

....

173

1

1

ĸ,

iri

C 3

\* Alexander after death might be in the same state with his muletier (M. Anton.), but sure not with his mule.

t Brevis est hic fructus homullis, "this is the short-lived pleasure of frail man," may be justly said for all Lucretius.

This

<sup>&</sup>quot;O κότμο σκατά, ὁ βίοι σε άρρδος Αλότι, Ιλις, ἀππλθις. "This world is a stage, life is the play; we come on, look about 15 μs, and go off again." Democr.

## 396 The Religion of Nature. Sect. IX.

This argument grows ftronger in the apprehenfion of one, who is conscious of abilities and intellectual improvements, which he has had no opportunity here of shewing and using, through want of health, want of confidence ", want of proper place, want of liberty. Such improvements, and the knowledge confequent upon them, cannot ultimately respect this state: they can be only an inlargement, and preparation for another. That is all they can be: and if they are not that, they are nothing. And therefore he may be supposed thus, further, to argue within himself. "Can the "Author of my reasoning faculties be Himself so " unreasonable as to give me them, either not to " imploy them, or only to weary myfelf with u/e-4 less pursuits, and then drop me? Can He, who is privy to all my circumstances, and to these "very thoughts of mine, be so insensible of my " case, as to have no regard to it, and not provide " for it?"

It grows ftronger still upon the mind of one, who reflecting upon the hard treatment he has met with from this world, the little cause he has given for it, the pains and secret uneasiness he has felt upon that score, together with many other sufferings which it was not in his power to prevent, cannot but make a filent, bumble appeal to that Being, who is his last and true refuge, and who he must believe will not desert bim thus.

Lastly, it is strongest of all to one, who, besides all this, endeavours in the conduct of his life to ob-

ferve

ש חכמה דעועים חכמה " Wifdom is in modest men." Prev.

that is, of the Author of nature, upon whom he depends); laments, and labors against his own infirmities; implores the Divine mercy; prays for some better state hereafter; acts and lives in the bopes of one; and denies himself many things upon that view: one, who by the exaltation of his reason and upper faculties, and that, which is certainly the effect of real and useful philosophy, the practice of virtue, is still approaching toward a higher manner of being, and doth already taste something spiritual and above this world. To such a one there must be a strong expectation indeed, and the argument built upon it must be proportionable. can he be indowd with fuch capacities, and have as it were overtures of immortality made him, if after all there is no fuch thing? Must his private acts and conceald exercises of religion be all lost ? Can a perfect Being have so little regard to one, who however inferior and nothing to Him, yet regards Him according to bis best abilities in the go-

vernment of himself?

Are such meditations and reflexions as these well founded, or not? If they are, it must be reasonable to think, that God will satisfy a reasonable expectation.

There are other arguments for the immortality of the foul, two of which I will leave with you, to be at your leifure ponderd well. The one is, that, if the fouls of men are mortal (extinguished at death), the case of brutes is by much preserable to that of men. The pleasures of brutes, tho but

Ì

ļ

<sup>\*</sup> Hic pietatis bonos? " Is this the reward of piety? fenfual,

398 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. lenfual, are more fincere, being palled or diminished by no diverting confideration: they go whelly into them; and when they have them not, they feem less to want them, not thinking of them. sufferings are attended with no reflexion y, but are fuch as they are said to be p. 57. obs. 8. They are void of cares; are under no apprehension for families and posterity; never fatigue themselves with vain inquiries, hunting after knowledge which must perish with them; are not anxious about their future state 2, nor can be disappointed of any hopes or expectations; and at last some sudden blow (or a few minutes of unforeseen pain) finishes them, having never so much as known that they were mortal.

The other is, that the foul is a principle of life: that, which brings vitality to the body. For how should that, which has been proved to be a substance, and at the same time is also a principle of life, and as such (as being what it is) is alive; I say, how can that die, unless it is annihilated?

Here

ב לא יצעערו בהיותם משערים שסופם למות כאדם ב יי They are not uneafy as men are whilft they are alive, imagining that the end of them is to die." S. IQUAR.

" never

Ferræ pericula, quæ vident, fugiunt: cum effugere, securæ sunt, &c. "Wild beasts, when they see any dangers, avoid them; and, after they have avoided them, they look no further, &c." Sen.

Sic mihi persuast, sic sentio, cum---semper agitetur animus, nec principium motus habeat, quia se ipse moveat; ne sinem quidem habiturum esse motus, quia nunquam se ipse sit relicturus.

1 do verily believe, it is my real opinion, that because-the soul is always in action, and has not any (external)

<sup>&</sup>quot; cause of its motion, because it moves itself; therefore nei-

: Seal I

n dinist

while a

, they'r

em. T

n <sup>r</sup>, bze

f. 8. Tz

heim

date

ikge**v** 

2003

ntel 🗷

one irr

in, ist rite is

13

\*:10

1

er, c

3**0, 1** 

ej X

200

ZUL

w M

fre!

المتشاء

منقشاع

منتشارة

iters.

1150

Here I begin to be very fensible how much I want a guide. But as the religion of nature is my theme, I must at present content myself with that light which nature affords; my business being, as it feems, only to shew, what a Heathen philosopher, without any other help, and almost wiredidant G. may be supposed to think. I hope that neither the doing of this, nor any thing else containd in this Delineation, can be the least prejudice to any other true religion. Whatever is immediately reveald from God, must, as well as any thing else, be treated as being what it is: which cannot be, if it is not treated with the highest regard, believed and That therefore, which has been fo much obeyd. infifted on by me, and is as it were the burden of my fong, is fo far from undermining true reveald religion, that it rather paves the way for its recep-This I take this opportunity to remark to And so returning to my philoyou once for all. fopber, I cannot imagin but that even be would have at least some such general thoughts as these,

which

<sup>&</sup>quot; never desert itself." Cic. That in Greg. Thaum. is like this thought of Tully: "Η ψυχθ, αὐτοκίντος δοα, ἐδόποτε τὰ εἶναι διαλείνει ἀκολεθεῖ γὰρ τῷ αὐτοκίντος τὸ ἀεὶ κινητὸς εἶναι τὸ δὲ ἀεὰ κινητὸς ἀπαυσός ὲςι, κλ. "The soul, because it is a ble to move itself, can never cease to be; for it is a necessary conse." quence of self-motion, to be always in motion; and what is always in motion, cannot cease to move." But that in St Austin comes something nearer to my meaning: Est animus vita quædam, unde omne quod animatum est vivit.--Non ergo potest animus mori. Nam si carere poterit vita, non animus sed animatum aliquid est. "The soul is a sort of life, whence it follows, that every thing which has a soul is alive;---wherefore the soul cannot die; for, if it could be without life, it would so not be a foul, but something with a soul."

400 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. which make up almost the remainder of this last fection.

IX. The foul, when it parts from this gross body, will pass by some law into some new seat, or state, agreeable to the nature of it b. Every species of beings must belong to some region, or state. Because nothing can be, but it must be some where, and some bow: and there being different kinds of abodes and manners of subsisting in the universe, and the natures of the things, that are to exist in them, being also different, there will be a greater congruity between these several natures respectively and fome particular places, or states, than there is between them and others; and indeed such a one, that out of those perhaps they cannot subsist, or not naturally. To those therefore must be their respective tendences: to those they are adjudged by the course of nature, and constitution of things. or rather by the Author of them c.

While the foul is in the body, it has some powers and opportunities of moving it spontaneously, or otherwise than it would be moved by the mere laws of gravitation and mechanism. This is evi-

dent.

b The transmigration of souls has been much talked of: but ea sententia, -- quoniam ridicula, & mimo dignior, quam scholâ, ne refelli quidem seriò debet; quod qui facit, videtur vereri, ne quis id credat, "that opinion---is so ridiculous, that it is sitter for the stage than the schools, and therefore ought not seriously to be consuted; and he who attempts it, seems to be afraid that nobody should believe it." So Lastantius. Indeed who can but laugh, when he reads in Lucian of Homer's having been a camel in Bastria, &c.

<sup>«</sup> Χαρείτ γαρ ανάγει τὸ ομοιοι ατρός τὸ ομοιοι. " For, of ne-

# Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 401

But yet, notwithstanding this, the weight of that body, to which at present it is limited (among other causes) constrains it to act for a while upon That general law, to which bodies are subjected, makes it fink in this fluid of air, so much lighter than itself; keeps it down; and so determins the feat of it, and of the foul in it, to be upon the surface of this earth, where, or in whose neighbourhood it was first produced. when the foul shall be disengaged from the gross matter, which now incloses and incumbers it, and either become naked spirit, or be only veild in its own fine and obsequious vehicle, it must at the fame time be either freed from the laws of bodies, and fall under some other, which will carry it to fome proper mansion, or state d; or at least by the old ones be capable of mounting upwards e, in proportion to the volatility of its vehicle, and of emerging out of these regions into some medium more fuitable, and (if the philosopher may fay so) equilibrious. Thus much as to the general state of fouls after death. But then.

ı zi

9j**r** -

-

15

7 II 7 T E

تمنان

2: 2

OX.

البعرة

13.

), i

2001

Ł

X. In

<sup>\*\*</sup>Ex humili atque depresso in eum emicabit locum, quisquis ille est, qui solutas vinculis animas beato recipit sinu. "It will mount " up from this low mean place, into that, whatever it be, " which receives those souls, that are freed from their imprisormandae dποδολί, καὶ ὁ τῶν ἀρείον, εδο πτιερίν πίναι, ἐκρυτις περίς τὸν τῶν καλῶν καθαρίν τόπον, εἰς τὰν θείαι εὐζωῖαν ὑμᾶς ἀνάξει. "The " putting off these human affections, and putting on virtues, c' as so many wings, will carry us to that pure region of virtue, " where we shall live a divine life." ΗΙΕΚΟCL.

Deposită sarcină, levior volabit ad cœlum. "Having laid down our burden, we shall fly the lighter to heaven." St JEROM.

X. In this new state, or place of abode, there may be different stations besitting the differences of particular souls among themselves, as they are more or less persect in their kind. We see even inanimate bodies, which have different gravities, sigures, impulses, &c. settle into some order among themselves, agreeable to these differences. And so by the same universal rule in nature (viz. that differences in things are attended with answerable relations and effects) souls must also take their situation in some kind of order according to their differences.

XI. The great difference of human souls, with respect to perfection and imperfection, lies in their different degrees and habits for reasonableness or unreasonableness. That is to say, not only in men's different improvements, or neglects and abuse of their

The Jews, who generally fay, that by the practice of religion the foul acquires perfection and life eternal, lay fuch a stress upon habits of piety, that R. Albo makes the effect of giving 1000 בעבוו "pence" in charity at once by no means equal to that of giving one בעב "penny," and repeating it 1000 times, החברת עשיית פעל אחד בעצמו יקנה פורגה פער אחד החברת עשיית פעל אחד בעצמו יקנה פורגה פעם אחד החברת עשיית פעל אחד בעשות הפעל ההוא פעם אחד "The "continuing to repeat the doing of a thing will procure a "higher degree (of reward) than the doing the whole at once."

נל עושה מצות הבורא יחברך ימצא שכל טוב יהגמול הנמשך אחר השכל האמיתי הוא השארת הנפש יהגמול הנמשך אחר השכל האמיתי הוא השארת הנפש אחר כלות חגוף והרבקו בשכל הפיעל והיותו קים לעד "He that doth the commandment of the Creator, shall be bested; he shall find good understanding—and that reward which follows good understanding, is, that the soul shall continue after the body is consumed, and shall be united to the understanding of its Maker, and be established to eter, nity." Is. Levi.

rational

rational faculties; but also in the greater or less influence of these upon their actions, and by consequence in their different degrees of virtue, or vice. For a man is accounted a reasonable man, when he reasons rightly, and follows his reason: in which expression virtue must be included, being (as p. 336, & al.) nothing but the prastice of reason and truth.

Ж.

, 1

36

EĘ:

11: ------

2 Pages

175

- 1

...

15

11

ゴジップ

. .

T

h.

Ν,

1..

or, Ė

واند

N.

e is: e ju:

مران

That men are reasonable, or the contrary, in different degrees is plain. Some reason well upon some subjects, but in respect of others, to which they have not been accustomd, are dim and confused: or they are partial to their vices and pasfions, their old impressions and parties; and so their reason is not general, nor has its due extent, or influence. Others, whose reason is uncultivated and weak, tho they have virtuous inclinations, many times fall into fuperstition and absurdities; missed by authorities, and over-awed by old or formal modes of speaking, and grave non-sense. Many, if not the most, seem to have scarce any notion of reason or virtue at all, but act fortuitously, or as they see other folks act; moved either by bodily propensions, or by example. Some few there are, who endeavour to improve their understandings, to discover what is agreeable to reason, and to fix their opinions; and conduct their lives And in all these several kinds there accordingly. are various degrees of elevation in knowledge and virtue, and of immersion in vice and ignorance, and new differences arifing endlefly. All this is visible.

Now the foul, reflecting, finds in itself two general faculties, one, by which it understands, and Dd 2 judges,

judges, and reasons (all which I comprehend under the term rational faculties, or reason); and another, by which it wills, or determins to act, according to the judgments and conclusions made in the upper part of it. And the more perfettly it performs these operations (i. e. the more truly it reasons, and the more readily it wills and executes the decisions of reason), the more perfett certainly it must be in its kind; and the more imperfectly, the more imperfect. The accomplishments therefore and perfettions of human souls, and the contrary, must be in proportion to the forementioned differences.

XII. According to these differences then it is reafonable to think the souls of men will find their stations in the future world h. This is but a corollary from what goes before.

Obj. Why should we think, that God causes things to be in such a manner, as that in the future state men shall be placed and treated according to their merit, and the progress they have made in reason and virtue, when we see the case to be widely different in this? Ans. It must be rememberd, that that this is one of those very reasons on which the belief of the soul's immortality is sounded. Now, if it be reasonable to believe there is a future state, because things are dealt unequally now, upon that very score it will be reasonable to think, that they are dealt equally in that other state.

Here

h Times regression of as Ti apili. "Places fitted for virtue." PLATO.

With an equal or impartial regard to every man's deferts a equitably.

16

nd a

TB!

Ė

31.

(1): **\$** 

10

. 3

100 mm

χij

يا نهج ما پيان

Ņ

ire A

201 B

hrt

17.18

H:

Here bodily wants and affections, and fuch things as proceed from them, do intermix with human affairs, and do confound merit with demerit, knowledge with ignorance: and hence it comes to pass many times, that bad men injoy much, and good men fuffer, and both are, if there is no other state, in their wrong places. But, when the corporeal eaules of misplacing shall be removed, spirits (or spirits and their σώματα συευματικά) may be supposed more regularly to take their due posts and privileges: the impudent and vitious will have no fuch opportunities of getting into circumstances, of which they are unworthy, nor improved and virtuous minds find fuch obstructions to keep them down in circumstances unworthy of them. Be fure the more advanced and pure any state is, the more properly will the inhabitants be ranked, and the juster and more natural will the subordination of its members be.

Even bere we commonly find men in that kind of business for which they are educated and prepared; men of the same professions generally keeping together; the virtuous and reasonable desiring to be (tho they not always can be) with their like k; and the vitious (as they scarcely cannot be) with theirs. And why should we not think, that an association and communion of souls with those of their own size, disposition, and habits may be more universal and compleat, when those things, which in great measure hinder it here, shall be no more?

If

k 'Ayadar ini ชิลัเาละ โลธเร Aบ้างแลโรเ ส่งลองi. "Good men, "when left to their own liberty, go to those entertainments "where good men are". E. PLAT.

406 The RELIGION of NATURE. Sect. IX. If we may think this, certainly those fields or states, in which the virtuous and wise 1 shall meet, must be different from those in which the foolish and wicked shall herd together m. The very difference of the company will itself create a vast difference in the manner of their living.

XIII. The mansions, and conditions of the virtuous and reasoning part must be proportionably better than those of the soolish and vitious. The proposition cannot be inverted, or the case be otherwise, if the constitution of things depends upon a reasonable cause: as I have endeavourd to shew it does.

Cor. Hence it follows, that the practice of reason (in its just extent) is the great preparative for death, and the means of advancing our happiness through all our subsequent duration. But moreover,

XIV. In the future state respect will be had not only to men's reasoning, and virtues, or the contrary, but also to their injoyments and sufferings here. Because the forementiond inequalities of this world

1 Ο i σεριλοσορπεότει ορθαι, or εἰκληθαι ρελόσοροι, " they who "rightly philosophize, or they who are truly philosophers," in Plato's ftyle.

m Τολουλόσανίας αὐτὸς ἐκοῖν το μὸν ὁ τῶν κακῶν καθαρὸς τόπ το οὐ δίξιία, ἀθάδι δὶ τὰν αὐτοῖς ὁμοιότκία τῆς διαγωγῆς ἀεὶ ἔξεσε, κακοὶ κακοῖς συνόνίες. "That place, in which there are no evils, will "not receive them (the wicked) but they shall be with one another, and continue for ever to lead the same fort of life that "they led here." PLATO,

n Ei ωλίον τῶν ἀμαρτημάζων κολάζειαι [ο δίκαι], σωσθίκα δικαιοτύνης αὐτῷ λογίζειαι, " If (a good man) be punished (here) beyond what his fins deserve, all that is above what he justly deserves, shall be accounted for to him." Chrys.

can

can by no means be redrest, unless men's injoyments and sufferings, taken together with their virtues and vices, are compared and balanced. I say, taken together: because no reason can be assigned, why a vitious man should be recompensed for the pains and mischiefs and troubles, which he brings upon bimself by his vices, as the natural consequences of them; nor, on the other side, why any deductions should be made from the future happiness of a good man upon the score of those innocent injoyments, which are the genuin fruit of his moderation, regularity, other virtues, and sound reasoning.

I.B

i lat

t, mi

12

7.102

771

72

....

1

Ż

ું

1.E

Ì

TI.

...

) Pir

排出

(K)

1:3

gg. Sp

16.3

55,

(1)

Cor. Wicked men will not only be less happy than the wise and virtuous, but be really unhappy in that state to come. For when all the happiness, that answers to those degrees of virtue, which they had, and those sufferings, which they underwent, above what was the natural effect of their wickedness; I say, when that is subtracted, what remains upon the account will be something below no-happiness: which must be some quantity of positive unhappiness, or misery.

Thus there will be rewards, and punishments hereafter: and men will be happy, or unhappy, according to their behaviour, injoyments, and sufferings in this present life. But,

XV. If the immortality of the foul cannot be demonstrated, yet it is certain the contrary cannot. To fay,

<sup>•</sup> Sure those arguments in Lucretius can convince nobody, Nunc quoniam, quassatis undique vasis, Dissure humorem, & luticem discedere cernis; Crede animam quoque dissundi, &c. "For Dd 4

fay, when a house is ruinous and faln, that it once had an inhabitant, and that he is escaped out of it, and lives in some other place, can involve no contradiction, or absurdity. And,

XVI. If the immortality of the foul should be confidered only as a probability, or even as a chance possible, yet still a virtuous life is to be preferred before its contrary. For if the soul be mortal, and all perception perishes for ever at our death, what in this

" we see that, as soon as the vessel is broken in pieces, the li-" quor runs all about; fo the foul likewise will be diffipated, " &c." And Præterea gigni pariter cum corpore & unà Crescere sentimus, pariterque senescere mentem, &c. Quare animum quoque dissolvi fateare necesse est; Quandoquidem penetrant in eum contagia morbi. "Further we see that the soul and the body are for produced together, and increase and grow old together also, " &c. Wherefore we cannot but own, that the foul must be "dissolved: for the contagion of the disease reaches to it." Nor those in Pliny (N. H. 7. 55.): if there really are any at all. For to plead the antegenitale experimentum, " argument " drawn from what we were before we were born," is to beg the question; which may be put thus, Whether we shall after death be more conscious of our existence, than we were before we were born. And if Dicaarchus's Lesbiaci were extant, I be. lieve we should find nothing stronger in them. The truth seems to be. Οὐ βέλεθαι ὁ κακὸς ἀθαναθον είναι τὰν αὐτῶ ψυχάν, « That a " wicked man does not defire that his foul should be immortal;" but he comforts himself with this thought, that કે મહી તે ઉતાર વીજ કે કે દેશના કે કે આ માં, " the being nothing after death," will prevent future sufferings. This is eis to mi stras nataouvis " to have recourse to non-existence." HIEROCL.

P Nor that the foul still exists ignuor ralanizara conscribination, "having left the house, in which it lived, defolate." Ph. Jud. Domus ab habitatore deserta dilabitur:---& corpusa relictum ab anima, dessuit. "A house that is forsaken by the inhabitants, becomes ruinous:---and a body, after it is forsaken by the soul, decays." LACT.

case

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 400 case does a good man lose by his virtue? Very rarely more than some acts of devotion, and instances of mortification, which too by custom grow habitual and easy 4, and it may be pleasant by being (or feeming at least to be) reasonable. On the other hand, what does a vitious man gain? Only fuch injoyments, as a virtuous man leaves: and those are such, as most commonly owe their being to a vitiated taste; grow insipid in time; require: more trouble and contrivance to obtain them, than they are worth; go off disagreeably; are followd. many times by fharp reflexions and bitter penances in the rear; and at best after a short time end in nothing, as if they had never been. This is all . But then if the foul prove to be immortal (as we have all the reason in the world to think it will). what does the virtuous man gain? His prefent pleasures (if not so many) are more fincere and natural; and the effect of his felf-denials and fubmission to reason, in order to prepare himself for a future state, is the happiness of that state: which,

without

A Maspie sh rai δρθιος οξιως επ' αυτήν [αρείνι], Καὶ τῆνχθε τὸ σεσί.

Tor. ἐπὰν δ' εἰς ἀπρον ἐπιαυ, 'Priδίκ δ' ἔπειτα σείκει. '' The way to vir'' tue is long and fleep, and very rugged at first; but, after
'' you are come at the top, it then becomes easy." Hesiod.

<sup>\*</sup> Calo præfertur Adonis. "Adonis is preferred to heaven."

<sup>்</sup> O ம்ஷார் சிமார்களை ஆட்சிலக், ம்பியமலகிய வரைகளை. "He who " excels in virtue, reaps pleasures that can never be repented " of." Hierocl.

t If the foul was mortal, yet the virtuous man τον ἐωνῶ τολαότητα ἀπολαμδάνων, τὸ οἰκεῖος καφπέμενος ἀγαθόν, εὐδαίμων ὅντως ἐςε
κῶ μακάθιος. κὰ γὰς κὰ τὸ σῶμα, κτλ. " becomes as perfect as he
" can be, reaps his own proper good, being truly bleffed and
" happy: and the body also, &c." SIMPL.

without pretending to describe it, may be presumed to be immortal, because the soul is so; and to be purer and of a more exalted nature (i. e. truer, and greater) than any of these low injoyments here, because that state is every way in nature above this. And again, what does the wicked man lose? That happiness, which the virtuous gain as such; and he sinks, beside, into some degree of the unbappiness of that future state: of which one may say in general, that it may be as much greater than the unhappiness or sufferings of this world, as the happiness and joys of that are above those of this.

In a state that is *spiritual* and clear every thing will be purer, and operate more directly and strongly, and (if the expression may be tolerated) with more spirit: there will be sewer obstructions to either happiness or unhappiness: the soul will lie more open, and have more immediate and acute perceptions of either: so that each of them in their kind will be more intense, the one nearer to pure or mere happiness, the other to the contrary ". But to enter further into the nature and oeconomy of the yet unknown world is too arduous an undertaking for my philosopher.

I shall only add, that the reasoning and virtuous man has at least this advantage over the foolish and profligate, that, tho his wisdom and virtue cannot always rectify that which is amis in himself or his

man was led to be wicked." HIEROCL.

circum-

<sup>&</sup>quot; ດຽງ ແລ້ ແດ້ງວາ ກຸສຸ້ ແລ້ວ ໝ້ອງເຄັ້ງແລະ ກຸວ່າ ອການຄືເວົ້າ ກຸນ ຄຸດປົກເ, ແລ້ວ ແລ້ ແມ່ກ ກຸກ ກຸ້າ ຄ່ຽນຖື ນະແລ້າ, ຄົ້າ ຄົ້າ ແຕ່ການ ຄວາຍໄ sic ແແນໄຂນ ວິດເພື່ອດ ເປັກຕ່ຽງຄວຽນເວ So that a good man excels a bad man not only in goodness.

but he exceeds him in pleasure also, by which alone the bad

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 411 circumstances, they will find means to alleviate his pressures and disadvantages, and support him under all the anomalies of life, with comforts of which the other knows nothing: particularly this, the injoyment of an humble, but well grounded expectation of felicity bereaster, sincere and durable ...

1

į

K

 XVII. He therefore, who would att according to truth, must, in the last place, not only consider what he is, and how circumstantiated in this present state, and provide accordingly; but, surther, must consider himself also as one whose existence proceeds on into another, and provide for that too. How I think this is to be done, by this time I hope you fully apprehend.

For a conclusion of the whole matter; let our conversation in this world, so far as we are concernd, and able, be such as acknowledges every thing to be what it is (what it is in itself, and what with regard to us, to other beings, to causes, circumstances, consequences): that is, let us by no act deny any thing to be true, which is true: that is, let us act according to reason: and that is, let us act according to the law of our nature. By bonestly endeavouring to do this we shall express our duty to

Him,

<sup>\*</sup> Oi γεὶ δίκαιοι τῶν ἀδίκων, εἰ μικδιν ἄλλο Φλεονεκτῶσιν, ἀλλ' εὖν ἐκπίσι γε σπεδείαιε ἐπερίχεσιν. " If the righteous do not excel the wicked in any thing else, yet they do in their expectations of happiness." Isoca.

<sup>\*</sup> Τεβπ γαρ Θεϋ δεραπείας ετος ionaralos: [ασκείν αρετάν.] "For" (to practife virtue) is the most facred manner of worshipping
"God." Jos.

Him who is the Author of it, and of that law; and at the same time prosecute our own proper happiness (the happiness of rational beings): we shall do what tends to make us easy here, and be qualifying ourselves and preparing for our removal hence to our long home; that great revolution, which, at the farthest, cannot be very far off.

And now, Sir, the trouble is almost over for the present, not properly which I give you, but which you have brought upon yourself, these being the Thoughts, which you defined: unless I have any where misrepresented myself through inadvertence: which I own may be. At the foot of the page I have in some places subjoind a few little strictures principally of antiquity, after the manner of annotations: fuch as, when I came to revise these sheets. I could recollect upon the sudden y; having no common-place book to help me, nor thought of any fuch thing before that time. They may ferve perhaps fometimes a little to explain the text; and sometimes to add weight; but chiefly to divert you, who know very well how to improve any the least hint out of the Ancients, and I fear will want to be diverted. I have also printed a few copies of this Sketch, not with any defign to make it public, but merely to fave the trouble of transcribing 2; being minded, fince I have made it, to leave it not only with you, but perhaps also with two or

three

I Some more were added in the fecond impression.

<sup>\*</sup> Nothing more was intended at first.

Truths belonging to a Private Man, &c. 413 three other friends: or however, with my Family, as a private monument of one that meant well. Tho, as to the disposal and fate of it, much will depend upon your judgment and manner of acceptance.

WILLIAM WOLLASTON.

# מכ"א ות"ר

" Who is like unto God?"

And "Praifed be God."



CTIONS, morally good or evil, in what creatures to be found, p. 4, 5 their fpring 324 - are expressive as well as words 6--20 - may be fignificant or infignificant the fame, when indifferent, may have contrary fignifications - fome have an unalterable meaning ibid. - what constitutes their depravity 17 - what makes them unnatural ibid. morally good or evil, which 29 - good not equal 132 - suppose the agent sufceptible of happiness or mifery 64

termined by private judgment 91
Adultery, its heinous nature laid open 262, 263
Affection, natural, its dictates to be followed 308
Agent, its difference from in-

— according to right rea-

- public not to be de-

86

fon, and according to truth,

the same

Agent, its difference from infirument 6 Agents, necessary, have no ibid.

Animals, the wisdom expressed in their structure, 147, 148

the laws by which they are govern'd 174, 175

Astronomy affords nobte proofs of a deity 140--144

Attraction, what 140

how lodged in matter ibid.

B.

BEINGS, different orlogo ders thereof 196
Brutes, their fufferings and death not like to those of men 57,58

cautions to be observ, ed in killing them for use 58
their difference from 397,398

C.

AUSES, when fatisfactorily known 145
the fame with effects ibid.

Chance, what 149
no cause 149, 150
Chastity, what 338
Children, whence like their parents 160, 161

Children,

Children, their duty to pa-304--308 rents Comets, their motions and phænomena 143 Common-sense, no proper judge of actions 36 Compassion, a natural prin-258, 259 ciple in man - most visible in the best ibid. Conflagrations, whether any have been universal 164---167 Consequences, when just 74 Covetoushels, its several kinds 256 - may be virtuous ibid. Crimes may be evanescent 51 - so many acts of iniustice. 261 - of a less heinous na-268 ture represented Criminals, their difference 56 Cruelty, what 257--261 - inconsistent with truth and nature 257, 258, 259

D.

EATH may enlarge the faculties of the foul Defence, mutual, one end of fociety Deluges, whether any have 165--167 been universal Despotic power not founded in paternal authority 1303 Dominion not given, or loft, by personal excellencies, or defects 240 Doubtful cases, how to proceed in them 51, 101, 102 Duration, what 133 Duties of rational agents, 110, 111 what

Duties of parents to their children
297--303
297--303
rents
304--308
remote relations
309--313

E.

DUCATION of chilparents 297, 298
Endeavour, what it means 110
Enjoyments, when lawful, 67, 68
Error, its causes 104-108
Evil, its degrees how to be rated 32—no independent principle of it 126, 127—its formal ratio 254

F.

ACTS more expressive than words - help us to judge of good and evil Faculties remain in the body, though their instruments 348, 369, 373 are lost Falshoods expressible by ac-11, 12 tions Fame, what, 216, 217 ibid. Fate, what Freedom, whether in man 111, 112 Forbearance from action in the power of man 112, 113 Fortitude, what 343, 344 Frugality, what 339 necessity Future-state, the 208, 209 thereof

Future-

Future state, the expectation of it just and reasonable 364--398

G.

TENERATION of animals, how perform-160--164 ed Glory, what 217, 218 - the desire of it, when justifiable God, feeks not the unhappiness of his creatures how he conceives of 77, 184 things - his existence proved by the chain of cautes 114--119 his existence proved by the faculties of the mind 156--167 - necessarily existent, 119, 120 produced every thing 120, 121 - shewn to be infinite 121 his manner of existence inconceivable 122, 123 – exists in a perfect manner — is but one 124, 125 fupports all other beings 129 - is the Author of nature 129, 130 not the immediate Author of the acts of free agents 130 – not fpace, duration or matter 132--135 not the universe 134, 135 the cause of matter and motion 136--142 - the Author of the uni-

įl

verfe

God, is free from defects 167, 178 - is incomprehenfible ibid. operates in the government of the world → governs the world by 171--209 his providence — his foreknowledge reconcileable with human liberty 180--187 - the duty which men should have towards him 209--234 how to be conceived of by man ibid. - his attributes, how to be understood Good and evil, coincident with pleasure and pain — how imputable to intelligent beings Government, its origin 277, Gravitation not alone sufficient to produce the motions of the heavenly bodies 140, 141 Guilt, its degrees vary with the importance of things

H.

TAPPINESS, nearly allied to truth - how to be estimated. - coincident with the true quantity of pleasure 62, 63 whether capable of existing without pleasure 62 ultimate, what 63, 64 the duty of every intelligent being to procure E e Happiness,

142

#### N D E X.

Happiness, ultimate, not pro-Innate maxims no curable but by the practice 65,66 of truth - never destructive of the nature of the creature - wherein it confifts 67 coincident with truth ideas the end of religion 69 - hard to judge of it in culties others 200--209 every man's property whence - ought to be defended 243, 244 - how obtainable 411 Heavens, figns of providence 190, 191 therein Honour, how to be given to 213--219 God Honours, what 215, 216 when the defire of them justifiable 217 257, 258 Humanity, what Human life, its history 378--392 I. garded ' D E A S, immediate, adequately known to the mind

- their immediate relations known to the mind 72, 73 Identity, in men, what 235, Inanimate beings capable of no obligation 110 governed by certain laws 172, 173 Individuation, a principle in 234, 235 Indolence, a happiness infinitely diminished 63 Injustice, a violation of truth 254, 255

proper judges of right and wrong 35, 36 Intelligent beings have immediate objects of their understandings 69, 70 - beings have general - are under obligations in proportion to their fa-Irregularities in nature, 151, 152 Irreligion, its principal cause Judgment, how to be formed in dubious cases 101--103 Justice, what - the practice of it right 265

### ĸ.

INDRED, to find the degrees of obligation between them 312 the dictates of natural affection for them to be re-309--312

#### L.

A W of nature, what 41 Laws obtaining in the universe 172--174 of fociety, natural 237, - must consist with natural justice 276, 277 - must be observed 282 - where they fail, those of nature take place - what to be done when they oppose the laws of 283, 284 nature Liberty, wherein it confifts 111, 112 Life,

# I N D E X.

i n

indice sind or sind or sind or sind or sind or

er unz

R. Lines consists of the constant of the const

e colema cher ta, a c place o be dox! o be dox!

Life, human, its history 378	Marriage, its foundation and ends 286
Love of our country, whence	its advantages 289,
281	290.
М.	pact the nature of the com-
MAN not absolutely	pact 291, 292.
MAN, not absolutely free 195	strengthened 293
his duty towards God	how made a natural
200	relation 294
a focial creature 269	how best to be under-
a focial creature 269 — the best judge of him-	taken ibid.
felf 313	the contract to be re-
- has some things in com-	ligiously observed 295, 296
mon with inferior crea-	- the behaviour it re-
tures 314	quires under affliction 296
is endowed with various	whether it gives au-
faculties 315	thority to the man ibid.
- is conscious of liberty	the foundation of all
possessed of many in-	natural relations 310
clinations and aversions	—— makes a strict union ibid.
315	Mathematicians, their way of
fensible of defects ibid.	
defirous of happiness	reasoning 74, 75 Matter, what 131, 132
416	its manner of exi-
must be subject to rea-	ftence 138, 139
fon 316320	not self-existent 136,
fon 316320 must provide for him-	. 137
1eir 320, 321	whether capable of
must hearken to his af-	thinking 352, 364
fections 323328	Men, whether they have evi-
must remember he is	sted from eternity 164
but man 328, 329 —must examine himself	Morey whee
and repent 330	Mercy, what 258 ————————————————————————————————————
must labour to improve	right 26r
his faculties 331, 332	Mind, its operation in rea-
must be advised 222.224	foning 79, 80
must be advised 333,334 must lay aside his pre-	its progress in know-
judices 335, 336 must live virtuously 336	ledge of or
- must live virtuously 336	- how it gradually in-
345	ceales its faculties 157
is compounded of foul	- may be affected by
and body 347-351  fummary of his duty in	fudden influences 192
	: 196
life 411	To a Monarchia
•	E e 2 Monarchy,

2, 11 (2)	22 227
Monarchy, not founded in pa-	Omissions may be finful 21
ternal authority 303	• 25
Monsters, whence 151, 152	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Moral good and evil, what	P.
4, 5, 29	
coincident with right	DAIN may infinitely ex-
and wrong 29, 33	r ceed pleasure 60
the vulgar rules for	when true and real 67
distinguishing between them	Pain and pleasure, no crite-
faulty 33	rions of good and evil 38
Morality, its just foundation	proportionable to the
40	perceptions of the persons
Motion, what, and how caused	
	increased with the fa-
Murder, its heinous nature.	culty of perceiving them
48, 261	
N.	their causes relative
14.	
- TATIONS to be con	54
NATIONS to be confidered as fingle per-	how to be estimated
indered as ingle per-	54, 55
fons 284	not to be judged of in others by what we feel our-
Natural religion, its founda-	others by what we feel our-
tion 41, 42, 89	felves 56, 204209
why so called 68, 69 is the pursuit of hap-	the fame with good
is the puriout or nap-	and evil
piness by the practice of	may bear different
reason and truth 89	proportions to each other
Nature, what 153	59
various fignifications	when equal they de-
of the word	ftroy each other 60
how rightly followed	may change their na-
1734	ture, and run into each
that of man not pure-	other ibid.
ly rational 34	Parents, their duties to their
the law of its Author	
41	their authority over
Neglects may be inconsistent	their children 299303
with truth 2125	their authority not
_	dominion 301, 302
О.	Particles, their mental use 226
	l'assions of the mind, expres-
B J E C T S, why not perceived in fleep 374	fible by gesture 6
perceived in fleep 374	no infirmities 326
Obligations of a mixed kind	Perception always produced
28	in time 53
Occupancy, prime, gives right	Planets habitable 143
<sup>2</sup> 47	Pleasure, what 52
	Pleasure,

Pleasure, when true and real	Property, ed
. 67	nature
only the true kind	foun
good 38	truth
Possession, original, gives the	gives
greatest right, 247, 248	disposal
greatest right, 247, 248 Power gives no dominion or	not
right 241246	ed from uf
Praise, what 215, 216	Propositions
how to be given to God	fo in all ca
213221	Providence.
Prayer, a neglect of it a de-	whet
nial of God 25, 222	divine pro
how to be offered up	
to God 221233	insta
requifites to the fo-	the world
lemn kind 224	parti
- the proper times and	ence prove
places for it 225	its
in what words to be	counted for
put up 222229	invi
the mental also verbal	
	thereof
to be made audible	Punishments
to be made audible	fuited
228, 229	natur
not to be made ex-	crimes
tempore 229	when
in what posture to be	eternal
offered ibid.	
public, of the nature	
of private 230, 231	
true prayer always	R ATIC
private 231	1 denom
its effects 232, 233	ſo
Principles of things, un-	Reaction in
fearchable 145	Reason the ju
Probability, the substitute for	diffe
certainty 96108	knowledge
rules for finding it	a h
97101	thing
- its highest degree 101	the
its force the refult of	
reason and observation oo	relat
the only light when	who is pos
certainty leaves us 100	how
Property, upon what it de-	how why
pends 235	fo little
235	10 Here

:

qual in a flate of 241 nded in nature and 251 s absolute right of 252, 253 juftly distinguish lufruct 253 once true remain afes 73 what ther there be a ovidence 171 ---209 ances thereof in 172 ticular, its exist-176-- 209 phænomena acor ibid. fible ministers 196, 197 to be differently rally annexed to 265 ther they can be 376

R.

ONAL, what ninates a creature 76,77 matter 244 udge of actions 35 ers from particular 70, 71 ixed and general faculty, what 76, tive to the being ffeffed of it improvable 78 it influences men 79 Region

Reason designed as the go- verning principle in man
verning principle in man
8 <sub>7</sub> ,88
its criterion 90
of greater authority
than fense 94
whether things are go-
verned by it 200209 the great preparative
C J
whence different in
different men 402
Reasoning, a method to im-
prove in it 8602
may be rightly exer-
cised on false propositions
79, 80
the requisites to strict
reasoning 80
to what lengths it
may reach 83 right reasoning no
right reasoning no
chimæra 84
false reasoning, whence 84, 85
Reflexion, its difference from
perception 53,54
Relation, how weakened by
removal from the parents
Relations, determine the na-
ture of things, and are the
guide of our actions 26,
27, 44, 45
not immediate, dif-
coverable by fuch as are
intermediate 78
Religion, what 41, 122, 233
its foundation 4, 41,
233
its fum and fubstance
Reprifals lawful 233, 234 243247
Repulsion in matter 141
Revelation, how to be treated
200

Rewards and punishments unequally distributed by human laws - their unequal distribution in this life argues the being of another 383, 406, 407 -brought about by the laws of nature Right, natural, what 235, 236 - strong in the first occu-Right and wrong, what 19, 29 - how to be judged of 238. Rights, natural, how far a man may part with them-279

S,

CEASONS, how wifely ontrived. Secrecy no excuse for injus-Self, wherein it confifts 234, 235 Self-defence, lawful 243, 244 Self-demal necessary 328 Self-prefervation, a ftrong principle in animals Sensation, how performed Senses may give false reprefentations of things 92, 93 - when to be credited 95, Sentiments, expreshble gesture Sins, not excused by secrecy <del>265</del> - tendencies towards them 266, 267 criminal - their heinous nature manifested 261--269

Society,

Society, the laws thereof
laws necessary therein
its basis 237, 238  reasons for it 269  its end 237, 238, 273,
fupposes government
277, 278 —— fupposes rules agreed to
may be justly formed
may be formed by implicit or explicit confent
duties required in it 282 Soul, what 362, 363 its dependent manner of
existence 157 its existence proved 347352
proved immortal 364-
may think after death, though not in found fleep
may have its faculties enlarged after death 375,
paffes into a new state after death, agreeable to its nature
will after death have a feat affigned it fuitable to its perfection 402-405 its difference, whence in
different persons 402, 403 its two principal facul- ties 403

ı

r,

5

.

t F

Soul, its mortality not demonstrable 407, 408 Sounds, either fignificant or Space, what 132, 133 Spirit, proofs of its existence 162, 163 - whether the notion of it be clear to the mind 196 Stars, their phænomena 142, State of nature, gives equal dominion 240, 241 Στοργη, its dictates to be followed 308 Succession may give right 249 Sun, demonstrates the grandeur of the fystem

### T.

EMPERANCE, what 337 Things to judge rightly of them 26--29 - indifferent, what 29, Thinking, whether effential to the foul 366, 367 - why not observed in found fleep 367, 374 Thoughts, their fleeting nature Time, presented by moments 53, 54 Titles, transferrable by compact or donation 250 Trade, the necessity and lawfulness thereof 250, 251 Truth, what its different acceptations 82, 83 - never contradicted without impiety - how discoverable, 84, 85 - its criterion 90

Truth

147

v. Truth, how conveyed to the mind of another **7** APORS, the wisdom - the way of coming at it 96--108 and goodness expressed discoverable by reason in their formation 146, and fense Truths, all of them confistent Vegetables, the wisdom expressed in their structure 21, 25 - lead to the discovery of - the laws by which they truths by what faculty investiare regulated Vice, the cause of unhappigated discoverable by reasonness 407, 408 82, 83 its effects and confe-- may rise from error 94, 408, 409 quences Virtue, whether seated be-95 - many qualifications retween extremes 38, 39 quifite to judge of them - the practice of it recom-107, 108 mended 336--345 productive of happiness - whether men can act agreeably to them when 342, 382, 392, 408 discovered 109--113 W. Ù. **7** AR shewn to be lawful 284, 285 Writing, why best practised NHAPPINESS, coinin our mother-tongue 227 cident with the true, Words, what 14, 227 quantity of pain ---- their use in thinking 62, 63 226, 227

63,

257

ibid.

253

254

64

- ultimate, what

Usage, proves possession 11--

Usurpation of property, in-

inconfistent with truth

Unmercifulness, what

and nature

justice

FINIS.

lefs expressive than facts

laws of nature observ-

public, why necessary

136--176

221--234

230, 231

World, a furvey thereof and

Worship, how to be given to

its furniture

ed therein

God